Journal of World Architecture, 2025, Volume 9, Issue 5
https://ojs.bbwpublisher.com/index.php/JWA
Online ISSN: 2208-3499
Print ISSN: 2208-3480

Research on the Design and Structural Performance of Customized Building Components Based on 3D Printing Technology

Zijiang Huang*

Fuzhou University of International Studies and Trade, Fuzhou 350202, Fujian, China

**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Abstract: Additive manufacturing, commonly known as 3D printing, is transitioning from prototyping to a viable construction technology, enabling unprecedented geometric freedom and material efficiency. This paper focuses on the design, manufacturing, and structural performance of customized, non-standard building components fabricated through concrete 3D printing. It investigates the interplay between computational design tools (e.g., topology optimization, generative design) and the constraints and opportunities of the extrusion-based 3D printing process. The mechanical properties of printed concrete, particularly the anisotropic behavior due to layer-by-layer deposition, are critically analyzed. A series of mechanical tests on printed specimens (compression, flexural, and inter-layer shear) is presented and compared with cast-in-place concrete. The research demonstrates that through intelligent design that aligns with the printing path and material properties, 3D printed components can achieve superior strength-to-weight ratios and novel functional integration (e.g., internal cooling channels). This work provides valuable insights for architects and engineers seeking to leverage 3D printing for creating high-performance, architecturally expressive building elements.

Keywords: 3D concrete printing; Additive manufacturing; Topology optimization; Structural performance

1. Introduction

The relentless pursuit of architectural innovation and expression frequently gravitates towards complex, non-repetitive, and organic geometries. These forms, while aesthetically compelling and often structurally efficient, have historically been economically unfeasible to construct using traditional formwork-based techniques. The fabrication of custom molds for such unique shapes is prohibitively expensive and time-consuming, rendering most ambitious designs impractical. 3D concrete printing (3DCP) emerges as a profoundly disruptive solution to this long-standing impediment, offering a paradigm shift by building structures layer-by-layer directly from a digital model. This additive approach fundamentally eliminates the need for expensive molds, drastically reduces material waste through precise deposition, and unlocks the potential for mass customization at an unprecedented scale. However, this newfound freedom introduces a significant engineering challenge. This paper delves into the core imperative of 3DCP: ensuring that the aesthetically driven, computationally optimized geometries are also structurally sound, reliable, and capable of meeting stringent performance requirements. We aim to bridge the critical gap between computational design, which explores the realm of the possible, and structural engineering, which governs the realm of the safe and feasible, all within the transformative context of additive manufacturing for construction.

2. Computational design for additive manufacturing

Designing effectively for 3DCP necessitates not merely the adoption of a new set of digital tools, but a complete and fundamental rethinking of conventional architectural and structural design paradigms. Traditional methods are inherently constrained by the limitations of formwork, the economics of standardized shapes, and established construction practices, which collectively stifle innovation and limit the freedom to exploit the unique capabilities of additive manufacturing. In stark contrast, design for additive manufacturing (DfAM) proactively leverages the flexibility of 3D printing to realize geometrically complex, functionally graded, and highly efficient forms and structures that were previously considered unbuildable or economically non-viable.

A central and powerful component of DfAM is topology optimization (TO), a computational physics-based approach that systematically determines the most efficient material distribution within a predefined design space, subject to specific loading conditions, boundary conditions, and performance constraints. TO algorithms, such as the solid isotropic material with penalization (SIMP) method or evolutionary structural optimization techniques, work iteratively to remove material from regions experiencing low stress while retaining and reinforcing material along critical high-stress paths. The outcome is often an organic, bone-like, or lattice-like geometry that maximizes structural efficiency (stiffness-to-weight ratio) and minimizes material usage, thereby promoting sustainability.

