

Designing a Credit Bank Model Based on Blockchain Technology

Guoxia Zou*

School of Artificial Intelligence, Guangdong Open University (Guangdong Polytechnic Institute), Guangzhou 510091, Guangdong Province, China

*Corresponding author: Guoxia Zou, xgzou@gdrtvu.edu.cn

Copyright: © 2022 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: In the implementation of credit bank, the transformation of learning accomplishments cannot be automated, and the workload of credit achievement management is large. Credits cannot interact freely across different credit banking systems. In order to solve the aforementioned problems, this study proposes the use of alliance chain technology to overcome the technical challenges encountered in the establishment of credit bank. In line with the basic framework of the alliance chain, a credit bank model based on blockchain technology is designed. At the moment, only the model design has been completed; the implementation of the model will take place in the later stage.

Keywords: Alliance chain; Credit bank; Blockchain; Lifelong education

Online publication: April 28, 2022

1. Introduction

In China, the credit bank project is an important part of the national education development plan. It is a bridge for people's lifelong learning. In the construction of credit bank, it is necessary to develop and improve credit bank institutions as well as learning achievement certification institutions ^[1-2].

Learning achievement certification reflects the authenticity and effectiveness of students' learning. It can be used to improve students' learning enthusiasm, which is more conducive to the smooth implementation of continuing education. Therefore, learning achievement certification is one of the core functions of credit bank ^[3-4]. In the implementation of credit bank, there are several challenges.

- (1) Learning achievement certification cannot be automated, resulting in repetitive work
- At this stage, when the credit bank certifies the learning results, it must pass the management center's assessment in various phases to certify the results ^[3,5], and the students need to provide most of the supporting materials. These processes are performed manually by the management center. The approval and management processes are manual and cannot be automated, resulting in a significant amount of repetitive work.
- (2) Human intervention will affect the public reliability of learning achievement Learning achievement certification must be managed through the audit center. The audit center operates a management system without decentralization, and the audit results are not tamper-proof or attack-proof.
- (3) The exchange of credits between banks requires technical support There are now four credit banks^[6]. Credit banks are managed and operated in the same way as normal banks. Students can study at any credit institution and earn credits. These credits can be saved in a lump sum or transferred across credit banks. If a student earns one half of the course credits from a credit bank

42

and the other half from another credit bank, the student is entitled to a degree. Policy support and decentralized technical solution are required for depositing and withdrawing credits freely across different credit banks while promoting credit banks to trust each other.

Considering the existing achievement management form and pressure of credit bank, this paper proposes the use of blockchain technology to solve the problems encountered. Using blockchain technology in the achievement management of credit bank can realize the decentralization of achievement management and the automation of credit certification and conversion. It ensures that the learning achievements are not tampered with and have high credibility, thus reducing the pressure on employees and saving management resources.

2. Introduction to blockchain technology

Based on different use scenarios, blockchain can be divided into three types: private chain, alliance chain, and public chain ^[7-18]. Public chain refers to a network that is accessible to everyone. Blockchain transactions and maintenance are decentralized, and everyone may participate. The private chain is mostly utilized within organizations, and while it cannot solve the issue of trust, it increases auditability ^[8]. On the other hand, alliance chain is halfway between private and public chains. Only members of the alliance chain can read and maintain the blockchain data. The access rights to stored information are restricted by these entities, which can be visited by outsiders only under certain conditions ^[19-29].

At present, there are four credit banks. Considering the classification of blockchain openness, it is more appropriate for credit banks to establish an alliance chain. Together, all the credit banks form a blockchain network. Credit management is automatically carried out by the alliance chain, and each credit bank has an equal position.

2.1. Key technologies of credit bank alliance chain

The alliance chain is a blockchain jointly used by multiple organizations. Multiple preselected nodes are designated as accounting nodes in the organization group. These accounting nodes ensure that the data of each organization are consistent with the consensus algorithm. Anyone can carry out restricted queries through the blockchain's API. Each node can only join or exit after being authorized, and each organization is allowed to run one or more nodes. The consensus process is controlled by preselected nodes. Since there are a few nodes participating in the consensus, the practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (pBFT), consensus algorithm ^[30-35], and the distributed consensus algorithm raft ^[36-40] are adopted.

The basic structure of credit bank alliance chain is shown in **Figure 1**.



Figure 1. Basic structure of credit bank alliance chain

2.2. Role of each module of the alliance chain technology framework in credit bank

(1) Data layer

The data layer is primarily intended for security and data storage. Security is primarily achieved through the use of digital signatures, hash functions, and asymmetric encryption technology. There are two kinds of data storage: the first is block storage, which involves a Merkle tree ^[41-43], a timestamp, a hash function, and a data structure to be stored for credit conversion; the other is persistent data storage, in which the data in the block are the only core credit information storage, and the students' academic achievements still need to be saved in a durable distributed database.

