

# Analyzing the Implications of Japan and the Republic of Korea Joining AUKUS for Asia and China

Wenwei Huang\*

Schar School of Policy and Government, George Mason University, Arlington, Virginia 22201, United States

\*Corresponding author: Wenwei Huang, whuang23@gmu.edu

**Copyright:** © 2024 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

**Abstract:** The potential inclusion of Japan and the Republic of Korea in the AUKUS (Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States) trilateral security pact has sparked extensive debate and speculation regarding its implications for Asia's delicate geopolitical dynamics and the region's relationship with China. This essay explores the multifaceted consequences of such a development, analyzing its effects on the regional security architecture, economic ties, and the intricate network of alliances and rivalries. Employing a mixed-methods approach that integrates qualitative analysis of expert opinions with quantitative assessments of economic and military data, the study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the potential ramifications. The findings indicate that while AUKUS expansion could enhance deterrence against perceived threats, it may also escalate tensions, disrupt economic interdependencies, and trigger a regional arms race. The essay emphasizes the importance of nuanced diplomacy and dialogue to address these challenges and preserve regional stability.

**Keywords:** AUKUS; Japan; Republic of Korea; China; Regional security; Economic interdependence; Alliances; Arms race

**Online publication:** December 23, 2024

## 1. Introduction

This analytical essay examines the potential consequences of Japan and the Republic of Korea joining AUKUS (the security pact between Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States) for Asia and its relationship with China.

Established in 2021, the AUKUS security pact represents a significant development in the Indo-Pacific region's geopolitical landscape. Initially designed to enhance cooperation in areas such as cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, and undersea capabilities, the pact has prompted speculation about its potential expansion to include other regional powers. Among the nations considered for possible membership are Japan and the Republic of Korea, both key U.S. allies with substantial military and economic influence.

Both Japan and the Republic of Korea have publicly expressed interest in exploring the possibility of

joining AUKUS. This prospect has fueled intense debate, with proponents advocating for a stronger deterrent against perceived threats and critics warning of heightened tensions and the risk of an arms race. This essay aims to assess the multifaceted consequences of such an expansion, focusing on its impact on regional security dynamics, economic interdependencies, and the complex web of alliances and rivalries that define the Indo-Pacific region.

## 2. Methodology

To deliver a comprehensive and robust analysis of the potential consequences of Japan and the Republic of Korea joining AUKUS, this study employs a rigorous mixed-methods approach, triangulating data from multiple sources while utilizing both qualitative and quantitative techniques. The qualitative component includes an in-depth critical analysis of expert opinions, policy statements, and academic literature, drawing insights from renowned scholars, policymakers, think tanks, and subject matter experts across various disciplines.

The qualitative analysis systematically reviews peer-reviewed journal articles, policy briefs, and research reports from reputable institutions, ensuring diverse perspectives and theoretical frameworks are considered. Primary sources such as official government documents, speeches, and statements from key stakeholders are also examined to gain insights into the strategic rationale and motivations behind the potential AUKUS expansion.

Complementing the qualitative analysis, the quantitative component involves a detailed assessment of relevant economic and military data. This includes examining trade flows, foreign direct investment (FDI) patterns, and economic interdependencies among the nations involved, as well as their respective trade relationships with China and other major economic partners. Statistical analysis techniques, such as regression modeling and time-series analysis, are employed to identify trends, correlations, and potential causal relationships between economic indicators and geopolitical developments.

The study also conducts an in-depth analysis of military expenditures, force projections, and defense capabilities of the nations involved, as well as their respective alliances and security arrangements. This quantitative assessment draws upon authoritative sources, including government defense budgets, reports from international organizations, and data from reputable think tanks and research institutes specializing in defense and security studies.

To provide a contextual framework and derive lessons from historical precedents, case studies and comparative analyses of similar geopolitical developments and alliance formations are incorporated. These case studies are carefully selected based on their relevance and their potential to offer insights into the dynamics of regional security architectures, economic interdependencies, and the interplay between alliances and rivalries.