However, these structurally optimal geometries are often highly complex, featuring intricate internal voids, branching structures, and significant overhangs that cannot be fabricated using traditional subtractive or formative methods. They are, nevertheless, ideally suited for the layer-by-layer additive construction inherent to 3D printing. To bridge the gap between theoretical optimization and practical printability, generative design tools are employed. These tools allow designers to input a set of print-process-specific constraints, such as minimum printable feature size, maximum allowable overhang angles (to prevent collapse during printing without supports), and the desired orientation of print layers for optimal mechanical performance. The generative algorithms then iteratively adjust and refine the topology to avoid manufacturing failures, reduce the need for temporary support material, and ensure the continuous, uninterrupted flow of concrete extrusion.

Furthermore, toolpath optimization constitutes an essential and often overlooked step in the DfAM process for 3DCP. The printing path is no longer merely a trajectory to fill a shape; it is algorithmically generated to not only accurately reproduce the optimized geometry but also to actively enhance the mechanical performance of the printed part. For instance, by strategically aligning the extrusion direction with the principal stress trajectories identified through finite element analysis, the resulting component can achieve a higher load-bearing capacity and improved durability, effectively tailoring the material's inherent anisotropy to its structural purpose. These integrated computational strategies—topology optimization, generative design, and toolpath planning—collectively enable the creation of highly efficient, structurally sound, and materially optimized components that fully exploit the disruptive potential of additive manufacturing in construction.

3. Material characteristics and anisotropic behavior

The extrusion-based 3D concrete printing process imposes unique and pronounced characteristics on the resulting material, the most significant of which is pronounced anisotropy in its mechanical properties. This stands in sharp contrast to traditionally cast concrete, which, if properly vibrated and cured, can generally be assumed to exhibit isotropic behavior owing to its uniform compaction and monolithic hydration process. 3D-printed concrete, however, is built up in discrete layers. The interface between these successive layers, formed as each new layer is extruded onto a previously deposited one that has already begun setting, introduces inherent potential weaknesses due to the so-called “cold joint” phenomenon. This is where fresh material bonds imperfectly to a partially set or hardened material, creating a plane of weakness. This layered architecture results in significantly higher mechanical strength along the plane of the layers (the parallel direction) compared to the direction perpendicular to the layers (the inter-layer direction).

A multitude of interrelated factors contribute to the degree of this anisotropy. The rheological properties of the concrete mix are paramount: its yield stress and viscosity must ensure it holds its shape immediately after extrusion while remaining sufficiently workable to bond with the next layer. The contact surface area between layers can be imperfect, potentially leaving behind microscopic voids, pores, or poorly bonded interfaces. The time gap between subsequent layer depositions (layer interval time) is critical; too long a gap reduces moisture content and hydration potential at the interface, weakening the bond. Environmental conditions, such as ambient temperature and humidity, further influence the rate of setting and evaporation, thereby impacting bond quality. Consequently, the compressive, tensile, and flexural strengths measured perpendicular to the print layers are often substantially lower—typically in the range of 20–40%—than those measured parallel to the layers.

To address these critical challenges, extensive research has focused on multi-faceted optimization of the concrete mix design specifically for 3D printing. Enhancing thixotropy—the ability of the mix to exhibit low viscosity and high fluidity during extrusion (under shear stress) but rapidly regain a high yield stress and stiffen to support subsequent layers immediately upon resting—is considered a key objective. Chemical admixtures play a crucial role: superplasticizers improve flowability and reduce yield stress for pumping without increasing water content, which is essential for maintaining ultimate strength, while viscosity-modifying agents enhance green strength and shape stability. Set accelerators can be used to ensure rapid stiffening, improving buildability. Furthermore, the inclusion of micro-fibers, such as polypropylene or steel fibers, helps bridge micro-cracks and the inter-layer interface, increasing tensile strength, ductility, and reducing the likelihood of plastic shrinkage cracks. Research into chemical admixtures that promote secondary hydration or crystalline growth across the interface is also ongoing to enhance chemical bonding and improve overall structural integrity.

