

The Study of Collostruction Strength between Verb Collexemes and “V+Through” Construction In American English

Wentao Wang*

Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730000, China

*Corresponding author: Wentao Wang, wangwtedu@sohu.com

Copyright: © 2022 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: Construction grammar has attracted increasing attention in the field of linguistics study. With the help of related linguistics software, this paper aims to explore which verbs show strong collostruction strength with the particle “through” in “V+through” construction in American English.

Keywords: Construction grammar; Collostruction strength; Collexeme analysis

Online publication: January 21, 2022

1. Introduction

Construction grammar is a grammatical theory that emerged in the late 1980s and a research method adapted to almost the entire linguistics category. There exist numerous studies on verb-particle constructions. However, “V+through” construction, a particular subtype of verb-particle constructions, has not aroused much attention from linguists because of relative low frequency of particle “through” in the corpus. On the basis of linguistic data collected from COCA, the present analysis applies Coll. Analysis 3.2a as an analytical tool to calculate the collostruction strength between verb collexemes and “V+through” construction in American English.

2. Identification of “V+through” construction

On the basis of construction grammar proposed by A.E. Goldberg in 1995, as long as the sense of combinations of words is not predictable from its components, such combinations can be viewed as constructions^[1]. Thus, it is reasonable to classified “V+through” construction into the following three types:

- (1) The intransitive verb-particle structure of “V+through”
Eg. It’s a process which we have to *go through*.
- (2) The transitive verb-particle structure of “V+through+NP”
Eg. This enabled us to *see through* their subtle scheme.
- (3) The transitive verb-particle structure of “V+NP+through”
Eg. You need time to *think things through* before responding.

Therefore, “V+through” constructions can be retrieved from COCA through the following search string:

- (1) [v*] through
- (2) [v*] [p*] through
- (3) [v*] [n*] through

The [v*] represents all the verbs in COCA regardless of their tenses. [p*] stands for any pronoun, and similarly, [n*] signifies any noun. Such set of search strings aim at covering all the possible cases of the target constructions.

As a result, every fitting type or form of “v + through” constructions are recorded. And then the quantitative methodology is used to calculate raw frequencies and the collocation strengths of various verbs and the target constructions with the help of Coll. analysis. 3.2a designed by Gries.

3. Collexeme analysis

Collexeme analysis was first put forward by Stefanowitsch & Gries ^[2]. As a subtype of collocation analysis, collexeme analysis is probably the most commonly used in measuring the co-occurrences of words and grammatical units or constructions. It aims at identifying whether a lexeme is attracted or rejected by a particular slot in a particular construction. In order to calculate association measure score of collexeme Wn for the target construction C, four groups of frequencies are necessary:

- (1) The frequency of collexeme Wn in the target construction.
- (2) The frequency of the collexeme Wn in all other constructions.
- (3) The frequency of the target construction with collexemes apart from Wn.
- (4) The frequency of other constructions with collexemes apart from Wn.

The above four frequencies are represented as letter a, b, c, d respectively in the following **Table 1**:

Table 1. Association Measure Score of Collexeme Wn for the Target Construction C

	Wn(word)	-Wn(other words)	Raw totals
C (Target Construction)	a	b	a+b=X
-C (Other Construction)	c	d	c+d=Y
Column Totals	a+c=M	b+d=N	a+b+c+d=W

On the basis of the above frequencies, Fisher exact test is applied to calculate the collocation strength between the collexem Wn and the target construction ^[3].

In this study, the collocation analysis software Coll. analysis 3.2a will be used to perform calculations in the R language environment ^[4]. This software can conduct Fisher exact test, and get the collocation strength between the Wn and the target construction. After a careful selecting and examine of the “V+through” construction, top-10 verb collexemes are shown in the following **Table 2**:

Table 2. Top-10 Verb Collexemes in “V+through” Construction

NO.	WORD	FREQ	NO.	WORD	FREQ
1	go	72,299	6	see	4,809
2	be	14583	7	walk	3,888
3	come	9584	8	cut	2,902
4	pass	8,669	9	work	2,869
5	run	6,133	10	look	2,366

Table 2 ranks verbs that occur in the verb slot of through constructions in a descending order on the basis of their raw frequencies. Obviously, there exists a comparatively large discrepancy of co-occurrence frequencies among verbs that collocate with the particle “through.” Among them, the verb collexeme “go”

has the highest raw frequency, appearing 72,299 times totally in the through construction. Other top-10 verb collexemes such as “be,” “come,” “pass,” “run,” “see,” “walk,” “cut,” “work” and “look” follow them with the frequencies of 14583, 9584, 8669, 6133, 4089, 3888, 2902, 2869 and 2366 times respectively.