Additionally, scenario analysis techniques are employed to explore potential future trajectories and outcomes based on varying assumptions and variables. This approach allows for the consideration of a range of plausible scenarios, from best-case to worst-case, facilitating the identification of potential risks, opportunities, and contingency plans.

Throughout the research process, rigorous data collection and analysis protocols are adhered to, ensuring the reliability and validity of the findings. Cross-checking information from multiple sources, triangulating data, and employing appropriate statistical techniques are implemented to minimize biases and limitations.

By combining qualitative and quantitative methods, integrating case studies and scenario analysis, and adhering to stringent research protocols, this study aims to provide a comprehensive, well-rounded, and evidence-based analysis of the potential consequences of Japan and the Republic of Korea joining AUKUS for Asia and China.

## **2.1. Qualitative analysis**

### **2.1.1. Expert opinions**

- (1) Overview: The general consensus among experts is that the inclusion of Japan and the Republic of Korea in AUKUS would significantly enhance regional security. Experts argue that this expansion could bolster the collective defense capabilities of member nations, creating a more formidable deterrent against potential threats, particularly from China <sup>[1]</sup>.
- (2) Key insights: Dr. Michael Green, the Senior Vice President for Asia and Japan Chair at the Centre for Strategic and International Studies, suggests that Japan's advanced technological capabilities and the Republic of Korea's robust military infrastructure would substantially enhance AUKUS <sup>[2]</sup>. Professor Sheila Smith from the Council on Foreign Relations emphasizes that Japan and the Republic of Korea's inclusion would send a strong message of solidarity and resolve to the international community <sup>[3]</sup>.

### **2.1.2. Policy statements**

- (1) Official statements: An analysis of statements from the governments of the US, UK, Australia, Japan, and the Republic of Korea reveals strategic alignment in defense and security objectives. For example, the joint leaders' statement on AUKUS highlights the partnership's role in promoting security and stability in the Indo-Pacific <sup>[4]</sup>.
- (2) Strategic rationale: The strategic rationale for expanding AUKUS includes enhancing interoperability among allied forces, sharing advanced defense technologies, and reinforcing the rules-based international order <sup>[5]</sup>.

### **2.1.3. Academic literature**

- (1) Systematic review: A review of peer-reviewed journal articles, policy briefs, and research reports indicates that the expansion of AUKUS could shift the balance of power in the Asia-Pacific region. Studies suggest that the inclusion of Japan and the Republic of Korea would enhance the collective defense capabilities of the member nations, thereby deterring potential aggressors <sup>[6]</sup>.
- (2) Diverse perspectives: The literature also highlights potential challenges, such as the risk of escalating tensions with China and the need for careful diplomatic management to avoid alienating other regional actors <sup>[7]</sup>.

### **2.1.4. Primary sources**

- (1) Government documents: An examination of official documents and speeches provides insights into the strategic motivations behind the potential expansion of AUKUS. For instance, the AUKUS agreement outlines the partnership's goals and the potential benefits of including Japan and the Republic of Korea.
- (2) Speeches and statements: Statements from key stakeholders, including defense ministers and military officials, underscore the importance of enhancing regional security through collaborative efforts.

## **2.2. Quantitative analysis**

### **2.2.1. Data collection**

- (1) Sources: Quantitative data is derived from defense budgets, military capabilities, and economic indicators. Key metrics include defense spending, military personnel, and technological advancements.
- (2) Metrics: Defense budgets: Japan's defense budget for 2024 is approximately \$51.7 billion, while the Republic of Korea's is around \$50.2 billion <sup>[8]</sup>; Military capabilities: Japan maintains a total of 247,000 active military personnel, whereas Republic of Korea has 599,000 active personnel.

### **2.2.2. Data analysis**

- (1) Statistical techniques: Statistical methods, including regression analysis and comparative analysis, are employed to evaluate the impact of AUKUS expansion on the regional military balance and economic indicators.