(2) Network layer

The alliance chain adopts the peer-to-peer (P2P) networking technology. Its primary function is to determine neighboring nodes, verify the identity of nodes, and finally create links with neighboring nodes. Following the establishment of links, the consistency of block messages across nodes can be realized through the consensus algorithm and broadcast mechanism.

(3) Consensus layer

The consensus layer largely addresses the data consistency of distributed nodes in the blockchain network.

(4) Contract layer

In the contract layer, when students finish a course, the contract should be able to actively submit scores to the blockchain. When a student has accumulated certain credits, the contract should indicate the academic qualifications that the student can withdraw.

(5) System management

System management has two important functions: permission management and node management. Permission management involves the control of nodes in the blockchain network to access and operate the chain; node management authenticates and validates the identity and authority of the node through the authentication mechanism.

(6) Operation and maintenance management

The operation and maintenance management layer is mainly responsible for the daily operation and maintenance of the blockchain system, such as node viewing, contract viewing, log browsing, user management, etc.

3. Credit bank alliance chain design

According to the characteristics of credit banks, a credit bank model based on alliance chain is designed. The model is designed based on the basic framework of alliance chain, and its operation steps are described in detail.

3.1. Credit bank alliance chain model design

The credit bank alliance chain model is shown in **Figure 2**. The basic premise is hierarchical design based on business data flow. The credit bank model is divided into four layers: data, network, smart contract, and application.



Figure 2. Credit bank alliance chain model

3.2. Operation steps of credit bank alliance chain model

(1) Construction of alliance chain network

Credit banking institutions form networks according to the P2P protocol and CA authentication. The raft consensus is used for information interaction across organizations. The accounting node, synchronization node, and data persistence node are specified in each organization.

(2) Storage of blocks and data

The accounting node in each organization generates blocks according to the raft consensus algorithm. After writing these blocks, the data persistence node writes the data to the database.

(3) System operation

First, the credit bank alliance chain network is built, and smart contracts are deployed at each accounting node in the network. Subsequently, the credit bank alliance chain system is run. Finally, users can access the whole credit bank alliance chain system through the credit bank client.

When a business needs to be written into a block, the smart contract is triggered. When the conditions are met, blocks are added to the blockchain. In the process of adding blocks, it is necessary to determine whether the blocks can be written on the blockchain according to the raft consensus algorithm and CA authentication. When new blocks are added, the synchronization node and data persistence node backup the blockchain and write the data to the database automatically.

4. Conclusion

This paper discusses the significance and existing problems in the establishment of credit banks. Based on the existing problems, the alliance chain is used to tackle the challenges of credit bank. Following studies on the technical framework of alliance chain, a credit bank model based on alliance chain is designed, and its operation process is discussed. In this study, the credit bank model is designed from the perspective of technical theory. In the following stage, the consensus algorithm and smart contract will be further investigated to actualize the credit bank model.

Funding

The Characteristic Innovation of Guangdong Provincial Department of Education (Grant Number: 2018KTSCX343).

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Xie Y, (eds) 2011, Outline of National Medium and Long-Term Education Reform and Development Plan (2010-2020), Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. http://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A01/s7048/201007/t20100729_171904.html
- [2] Central Committee of the Communist Youth League, 2019, The Central Committee of the Communist Party of China and the State Council issued "China's Education Modernization 2035", Baidu. https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1626351473041230577&wfr=spider&for=pc
- [3] Zhou L, Zhang J, Su L, 2018, Change of Learning Achievement Certification Architecture of Credit Bank Based on Blockchain Technology. Journal of Hubei Correspondence University, 31(16): 34-35, 37.
- [4] Zeng X, 2021, Research on Strategic Positioning and Service Layout of Credit Bank from the Perspective of Qualification Framework. Adult Education, 412(5): 1-6.
- [5] Wang H, Li J, 2017, Practical Exploration on the Construction of Credit Bank in China: Current Situation and Problems. Lifelong Education Research, 4(134): 42-50.
- [6] Wang Z, 2021, Research on the Connotation, Current Situation and Development of Credit Bank Construction Under the Background of Lifelong Education. China Education Informatization, 2021(09): 6-11.
- [7] Jin S, Zhang X, Ge J, et al., 2021, Overview of Blockchain Consensus Algorithm. Journal of Information Security, 6(2): 85-99.
- [8] Zhang C, Li Q, Chen Z, et al., 2019, Medical Chain: Allied Medical Blockchain System. Journal of Automation, 45(8): 1495-1510.
- [9] Zou G, Xue Y, 2020, Proceedings of the 2020 4th International Conference on Electronic Information Technology and Computer Engineering, November 6-8, 2020: The Application of Blockchain technology in Credit Management for Credit Bank System. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, United States, 62-66.
- [10] Qu Y, Pokhrel SR, Garg S, et al., 2021, A Blockchained Federated Learning Framework for Cognitive Computing in Industry 4.0 Networks. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 17(4): 2964-2973.