4. Collostruction strengths of verb collexemes

Using collected linguistic data, we can get collostruction strength between verbs and the target construction. In the collostruction analysis, the statistical tool of log-likelihood in R software is applied in order to calculate the collostruction strengths of the targets ^[5]. If the collostruction strength is based on p-values, it can be interpreted as follows: $\text{Coll.strength} > 3 \Rightarrow p < 0.001$; $\text{coll.strength} > 2 \Rightarrow p < 0.01$; $\text{coll.strength} > 1.30103 \Rightarrow p < 0.05$. P value refers to the significance level of the computing results. If the P value is no more than 0.05, it is said that the collexeme is attracted to the verb slot of “v + away” constructions. In contrast, it is repelled by the “v + away” constructions if its P value is over 0.05 ^[6]. As it is introduced in the former context, the four prerequisite statistics can then be entered a 2-by-2 table and submit to the program Coll.analysis to conduct the Fisher exact test to calculate the collostruction strength ^[7]. Take the verb “see” in the “V+through” construction as an example, see details in **Table 3** as below:

Table 3. Association Measure Score of Collexeme “see” for the Target Construction “V+through”

	see	¬ see	Total
[V+through]	4809	381156	385965
¬ [V+through]	1956119	178164448	180120569
Total	1960928	178545604	180506532

Input the above data in the right format in the environment of R language, and the collostruction strength of verb “see” can be computed as 87.57932. Therefore, the verb slot of through constructions shows attraction to the verb collexeme “see.” On the basis of the aforementioned principle, we can reveal the relation and degree of association between a collexeme and “V+through” construction. The computing outcomes of the top-10 verb collexemes that show the highest collostruction strength are presented in the **Table 4**.

Table 4. Top-10 Verb Collexemes in “V+through” Construction

Words	Words.freq	Obs.freq	Relation	Faith	Delta.p.con str.to.word	Delta.p.wor d.to.constr	Coll.strength
go	3571994	72299	attraction	0.020241	0.16789	0.018468	208636.385182
come	1801940	19584	attraction	0.010868	0.040845	0.008818	33016.102056
pass	243955	8669	attraction	0.035535	0.021154	0.033442	32884.084556
run	543846	6133	attraction	0.011277	0.012905	0.009166	10565.831899
walk	291456	3888	attraction	0.01334	0.008477	0.01122	7771.143873
be	3349996	14583	attraction	0.004353	0.019266	0.002257	6057.857891
cut	266566	2902	attraction	0.010887	0.006055	0.008761	4816.957771
see	1960928	4809	attraction	0.002452	0.0016	0.000318	87.57932
work	1310264	2869	attraction	0.00219	0.000175	5.2e-05	1.621589
look	1498185	2366	repulsion	0.001579	-0.002174	-0.000564	243.287071

The collostruction strengths of these collexemes are higher than 1.30103, indicating strong attraction

for the target construction. The collocation strength of “go” is 208636.385182, which tops all the verb collexemes. This calculation methodology operates in the same way for other targets. Other verb collexemes following the first one, such as “come,” “pass,” “run,” “walk,” “be,” “cut,” “see,” “work” also show some positive association with the target constructions for their collocation strengths are all over 1.30103. All of them show strong association with “V+through” constructions except “look” showing the repulsion relation.

For now, here comes the answer to the first question, that is, the verb “go,” “come,” “pass,” “run,” “walk,” “be,” “cut,” “see,” “work” show strong collocation strength with the particle “through” in “V+through” construction.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Goldberg AE, 1995, *Constructions: A Construction Grammar Approach to Argument Structure*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- [2] Stefanowitsch A, Gries ST, (2003). Collocations: Investigating the Interaction between Words and Constructions. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 8(2): 209-243.
- [3] Gries ST, Stefanowitsch A, 2004, Extending Collocational Analysis: A Corpus-Based Perspective on “Alternations.” *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 9(1): 97-129.
- [4] Gries ST, Stefanowitsch A, 2004, Covarying Collexemes in The Into-Causative. In Achard, M. & Kemmer S’s *Language, Culture, and Mind*. CSLI Publications, Stanford, 9(2): 225-236.
- [5] Gries ST, Stefanowitsch A, 2005, *Corpora in Cognitive Linguistics: Corpus-based Approaches to Syntax and Lexis*. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin.
- [6] Sinclair J, Rosamund M, 1989, *Collins Cobuild Dictionary of Phrasal Verbs*. Collins Publisher, Harper.
- [7] Jackendoff RS, 2002, English Particle Constructions, The Lexicon, and The Autonomy of Syntax. In Dehe, N. JackendoffR, McIntyre, A. & Urban S’s *Verb-Particle Explorations*. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin, 67-94.

Publisher’s note

Inno Science Press remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.