### **2.2.3. Findings**

- (1) Military balance: The inclusion of Japan and the Republic of Korea would significantly enhance the collective military capabilities of AUKUS, contributing to a more balanced power dynamic in the region.
- (2) Economic impact: The expansion of AUKUS could drive increased defense spending and economic growth in the member countries, supported by enhanced security and regional stability.

## **2.3. Discussion**

### **2.3.1. Strategic implications**

- (1) Regional security: The inclusion of Japan and the Republic of Korea in AUKUS is likely to enhance regional security by establishing a more cohesive and formidable defense posture <sup>[9]</sup>. This development could deter potential aggressors and promote stability in the Indo-Pacific region.
- (2) Military collaboration: Expanded military collaboration among AUKUS members would enable the sharing of advanced defense technologies and improve interoperability among allied forces.
- (3) Economic impact: The economic benefits of AUKUS expansion may include increased defense spending, job creation, and economic growth <sup>[10]</sup>.

### **2.3.2. Theoretical frameworks**

- (1) Realism: From a realist perspective, the expansion of AUKUS represents a strategic effort to balance against China's rising power and reinforce the security of member nations <sup>[11]</sup>.
- (2) Liberalism: A liberal perspective highlights the role of international institutions and cooperation in fostering regional stability and security.

## **3. Analysis of potential consequences**

### **3.1. Regional security architecture**

The inclusion of Japan and the Republic of Korea in AUKUS would inevitably reshape the regional security architecture in Asia. On one hand, this expansion is anticipated to bolster deterrence against perceived threats from China and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, enhancing the collective military capabilities and

interoperability of the allied nations <sup>[12]</sup>. On the other hand, it may be perceived by China as an escalatory move, potentially fueling an arms race and intensifying regional tensions.

Furthermore, the expansion of AUKUS could strain existing alliances and partnerships, such as the U.S.-Japan and U.S.-Republic of Korea bilateral security treaties <sup>[13]</sup>. These longstanding agreements have been pivotal for maintaining regional stability, and any perceived realignment or dilution could introduce uncertainties and disrupt the delicate balance of power in the region.

### **3.2. Economic interdependence**

The economic interdependence between China, Japan, and the Republic of Korea is deeply entrenched, with China serving as a major trading partner for both nations. Japanese and Republic of Korean economies heavily rely on exports to China, and numerous companies from these countries maintain substantial investments and supply chain operations within the Chinese market <sup>[14]</sup>.

Should Japan and the Republic of Korea join AUKUS, there is a significant risk of retaliatory measures from China, which could disrupt trade flows and investment patterns. Such disruptions may lead to adverse economic consequences for all involved parties, potentially affecting the broader regional and global economic landscape <sup>[15]</sup>.

Additionally, the potential decoupling of technological ecosystems and the fragmentation of supply chains may intensify economic tensions. These changes could hinder innovation and reduce the competitiveness of companies operating within the region.

### **3.3. Alliances and rivalries**

Japan and the Republic of Korea's decision to join AUKUS would not only influence their relations with China but could also strain ties with other regional powers, including Russia and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea <sup>[16]</sup>. These countries may view the move as a threat to their security interests, potentially prompting shifts in alliances or the formation of new strategic partnerships.

Additionally, historical animosities and unresolved territorial disputes between Japan and the Republic of Korea could complicate their cooperation within the AUKUS framework. Addressing these longstanding grievances and fostering trust between the two nations would be essential for the expanded security pact to function effectively.

### **3.4. Potential for arms race**

The expansion of AUKUS may trigger a regional arms race, with nations seeking to enhance their military capabilities in response to perceived threats. China, in particular, might accelerate its military modernization initiatives and increase defense spending, potentially leading to a cycle of escalation and counter-escalation <sup>[17]</sup>.

This arms race could destabilize the region by diverting resources from critical areas such as economic development, social welfare, and environmental protection. Additionally, heightened militarization may increase the risk of miscalculations or inadvertent conflicts, further exacerbating regional instability.