DOI: 10.1109/TII.2020.3007817

- [11] Ren J, Li J, Liu H, et al., 2022, Task Offloading Strategy with Emergency Handling and Blockchain Security in SDN-Empowered and Fog-Assisted Healthcare IoT. Tsinghua Science and Technology, 27(4): 760-776. DOI: 10.26599/TST.2021.9010046
- [12] Tharatipyakul A, Pongnumkul S, 2021, User Interface of Blockchain-Based Agri-Food Traceability Applications: A Review. IEEE Access, 9: 82909-82929. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085982
- [13] Khatri S, Alzahrani FA, Ansari MTJ, et al., 2021, A Systematic Analysis on Blockchain Integration with Healthcare Domain: Scope and Challenges. IEEE Access, 9: 84666-84687. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3087608
- [14] Singh S, Hosen ASMS, Yoon B, 2021, Blockchain Security Attacks, Challenges, and Solutions for the Future Distributed IoT Network. IEEE Access, 9: 13938-13959. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3051602
- [15] Cai C, Zheng Y, Du Y, et al., 2021, Towards Private, Robust, and Verifiable Crowdsensing Systems Via Public Blockchains. IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing, 18(4): 1893-1907. DOI: 10.1109/TDSC.2019.2941481
- [16] Wan Z, Xia X, Hassan AE, 2021, What Do Programmers Discuss About Blockchain? A Case Study on the Use of Balanced LDA and the Reference Architecture of a Domain to Capture Online Discussions About Blockchain Platforms Across Stack Exchange Communities. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 47(7): 1331-1349. DOI: 10.1109/TSE.2019.2921343
- [17] Subramanian G, Thampy AS, 2021, Implementation of Blockchain Consortium to Prioritize Diabetes Patients' Healthcare in Pandemic Situations. IEEE Access, 9: 162459-162475. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3132302
- [18] Jabbar R, Fetais N, Kharbeche M, et al., 2021, Blockchain for the Internet of Vehicles: How to Use Blockchain to Secure Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) Communication and Payment?. IEEE Sensors Journal, 21(14): 15807-15823. DOI: 10.1109/JSEN.2021.3062219
- [19] Sonkamble RG, Phansalkar SP, Potdar VM, et al., 2021, Survey of Interoperability in Electronic Health Records Management and Proposed Blockchain Based Framework: MyBlockEHR. IEEE Access, 9: 158367-158401. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3129284
- [20] Ferrag MA, Shu L, 2021, The Performance Evaluation of Blockchain-Based Security and Privacy Systems for the Internet of Things: A Tutorial. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 8(24): 17236-17260. DOI: 10.1109/JIOT.2021.3078072
- [21] Shah C, King J, Wies RW, 2021, Distributed ADMM Using Private Blockchain for Power Flow Optimization in Distribution Network with Coupled and Mixed-Integer Constraints. IEEE Access, 9: 46560-46572. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3066970
- [22] Egala BS, Pradhan AK, Badarla V, et al., 2021, Fortified-Chain: A Blockchain-Based Framework for Security and Privacy-Assured Internet of Medical Things with Effective Access Control. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 8(14): 11717-11731. DOI: 10.1109/JIOT.2021.3058946
- [23] Yazdinejad A, Parizi RM, Dehghantanha A, et al., 2021, Blockchain-Enabled Authentication Handover with Efficient Privacy Protection in SDN-Based 5G Networks. IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering, 8(2): 1120-1132. DOI: 10.1109/TNSE.2019.2937481
- [24] Lee D, Song M, 2021, MEXchange: A Privacy-Preserving Blockchain-Based Framework for Health Information Exchange Using Ring Signature and Stealth Address. IEEE Access, 9: 158122-158139. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3130552

