Proceedings of Business and Economic Studies, 2026, Volume 9, Issue 7
http://ojs.bbwpublisher.com/index.php/PBES
Online ISSN: 2209-265X
Print ISSN: 2209-2641

Research on Smart Supervision Strategies on Funds in Asymmetric Environments: A Perspective of Financial Flows and Information Flows

Shihe Wang*
Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou 310015, Zhejiang, China

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Abstract: Building strategies to supervise funds amid asymmetrical conditions is essential for enhancing economic security. As modern networking technology evolves toward large-scale, distributed, informationized, and digitized neural-network forms, dilemmas such as information asymmetry between regulators and the entities being regulated, resource imbalance, and incentive incompatibility increasingly come to the fore. Traditional supervision and regulatory models are now challenged by decentralization and intelligence. By exploring a DSR framework that integrates blockchain technology to enable full-chain traceability of fund flows, introduces smart contracts to trigger early warning mechanisms automatically, and builds an efficient, sustainable asymmetric fund-supervision system, it can make important practical contributions toward refining the overall financial governance structure.

Keywords: Asymmetric environment; Blockchain; Smart regulation; Fund supervision

Online publication: July 27, 2026

1. Introduction

As the digital economy accelerates, capital flows are swiftly shifting into a decentralized, high-frequency and less visible pattern; the conventional regulation model that relies on centralized reporting and post-hoc review now faces steep challenges in such asymmetric environments. Significant information asymmetry, resource disparities and misaligned incentive goals between regulators and those being regulated lead to problems of supervision, regulatory lag and high compliance costs. In particular, under the widespread adoption of blockchain, smart contracts and distributed ledger technology, the routes of capital movement become more intricate and varied, making it hard for traditional tools to deliver dynamic monitoring and real-time intervention. Against this backdrop, this paper proposes new strategies. Dynamic smart regulation strategies (DSR) integrate blockchain to enable full-chain traceability of fund flows and introduce smart contracts to construct an automated early-warning response mechanism, aiming to break through the supervision and regulatory bottlenecks in asymmetric settings. By systematically analyzing technological feasibility, institutional compatibility, and implementation pathways, this study furnishes theoretical support and practical solutions for

building a highly efficient, transparent, and sustainable modern financial supervision system.

2. Strategic positioning analysis

Party A holds a dominant position with overwhelming advantages in all kinds of resources; Party B is suppressed, struggling to acquire limited resources. Party A possesses highly trained personnel and often controls the rule-making authority, such as legal enforcement mechanisms. Whereas Party B, lacking institutional scaffolding, cannot enforce those formal constraints; instead, it survives by exploiting loopholes in existing systems. Consequently, Party A can adopt a strategy of "centralization, integration, and intelligence"; while Party B just employs a strategy of "decentralization, anti-intelligence, and resistance." While reshaping its architecture, both sides continuously iterate their technologies and strategically reposition themselves.

3. Financial flow network competition

Financial flow competition can be divided into cash flow confrontation and non-cash-equivalent asset confrontation. In cash flow confrontation, it monopolizes the legal, banking, and settlement layers so that every financial transaction ends up both traceable and un-hideable. Party B must either comply with or evade legal frameworks, innovate alternative settlement mechanisms, and deceive banking institutions. Despite technological advances, paper currency and coinage remain widely used in transactional contexts; so-called "unforgeable digital instruments" continue to suffer frequent security breaches and violations; furthermore, combating smuggling remains a persistent challenge—demonstrating that strategies can adapt dynamically to evolving technologies and environments [1]. The key to competition in capital flow lies in controlling the conversion nodes of the fund's form and its traffic, or else mastering those nodes and flows means complete suppression. Common forms of confrontation can be summarized in **Table 1.**

Table 1. Competition in financial flows

Party A Strategy	Party B Strategy
Banking regulation and classification management of bank accounts	Faking identity account opening, underground banks, and fabricated trade
Speeding beneficial ownership transparency program and establishing a central registry database	Exploiting shell companies and offshore havens (e.g., Cayman Islands, Panama)
Strengthening border inspections, airport X-ray scanning, cross-border cash declaration systems, and promoting electronic payments and QR code payments	Using "money mules" to physically smuggle cash and controlling cash-based industries (e.g., waste recycling)
Leveraging AI to analyze anomalies in import-export data and cross-referencing customs and bank records	Money laundering through trade fraud (inflated or understated invoice prices)
Regulating tech companies and requiring them to comply with AML duties while constructing on-chain analysis tools	Transferring funds via NFT markets, gaming platforms, and popular social apps
Banning gambling and preventing match-fixing	Utilizing gambling and match-fixing to transfer funds