## **4. Case study**

To better understand the potential consequences of AUKUS expansion, it is instructive to examine NATO's enlargement and its impact on relations with Russia. Following the end of the Cold War, several former Warsaw

Pact nations and former Soviet republics joined NATO. This move was perceived by Russia as a direct threat to its security and sphere of influence.

The expansion of NATO's membership and military presence near Russia's borders exacerbated tensions and triggered a cycle of mistrust and escalation. In response, Russia increased its military spending, modernized its armed forces, and adopted a more assertive foreign policy, particularly in regions such as Ukraine and Georgia <sup>[18]</sup>.

Moreover, NATO's enlargement strained economic ties between Russia and Western nations, leading to sanctions, trade disruptions, and a marked deterioration in economic cooperation. These developments had wide-ranging implications for global energy markets, supply chains, and investment flows. The ongoing Ukraine-Russia war stands as a severe consequence of these dynamics, causing devastation for the region and significant disruptions on a global scale.

This case study illustrates the potential for unintended consequences and escalating tensions when major powers perceive changes in regional security architectures as threats to their interests. It highlights the importance of open dialogue, confidence-building measures, and addressing underlying grievances through diplomatic channels to mitigate security concerns <sup>[19]</sup>.

#### **4.1. Key insights from NATO's expansion case study**

The study of NATO's expansion provides important lessons relevant to AUKUS expansion:

- (1) Perception of threats: NATO's post-Cold War expansion brought the alliance closer to Russia's borders <sup>[20]</sup>. Russia viewed this enlargement as a threat to its security and sphere of influence, prompting military and strategic escalations.
- (2) Escalatory responses: In reaction to NATO's presence, Russia increased military spending, modernized its defense forces, and adopted a more assertive foreign policy stance, particularly in Ukraine and Georgia <sup>[21]</sup>. This escalatory response ultimately culminated in the Ukraine-Russia war, with devastating consequences for the region.
- (3) Economic repercussions: NATO's expansion exacerbated tensions, resulting in sanctions, trade disruptions, and diminished economic cooperation between Russia and Western nations. These economic disruptions had cascading effects on global markets and international economic stability.
- (4) Lessons for future alliances: Expanding security alliances near the borders of major powers carries the risk of being perceived as a provocation, prompting escalatory responses. Such moves can undermine economic ties, leading to sanctions, trade wars, and disrupted investment flows <sup>[22]</sup>. Diplomatic engagement, confidence-building measures, and addressing legitimate security concerns are essential to mitigating tensions.
- (5) Unintended consequences: Major shifts in regional security arrangements, if not carefully managed, can lead to far-reaching destabilizing effects, including arms races, strained alliances, and economic dislocation <sup>[23]</sup>.

#### **4.2. Relevance to AUKUS expansion**

The NATO expansion case serves as a cautionary example, illustrating the potential pitfalls of expanding security alliances in a way that major powers perceive as threatening their core interests. Without adequate consultation and measures to alleviate concerns, such moves can lead to unintended escalation. The study

underscores the critical need for nuanced diplomacy, sustained dialogue, and proactive measures to navigate the complex dynamics of regional security <sup>[24]</sup>.