In summary, understanding, characterizing, and mitigating anisotropic behavior is absolutely critical for predicting structural performance and ensuring the long-term reliability and safety of 3D-printed concrete structures. Ongoing research into advanced mix designs, real-time process control, and potential post-processing treatments continues to push the boundaries of performance and scalability for extrusion-based additive manufacturing in construction.

4. Experimental methodology and results

4.1. Materials development

The comprehensive experimental program commenced with the meticulous formulation and development of a high-performance, fine-grained concrete mix specifically engineered for extrusion-based 3D printing. The mix design process involved an iterative, empirical testing protocol to achieve optimal and balanced rheological properties, focusing on the critical triad of pumpability (ease of transportation), extrudability (ease of deposition through a nozzle), and buildability (ability to support weight without deformation). A Portland cement-based binder system with carefully selected supplementary cementitious materials (like silica fume or fly ash) was used to enhance cohesiveness and final density. High-range water-reducing superplasticizers were incorporated at an optimal dosage to significantly enhance the flow characteristics of the concrete without increasing the water-to-binder ratio, which is paramount for achieving high mechanical strength. Polypropylene fibers, 6–12 mm in length, were added to the mix at carefully controlled dosages (typically 0.1–0.5% by volume); these fibers serve primarily to inhibit plastic and hardened state crack propagation, increase ductility post-cracking, and improve the cohesion and green strength of the freshly extruded filaments. Additional specialized admixtures, such as viscosity-modifying agents to prevent segregation and ensure stability, and set accelerators to control the stiffening rate and ensure rapid gain of early strength, were judiciously used to guarantee that each layer would stiffen rapidly enough to support the weight of subsequent layers without significant deformation or collapse [1].

4.2. Printing procedure

All test specimens were printed using a robust three-axis gantry-style 3D printer equipped with a progressive cavity pump for consistent material flow and capable of precise digital control over all critical extrusion parameters. The key process variables systematically investigated included layer height (e.g., 10–20 mm), nozzle travel speed (e.g., 50–100 mm/s), and extrusion rate (calibrated to match travel speed and achieve a continuous filament). By methodically varying these parameters according to a designed experimental plan, the research aimed to quantitatively assess their individual and interactive impact on both print quality (e.g., dimensional accuracy, surface finish, filament uniformity) and the resulting mechanical properties of the hardened concrete. The printed specimens included standard 100 mm cubes for compressive strength tests and 40×40×160 mm prisms for flexural tests. Critically, each specimen type was printed in two distinct orientations relative to the printing bed: one with the loading direction parallel to the printed layers and another with the loading direction perpendicular to the layers. This strategic orientation allowed for a direct and clear evaluation of the material's anisotropic behavior. All printing operations were conducted in a controlled laboratory environment with stable temperature and humidity to minimize variability due to fluctuating ambient conditions.

4.3. Mechanical testing

After a standard 28-day curing period under controlled laboratory conditions (wrapped in plastic to prevent moisture loss), the printed specimens were subjected to a comprehensive series of destructive mechanical tests. Uniaxial compression tests were performed using a servo-controlled universal testing machine, with specimens loaded both parallel to and perpendicular to the print layers to directly quantify anisotropy. Flexural strength (modulus of rupture) tests were conducted using a standard three-point bending setup on the prism specimens. To provide a rigorous benchmark and isolate the effect of the printing process itself, identical specimens were fabricated by traditional casting in steel molds, using the exact same batch of concrete mix. This provided a direct and meaningful comparison between the properties of conventionally cast concrete and 3D-printed concrete, under otherwise identical material conditions [2].