- [25] Li C, Fu Y, Yu FR, et al., 2021, Vehicle Position Correction: A Vehicular Blockchain Networks-Based GPS Error Sharing Framework. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 22(2): 898-912. DOI: 10.1109/TITS.2019.2961400
- [26] And IAAE-M, Darwish SM, 2021, Towards Designing a Trusted Routing Scheme in Wireless Sensor Networks: A New Deep Blockchain Approach. IEEE Access, 9: 103822-103834. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3098933
- [27] Asheralieva A, Niyato D, 2021, Learning-Based Mobile Edge Computing Resource Management to Support Public Blockchain Networks. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, 20(3): 1092-1109. DOI: 10.1109/TMC.2019.2959772
- [28] Wazid M, Das AK, Shetty S, et al., 2020, A Tutorial and Future Research for Building a Blockchain-Based Secure Communication Scheme for Internet of Intelligent Things. IEEE Access, 8: 88700-88716. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2992467
- [29] Ghiasi M, Dehghani M, Niknam T, et al., 2021, Cyber-Attack Detection and Cyber-Security Enhancement in Smart DC-Microgrid Based on Blockchain Technology and Hilbert Huang Transform. IEEE Access, 9: 29429-29440. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3059042
- [30] Zhang P, Song J, 2020, Research Progress on Efficiency Optimization of Blockchain Consensus Algorithm. Computer Science, 47(12): 296-303.
- [31] Jin S, Zhang X, Ge J, et al., 2021, Overview of Blockchain Consensus Algorithm. Journal of Information Security, 6(2): 85-100.
- [32] Lu G, Xie L, Li X, 2020, Comparative Study on Blockchain Consensus Algorithms. Computer Science, 47(6): 332-339.
- [33] Meshcheryakov Y, Melman A, Evsutin O, et al., 2021, On Performance of PBFT Blockchain Consensus Algorithm for IoT-Applications with Constrained Devices. IEEE Access, 9: 80559-80570. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085405
- [34] Qushtom H, Misic J, Misic VB, 2021, Proceedings of 2021 IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE), September 12-17, 2021: Multiple Leader PBFT Based Blockchain Architecture for IoT Domains: Invited Paper. IEEE, ON, Canada, 1-6. DOI: 10.1109/CCECE53047.2021.9569177
- [35] Misic J, Misic VB, Chang X, 2020, Proceedings of 2020 IEEE 92nd Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2020-Fall), November 18-December 16, 2020: Comparison of Single- and Multiple Entry Point PBFT for IoT Blockchain Systems. IEEE, Victoria, BC, Canada, 1-6. DOI: 10.1109/VTC2020-Fall49728.2020.9348782
- [36] Du Y, Zhang Z, Li Y, et al., 2021, Proceedings of 2021 6th Asia Conference on Power and Electrical Engineering (ACPEE), April 8-11, 2021: A New Type of Substation Real-Time Detection System Based on Edge Computing and RAFT Consensus Algorithm. IEEE, Chongqing, China, 451-455. DOI: 10.1109/ACPEE51499.2021.9436851
- [37] Sakic E, Kellerer W, 2018, Response Time and Availability Study of RAFT Consensus in Distributed SDN Control Plane. IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, 15(1): 304-318. DOI: 10.1109/TNSM.2017.2775061
- [38] Kim D, Doh I, Chae K, 2021, Proceedings of 2021 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN), January 13-16, 2021: Improved Raft Algorithm Exploiting Federated Learning for Private Blockchain Performance Enhancement. IEEE, Jeju Island, Korea (South), 828-832. DOI: 10.1109/ICOIN50884.2021.9333932

- [39] Xu X, Hou L, Li Y, et al., 2021, Proceedings of 2021 7th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC), December 10-13, 2021: Weighted RAFT: An Improved Blockchain Consensus Mechanism for Internet of Things Application, IEEE, Chengdu, China, 1520-1525. DOI: 10.1109/ICCC54389.2021.9674683
- [40] Gao Y, Li H, Li Y, et al., 2019, Proceedings of 2019 IEEE 19th International Conference on Software Quality, Reliability and Security Companion (QRS-C), July 22-26, 2019: Using TLA+ to Specify Leader Election of Raft Algorithm with Consideration of Leadership Transfer in Multiple Controllers. IEEE, Sofia, Bulgaria, 219-226. DOI: 10.1109/QRS-C.2019.00051
- [41] Jing S, Zheng X, Chen Z, 2021, Proceedings of 2021 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Big Data and Algorithms (CAIBDA), May 28-30, 2021: Review and Investigation of Merkle Tree's Technical Principles and Related Application Fields. IEEE, Xi'an, China, 86-90. DOI: 10.1109/CAIBDA53561.2021.00026
- [42] Liu H, Luo X, Liu H, et al., 2021, Proceedings of 2021 International Conference on Electronic Information Engineering and Computer Science (EIECS), September 23-26, 2021: Merkle Tree: A Fundamental Component of Blockchains. IEEE, Changchun, China, 556-561. DOI: 10.1109/EIECS53707.2021.9588047
- [43] Koo D, Shin Y, Yun J, et al., 2017, Proceedings of 2017 IEEE International Conference on Web Services (ICWS), June 25-30, 2017: An Online Data-Oriented Authentication Based on Merkle Tree with Improved Reliability. IEEE, Honolulu, HI, USA, 840-843. DOI: 10.1109/ICWS.2017.102

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.