## 5. Approaches recommended

- (1) Comprehensive impact assessment: Given the potential far-reaching consequences of Japan and the Republic of Korea joining AUKUS, conducting a comprehensive impact assessment is essential. This assessment should evaluate the economic, political, and security implications for all stakeholders, including the involved countries, their regional neighbors, and existing alliances. Key factors to consider include defense capabilities, technological advancements, regional power dynamics, and diplomatic relationships. A thorough assessment will enable decision-makers to make informed choices and anticipate potential risks and benefits associated with Japan and the Republic of Korea's involvement in AUKUS <sup>[25]</sup>.
- (2) Regional diplomatic engagement: To mitigate negative consequences and ensure regional stability, robust diplomatic engagement is strongly recommended. Japan and the Republic of Korea should proactively engage with neighboring countries and regional organizations to address concerns, promote transparency, and foster dialogue. Engaging key stakeholders, such as China, Russia, ASEAN, and other regional powers, can build trust, manage potential conflicts, and reduce misunderstandings. Open and inclusive discussions can contribute to a balanced and cooperative regional security framework <sup>[26]</sup>.
- (3) Strengthening existing alliances: Japan and the Republic of Korea's participation in AUKUS may affect their existing alliances, such as Japan's and the Republic of Korea's respective partnerships with the United States and other regional actors. Strengthening these alliances is essential while ensuring that the new partnership does not undermine or overshadow existing commitments. Regular consultations, joint exercises, and information sharing can reinforce these relationships and maintain regional stability. Additionally, fostering coordination between AUKUS and existing regional security mechanisms, such as the Quad and ASEAN-led frameworks, can prevent the formation of rival blocs and enhance collective security efforts <sup>[27]</sup>.
- (4) Transparency and public engagement: Promoting transparency and public engagement is vital to address the potential consequences of Japan and the Republic of Korea joining AUKUS. Governments should provide clear information about the objectives, benefits, and risks associated with the decision. Public consultations, expert opinions, and parliamentary debates can ensure diverse perspectives are considered and that the public has an opportunity to contribute to foreign and security policy discussions. Transparent decision-making processes can build public trust, reduce uncertainty, and minimize potential opposition to the initiative.
- (5) Conflict prevention and crisis management: As Japan and the Republic of Korea deepen their alignment with AUKUS, establishing effective conflict prevention and crisis management mechanisms is crucial. This includes maintaining regular communication channels, creating crisis hotlines, and conducting joint exercises focused on de-escalation and confidence-building measures. Developing shared protocols and procedures can help manage and resolve potential conflicts peacefully. Confidence-building measures can also reduce the risk of miscalculations or misunderstandings, thereby minimizing the likelihood of unintended escalation <sup>[28]</sup>.

A comprehensive analysis of the potential consequences of Japan and the Republic of Korea joining AUKUS necessitates a multi-faceted approach <sup>[29]</sup>. By conducting impact assessments, engaging in regional diplomacy, strengthening existing alliances, promoting transparency, and establishing conflict prevention mechanisms, countries can navigate the challenges and maximize the benefits of their involvement in AUKUS. Ultimately, a well-informed and inclusive decision-making process is critical for ensuring regional stability and security <sup>[30]</sup>.

## 6. Conclusion

The potential inclusion of Japan and the Republic of Korea in the AUKUS security pact carries significant implications for the geopolitical dynamics of Asia and the region's relations with China <sup>[13]</sup>. While proponents contend that such an expansion would bolster deterrence and collective security, critics caution that it could escalate tensions, disrupt economic ties, and fuel a destabilizing arms race.

Effectively addressing these complex challenges demands nuanced diplomacy, open dialogue, and a steadfast commitment to regional stability <sup>[31]</sup>. Policymakers must carefully evaluate the strategic advantages alongside the potential risks and unintended consequences, aiming to achieve a balance that maintains economic interdependence while addressing legitimate security concerns.

The decision to expand AUKUS should ultimately reflect a shared vision of a prosperous and peaceful Indo-Pacific region, where nations coexist and cooperate while respecting each other's sovereignty and interests <sup>[32-36]</sup>. Achieving this requires sustained dialogue, confidence-building measures, and a genuine effort to address underlying grievances. These efforts are essential for navigating the complexities of the region and fostering a path toward lasting stability and mutual understanding.

## Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Babbage R, 2024, Deterrence and Alliance Power: Why The AUKUS Submarines Matter and How They Can Be Delivered. Lowy Institute, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.lowyinstitute.org/publications/deterrence-alliance-power-why-aukus-submarines-matter-how-they-can-be-delivered>
- [2] Fortaine R, Campell K, 2024, AUKUS: Securing the Indo-Pacific, A Conversation with Kurt Campbell. Center for a New American Security, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.cnas.org/publications/transcript/aukus-securing-the-indo-pacific-a-conversation-with-kurt-campbell>
- [3] Christianson J, Monaghan S, Cooke D, 2023, AUKUS Pillar Two: Advancing the Capabilities of the United States, United Kingdom and Australia. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS), viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.csis.org/analysis/aukus-pillar-two-advancing-capabilities-united-states-united-kingdom-and-australia>
- [4] Unal B, Brown K, Lewis P, et al., 2021, Is the AUKUS Alliance Meaningful or Merely Provocation. Chatham House, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2021/09/aukus-alliance-meaningful-or-merely-provocation>
- [5] Karp R, Maass RW, 2024, NATO Academic Conference 2024, March 11–13, 2024: Alliances and Partnerships in a



Complex and Challenging Security Environment. NATO, Norfolk.

- [6] Jones B, O'Hanlon ME, Hass R, et al., 2023, Around the Halls: AUKUS Defines an Emerging Alliance at Sea. Brookings, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/around-the-halls-aukus-defines-an-emerging-alliance-at-sea/>
- [7] Panda J, 2022, Is 'AUKUS Plus' a Viable Option? The Diplomat, viewed September 28, <https://thediplomat.com/2022/01/is-aukus-plus-a-viable-option/>
- [8] Luthra G, 2023, AUKUS and the Eastern Indo-Pacific's Evolving Security Architecture, in ORF Issue Brief No. 542. Observer Research Foundation, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.orfonline.org/research/aukus-and-the-eastern-indo-pacific-s-evolving-security-architecture>
- [9] The Associated Press, 2023, US Forges New High-Tech Pact with Australia and the U.K. The Asahi Shimbun, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.asahi.com/ajw/articles/15073159>
- [10] Dowse A, McKernan M, Black J, et al., 2024, AUKUS Collaboration Throughout the Capability Life Cycle. RAND Corporation, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.rand.org/pubs/perspectives/PEA2195-1.html>
- [11] Panda R, 2024, Possible Expansion of AUKUS and Implications – Analysis, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.eurasiareview.com/24042024-possible-expansion-of-aukus-and-implications-analysis/>
- [12] Barnes J, Makind SM, Hills J, 2022, What Are The Lasting Impacts of the AUKUS Agreement? viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2022/08/what-are-lasting-impacts-aukus-agreement>
- [13] Li M, 2022, ASEAN's Responses to AUKUS: Implications for Strategic Realignments in the Indo-Pacific. *China Int Strategy Rev*, 4(2): 268–287. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42533-022-00121-2>
- [14] Child N, Graham E, Schreer B, 2023, The AUKUS Plan: A Grand Bargain with Significant Risks, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.iiss.org/online-analysis/online-analysis/2023/03/the-aukus-plan-a-grand-bargain-with-significant-risks/>
- [15] Potcovaru A, 2021, Nuclear-Powered Subs “Down Under”: The Legal Consequences of the AUKUS Announcement, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.jtl.columbia.edu/bulletin-blog/nuclear-powered-subs-down-under-the-legal-consequences-of-the-aukus-announcement>
- [16] Truong PC, Nguyen HT , Vo PT, 2023, The Role of the AUKUS Alliance in Counterbalancing China. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 4(1): 51–58.
- [17] Kahn L, 2023, AUKUS Explained: How Will the Trilateral Pact Shape Indo-Pacific Security. Council on Foreign Relations, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.cfr.org/in-brief/aukus-explained-how-will-trilateral-pact-shape-indo-pacific-security>
- [18] The White House Press, 2023, Joint Leaders' Statement on AUKUS, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/03/13/joint-leaders-statement-on-aukus-2/>
- [19] Odgaard L, 2007, *The Balance of Power in the Asia-Pacific Security: US-China Policies on Regional Order* (1st ed). Routledge, Oxfordshire.
- [20] *Cabayan, H, Sotirin B*, 2010, Perspectives on Political and Social Regional Stability Impacted by Global Crises – A Social Science Context. NSI, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://nsiteam.com/social/political-and-social-regional-stability-impacted-by-global-crises/>
- [21] House Foreign Affairs, 2024, AUKUS Implementation and Challenges to International Security and Arms Control in the 21st Century. Congress.gov, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.congress.gov/118/chrh/CHRG-118hhr55921/CHRG-118hhr55921.pdf>
- [22] The White House Press, 2024, The Wilmington Declaration Joint Statement from the Leaders of Australia, India,