4. Information flow network competition

Today's information flow contest amounts to a race among nascent technologies, each trying to win the contest over the emerging information technologies and primitive communication methods. This rivalry is not a simple matter of "who replaces whom," but rather a process of integration, iteration, and coexistence. Party A, holding

dominance, controls adjustable mainstream information networks and leads the development of advanced information technologies. In contrast, Party B, operating under suppression, must continually upgrade outdated communication channels and exploits their vulnerabilities in emerging technologies. The key to competition in information technology lies in continuous innovation and ecosystem construction: first, it requires rapid iteration of product features through efficient research and development investment so that users can obtain more precise and convenient usage experiences; second, it emphasizes deep mining of data assets and value transformation, turning massive amounts of information into actionable business insights and using this foundation to build a multi-dimensional, closed-loop service network that creates complementary win-win relationships between partners and end users; third, it builds a flexible cost control mechanism by standardizing components and employing modular design to reduce unit production costs while providing scalable platform support to adapt to changing needs across different scenarios; finally, we must focus on a global perspective, establishing cross-regional, cross-cultural collaborative work systems that enable teams to quickly respond to market dynamics and seize opportunities [2]. Common counter-strategies are summarized in **Table 2.**

Table 2. Competition in information flows

Party A Strategy	Party B Strategy
Open Source Intelligence (OSINT) Analysis	Use botnets to relay information
Surveillance systems with fingerprint and facial recognition at critical locations (airports, subways, high-speed rail stations, intersections, etc.)	Exploit unwitting logistics personnel or members of the public to deliver information
Introduce edge computing nodes to enhance rapid monitoring and response capabilities	Utilize dynamic network and breakpoint resume transmission with low-cost drone swarms as mobile relay nodes to establish temporary or disposable networks
Leverage AI and big data for multi-source heterogeneous intelligence correlation analysis	Inject false data via AI to deceive and mislead
Adopt blockchain technology to ensure tamper-proof recording and traceability of critical intelligence	Embed physical entities and biological means into information systems to disrupt recording and tracing chains
Develop a “Deception Intelligence Generation System” to proactively release false information to confuse the adversary	Decompose missions and information, recruit peripheral personnel and unsuspecting civilians for proxy activities
System alerts and direct special operations forces deployment	Deceive unaware members of the public to gather and divert the adversary’s attention

5. Achieve new advantages in fund supervision

The competition in fund supervision is essentially a contest of controlling capital forms and managing information; it is necessary to grasp both hands firmly. Both sides must be strong; then it can achieve new advantages in fund supervision in the future. Regulators should first construct a unified data-integration platform that aggregates and normalizes information from all relevant business entities via extensible APIs; next, they apply rule-based validation to ensure format consistency and impute missing values, embed a feedback loop that dynamically generates monitoring rules driven by real-time supervision and regulatory demands using multi-layered smart contract models, translate machine-learning outputs into actionable indicator tables through pipelines, then employ multi-dimensional analytical techniques and distributed computing nodes for in-depth transaction-chain parsing, clustering, and grouping to build a comprehensive supervisory network with low-latency query capabilities; finally, they incorporate visualization tools generated by intelligent algorithms to present panoramic views of the transaction landscape, export results in standard formats for decision makers, continuously update model parameters through automated optimization routines, all within a cloud-based closed

loop that enhances data-processing efficiency, promotes inter-agency collaboration, and adds tangible value to economic growth and financial security.

On one hand, there is a need to strengthen the supervision of capital forms. The fund regulation framework should be further consolidated; for mobile payment services, dynamic adjustments can be made through real-time traffic monitoring and rule engines to fill in missing data promptly [3]. Strengthen regulation of value transfer on cross-border e-commerce platforms by incorporating product prices, supply-chain nodes, and other relevant information into a single data pool, achieving full-chain tracking [4]. Further refine statistical reports and feedback mechanisms so that all supervision and regulatory agencies can cooperate based on shared data. By instituting unified data-collection standards across banks, payment providers, securities firms, and other entities, coupled with real-time dynamic tagging of cash flows, batch thresholding, and AI-driven association analysis. It can streamline supervision of cash, transfers, virtual currencies, and blockchain value streams to boost query efficiency, coverage, and early detection of abnormal fund clusters. Strengthen blockchain and virtual-currency monitoring by promptly dismantling illegal trading venues [5]. Merge blockchain technology with cloud computing to build a flexible, scalable data warehouse that enhances the adaptive capacity of the monitoring system.

On the other hand, it is necessary to push forward the intelligent regulation of information flow. The transformation of fund supervision leverages advanced analytics, machine learning, and real-time data ingestion technologies to broaden coverage, continuously monitor trades, and capture early risk indicators along with anomalous patterns of accumulated risk. By using large models that apply correct algorithms, similar portfolios can be grouped, tagged for deviation from expected standards, then should be integrated into a covariation framework that improves the precision of risk assessment. By deploying a robust stress testing framework, regulators can emulate market shock scenarios that automatically trigger alerts and steer immediate, corrective measures. Blockchain and distributed ledgers can add another layer of assurance for supervision and regulatory transparency. By storing each transaction in an append-only ledger, auditors can use smart contracts with preset compliance rules to follow nested electronic records in real time, thereby reconstructing the full trade history.