4.4. Results and analysis

The test results unequivocally confirmed the theoretically anticipated and pronounced anisotropic behavior in the printed specimens. Compressive strength measured in the direction perpendicular to the print layers was consistently found to be 20–30% lower than the strength measured parallel to the layers. However, the use of the optimized fiber-reinforced mix design, combined with careful calibration and control of printing parameters (notably a minimal time gap between layers and optimal nozzle standoff distance), led to a significant improvement in inter-layer bond strength compared to values often reported in earlier literature. Notably, the inter-layer (perpendicular) compressive strength reached up to 85% of that measured for the traditionally cast concrete, indicating substantial progress in mitigating the weaknesses typically associated with the layered extrusion process. Flexural tests exhibited a similar trend, with the parallel-oriented specimens outperforming the perpendicular ones. The inclusion of polypropylene fibers effectively enhanced the overall ductility and toughness in both directions, transforming the brittle failure mode of plain concrete into a more gradual, pseudo-ductile failure with multiple micro-cracks [3].

These findings powerfully underscore the inextricable link and critical importance of both advanced material science (mix design) and precise process control (printing parameters) in achieving high-quality, structurally reliable 3D-printed concrete. The results suggest that, with continued refinement and standardization, extrusion-based 3DCP can reliably produce structural components with mechanical properties approaching those of conventionally cast concrete, while simultaneously offering the unparalleled added benefits of geometric freedom, digital integration, and material efficiency.

5. Case study: Topology-optimized structural node

To demonstrate the practical integration of these computational and material advancements, a specific case study was conducted on the design, optimization, and fabrication of a critical structural node for a complex space frame structure. Traditionally, such nodes are heavy, often over-engineered solid elements, designed conservatively to accommodate multiple intersecting members and transfer complex loads efficiently. However, through the application of advanced computational design techniques tailored for additive manufacturing, a radically different, lightweight, and high-performance approach was implemented [4].

The process began with a thorough structural analysis to define the node's functional requirements, including the precise magnitude and direction of all expected loads from the connecting members and the spatial constraints of their arrangement. Using density-based topology optimization (SIMP method) within the defined design space and under these constraints, material was iteratively removed from regions experiencing minimal stress. This process resulted in a highly efficient, organic, and intricate geometry that closely follows the natural load paths, effectively creating a strut-and-node system within a single component. This optimization not only reduced the weight of the node by approximately 40% compared to a conventional prismatic design but also created an aesthetically distinctive form that would be virtually impossible to fabricate accurately using any subtractive or formative manufacturing methods [5].

To ensure practical printability, the generative design process incorporated specific constraints related to the 3D printing process. These included defining a maximum allowable overhang angle (e.g., 45 degrees) to avoid the need for support structures, establishing a minimum printable wall thickness, and defining a favorable print orientation. The final optimized geometry was then subjected to automated toolpath generation. Critically, the extrusion paths were strategically aligned with the principal stress trajectories previously identified in a detailed finite element analysis (FEA). This deliberate alignment maximized the mechanical performance of the node, as the printed layers—and the inherent strength direction—were oriented to best resist the predominant applied loads, turning material anisotropy into a design advantage.

The as-designed node was subsequently evaluated using high-fidelity structural FEA simulations, which confirmed that, despite the substantial weight reduction, the component could safely withstand all design loads with a comfortable safety factor. This case study not only exemplifies the power of integrating computational design (TO, generative design) with additive manufacturing for producing high-performance, lightweight structural components but also tangibly highlights the significant potential for material savings, reduced embodied energy, and waste reduction in construction through the adoption of these synergistic technologies [6].

6. Challenges and future directions

Despite the significant advances demonstrated in both research and pioneering projects, several critical and interconnected challenges remain to be addressed before 3D concrete printing can achieve widespread, code-governed adoption in the mainstream construction industry. One major hurdle is the current lack of standardized testing, qualification, and certification protocols specifically developed for 3D-printed structures. Unlike conventional construction materials (e.g., cast-in-place concrete, steel rebar), which are governed by well-established international codes and standards, the unique properties of printed materials—especially their pronounced anisotropic behavior, dependency on process parameters, and potential for localized defects—necessitate the development of entirely new evaluation criteria, safety factors, and performance-based design guidelines.