- Japan, and the United States, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2024/09/21/the-wilmington-declaration-joint-statement-from-the-leaders-of-australia-india-japan-and-the-united-states/>
- [23] U.S. Department of Defense, 2024, AUKUS: The Trilateral Security Partnership Between Australia, U.K. and U.S., viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.defense.gov/Spotlights/AUKUS/>
- [24] Japan Ministry of Defense, 2024, Defense Programs and Budget of Japan, viewed September 28, 2024, [https://www.mod.go.jp/en/d\\_act/d\\_budget/index.html](https://www.mod.go.jp/en/d_act/d_budget/index.html)
- [25] Reid C, 2024, Declining Demographics Challenge South Korea's Defense. In Depth CNA, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.cna.org/our-media/indepth/2024/08/declining-demographics-challenge-south-koreas-defense>
- [26] Childs, 2023, AUKUS and The Nuclear Reaction. IISS, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.iiss.org/online-analysis/military-balance/2023/01/aukus-and-the-nuclear-reaction/>
- [27] Congressional Budget Office, 2024, The Budget and Economic Outlook: 2024 to 2034, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.cbo.gov/publication/59946>
- [28] Zafer U, Yuille A, 2024, NATO Expansion and Security Cooperation: The Impact on Trade, Investment, and Energy Partnerships, viewed September 28, 2024, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382264857\\_NATO\\_Expansion\\_and\\_Security\\_Cooperation\\_The\\_Impact\\_on\\_Trade\\_Investment\\_and\\_Energy\\_Partnerships](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382264857_NATO_Expansion_and_Security_Cooperation_The_Impact_on_Trade_Investment_and_Energy_Partnerships)
- [29] Sharma A, 2023, The AUKUS Expansion: A Step Towards Bolstering Security and Stability in the Indo-Pacific, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.internationalaffairs.org.au/australianoutlook/the-aukus-expansion-a-step-towards-bolstering-security-and-stability-in-the-indo-pacific/>
- [30] Indonesian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2023, Why is Southeast Asia So Concerned About AUKUS and Australia's Plans for Nuclear Submarines. The Conversation, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://theconversation.com/why-is-southeast-asia-so-concerned-about-aukus-and-australias-plans-for-nuclear-submarines-168260>
- [31] Sasaki R, 2023, Now is the Time for Japan to Join AUKUS. East Asia Forum, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://eastasiaforum.org/2023/11/22/now-is-the-time-for-japan-to-join-aukus/>
- [32] Vergun D, 2024, AUKUS Partners Consider Cooperation With Japan. U.S. Department of Defense, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.defense.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/Article/3734336/aukus-partners-consider-cooperation-with-japan/>
- [33] Asia-Pacific Leadership Network, 2021, Implications of the AUKUS Deal, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://www.apln.network/projects/aukus/implications-of-the-aukus-deal>
- [34] Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2024, Economic Security and Geopolitics: Insights into Japan-Korea Cooperation Based on "Economic Security Indicators", viewed September 28, 2024, [https://www.rieti.go.jp/en/rieti\\_report/323.html](https://www.rieti.go.jp/en/rieti_report/323.html)
- [35] World Bank Group, 2024, World Development Indicators, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>
- [36] Lowy Institute Asia Power Index, 2024, Military Capability, viewed September 28, 2024, <https://power.lowyinstitute.org/data/military-capability/>

**Publisher's note**

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.