6. Build a dynamic smart regulatory framework (DSR)

Build a dynamic, smart supervision and regulatory system that fuses capital flow monitoring with information flow oversight to deliver scalable, intelligent, and adaptive governance. By harnessing big data and AI, it constructs a dynamic, unified fund supervision framework that blends capital flow and information flow monitoring into a single design by leveraging distributed storage, a standardized representation model, and an online learning engine to deliver real-time oversight.

First, set up an extensible, smart data collection platform that can aggregate transaction records in real time from diverse actors--banks, insurers, foundations, etc. Each field is mapped to its appropriate indicator set using a unified encoding standard. Leveraging deep-learning feature extraction and multi-dimensional vectorization, it is should classify and aggregate transaction data, then deploy a hierarchical threshold scheme to fine-tune monitoring for large institutions while maintaining broader coverage for smaller firms; subsequently it is fuse outputs from convolutional, recurrent, and support-vector models into it isighted risk scores and construct a layered data-visualization system that enables regulators to swiftly isolate anomalous nodes and trace their evolution across the transaction chain. Combined with a cloud storage strategy, it allows data to migrate seamlessly into analytics servers across time windows and then push the processed outputs to the subsequent decision-making module.

Second, construct a dynamic smart supervision and regulatory system to boost the framework's

adaptability. By deploying automated data-cleaning, rule engine validation, and extensible standardization interfaces, regulators can embed real-time feedback loops that dynamically generate multi-layered monitoring strategies, construct comprehensive supervisory networks through clustering and statistical modeling, periodically optimize parameters, and output actionable indicator tables to achieve precise early warning for money laundering activity—a concise but comprehensive sentence [6]. Use distributed nodes; it would produce one long sentence. Distributed computing nodes are deployed to process data efficiently, delivering real-time feedback to each entity so that regulators can continuously monitor progress and swiftly recalibrate strategies across phases; by integrating deep-learning training with live streams, the system embeds multi-layered monitoring points and dynamic thresholds, while distributed caching accelerates queries to milliseconds, and then leverages algorithmically generated visual reports for panoramic transaction by exporting results in standard formats which followed by a dynamic update mechanism that lets the data-integration platform perpetually adjust parameters to meet evolving business demands and market fluctuations, thereby infusing new vitality into illegal funding efforts, sharpening monitoring precision, furnishing actionable references for future tasks, and enabling end-to-end tracking of laundering activity that boosts processing efficiency, nurtures inter-entity collaboration, and contributes substantial value to economic development and financial security [7]. A hierarchical management structure within the system can perform differentiated monitoring based on the scale of each business entity, transaction type, and regional characteristics, and use the elastic scalability features of a cloud-computing platform to achieve seamless integration of multi-dimensional data.

Finally, relevant departments and financial institutions need to jointly develop a unified standardization interface and smart contract so that all types of business entities can quickly upload transaction data and use automated scripts to generate corresponding lists for subsequent statistical analysis. By embedding parameter tuning for predictive models, regulators can adjust settings on the fly using real-time feedback; intelligent algorithms construct multi-dimensional association networks and machine learning tools dissect transaction chains to rapidly identify potential laundering nodes, with cloud-distributed computing pushing every update instantaneously. By embedding parameters and tuning for predictive models into the system, regulators can fine-adjust settings on the fly using real-time feedback; intelligent algorithms construct multi-dimensional association networks and machine learning tools dissect transaction chains to rapidly pinpoint potential money laundering nodes, while cloud-based distributed computing resources push every data update instantaneously. Regulators can stay abreast of the latest developments, enabling monitoring and collaborative governance that boosts overall supervisory efficiency and injects fresh energy into the evolving financial ecosystem, thereby creating a sustainably developing supervision system.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Martin D, O'Connor F, 2022, Post-Pandemic Fund Supervision: Remote Auditing and Supervision and Regulatory Resilience. Capital Financial Management, 20(3): 210–235.

[2] Harknett RJ, Johnson DC, 2015, Asymmetric Warfare and Intelligence: A Framework for Analysis. Parameters, 45(1): 61–74.

[3] Bannister D, Vazquez M, 2017, Risk-Based Approaches to Financial Supervision: Institutional Capacity and Supervision and Regulatory Effectiveness in Developing Economies. Journal of Financial Regulation, 3(2): 164–188.

[4] Hufbauer GC, Schott JJ, 2021, Sanctions and Anti-Money Laundering: The Intersection of Economic Statecraft and

Financial Regulation. Journal of International Economic Law, 24(2): 257–286.

[5] Gill P, McDermott J, 2020, The Role of Financial Intelligence Units in Combating Money Laundering: A Global Comparative Analysis. Crime, Law and Social Change, 74(2): 185–206.

[6] Reuter P, Haas A, 2021, The Effectiveness of Anti-Money Laundering Regulations: Evidence from U.S. Financial Institutions. Journal of Financial Regulation, 7(1): 45–68.

[7] Hughes M, Patel R, 2022, Supervision and Regulatory Technology in Fund Oversight: Bridging the Compliance Gap. Capital International Journal of Auditing, 15(2): 145–168.