Another significant challenge lies in scaling up the technology for robust, large-scale, and cost-effective real-world applications. While small-scale elements, architectural features, and proof-of-concept prototypes have been successfully printed, the transition to load-bearing, full-scale structural components like walls and columns requires continued improvements in printer scalability, reliability, automation, and the logistics of continuous material delivery on busy job sites. Furthermore, the integration of reinforcement remains a primary focus. The automated placement of continuous steel rebar, the embedding of pre-tensioned cables, or the development of novel reinforcement strategies (e.g., robotic welding of in-process wire mesh, use of high-strength fiber reinforcement) are active and critical areas of research essential for realizing the full structural potential of 3D-printed concrete, particularly in seismic zones [7].

Looking ahead, future research directions are expansive and interdisciplinary. They include the development of multi-material and functionally graded printing technologies, allowing for the strategic distribution of different materials within a single component to achieve optimal performance characteristics (e.g., high strength in one area, high insulation in another). The integration of smart materials—capable of sensing strain (self-sensing), self-healing micro-cracks, or adapting to changing environmental conditions—offers exciting possibilities for the next generation of intelligent, durable, and responsive structures. Additionally, advances in real-time process monitoring (e.g., computer vision for layer inspection, ultrasonic testing for bond quality) coupled with closed-loop control systems will be crucial for enhancing reliability, ensuring quality assurance, and unlocking the full creative potential of additive manufacturing in construction [8].

7. Conclusion

3D concrete printing technology fundamentally unlocks a new realm of possibilities for architectural expression, structural performance, and material sustainability. This research has demonstrated that moving beyond the limitations of traditional construction requires an integrated methodology where design is intrinsically linked to the manufacturing process and a deep understanding of material science. By leveraging computational tools like topology optimization and generative design, architects and engineers can co-create components that are not only visually striking and geometrically complex but also structurally efficient, materially economical, and environmentally conscious. This study specifically underscored the critical importance of understanding, characterizing, and designing for material anisotropy inherent in the layer-by-layer process. It has been shown that through sophisticated mix design incorporating fibers and admixtures, along with precise control of printing parameters, the mechanical performance of printed concrete can approach that of traditional concrete. By embracing this holistic approach, the industry can harness the full potential of 3D printing to revolutionize architectural engineering, paving the way for a future built environment that is lighter, stronger, more resource-efficient, and more responsive to the multifaceted needs of modern society.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Buswell RA, Leal de Silva WR, Jones SZ, et al., 2018, 3D Printing Using Concrete Extrusion: A Roadmap for Research. Cement and Concrete Research, 112: 37–49.

[2] Wang L, Ma G, Li Z, 2021, Anisotropic Behavior in 3D Printed Concrete: A Review. Additive Manufacturing, 47: 102328.

[3] Gosselin C, Duballet R, Roux P, et al., 2016, Large-Scale 3D Printing of Ultra-High Performance Concrete—A New Processing Route for Architects and Builders. Materials & Design, 100: 102–109.

[4] Le TT, Austin SA, Lim S, et al., 2012, Hardened Properties of High-Performance Printing Concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, 42(3): 558–566.

[5] Xia M, Sanjayan J, 2016, Method of Formulating Geopolymer for 3D Printing for Construction Applications. Materials & Design, 110: 382–390.

[6] Wu P, Wang J, Wang X, 2016, A Critical Review of the Use of 3D Printing in the Construction Industry. Automation in Construction, 68: 21–31.

[7] Nerella VN, Hempel S, Mechtcherine V, 2019, Effects of Layer-Interface Properties on Mechanical Performance of Concrete Elements Produced by Extrusion-Based 3D-Printing. Construction and Building Materials, 205: 586–601.

[8] Lim S, Buswell RA, Le TT, et al., 2012, Development of a Viable Concrete Printing Process, Proceedings of the 28th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction (ISARC 2012), 665–670.