Journal of Electronic Research and Application, 2024, Volume 8, Issue 1
http://ojs.bbwpublisher.com/index.php/JERA
ISSN Online: 2208-3510
ISSN Print: 2208-3502

Instrument Automation Control System Faults and Maintenance

Jianwei Li*

China Institute for Radiation Protection, Taiyuan 030006, Shanxi Province, China

Corresponding author: Jianwei Li, 277102630@qq.com

Abstract: This article explores the topic of fault diagnosis and maintenance strategies for instrument automation control systems, analyzing them through specific cases. The aim of this research is to improve the stability and reliability of the system by conducting a thorough investigation of faults and maintenance in instrument automation control systems. By doing so, this research hopes to provide a strong guarantee for the smooth progress of industrial production.

Keywords: Instrument automation; Control system failure; Maintenance

Online publication: January 18, 2024

1. Preface

Industrial production automation represents an important trend in the development of modern industry. It not only improves production efficiency and quality but also reduces labor costs and human errors. The instrument automation control system constitutes a vital component of industrial production automation, with its operational status significantly impacting the stability and reliability of the entire industrial production process.

The technology and hardware of instrument automation control systems are continually evolving. New technologies and hardware upgrades contribute to improved system performance and stability as well as enhance the maintainability and scalability of the system. The instrument automation control system is playing an increasingly pivotal role in industrial production. However, owing to factors such as the complexity of the control system and prolonged high-intensity operation, various faults may arise in the instrument automation control system, including abnormal pressure, excessive temperature, material leakage, and more. These safety hazards pose a significant risk of causing serious harm to personnel and equipment and may even lead to major safety accidents [1-6]. Therefore, conducting in-depth research on the faults and maintenance of instrument automation control systems holds immense practical significance.

2. Instrument automation control system failure

2.1. Control system hardware failure

(1) Power failure: This may be caused by insufficient power supply, poor contact, or a short circuit in the power line. A power failure can lead to improper functioning of the control system.

(2) Controller failure: The controller, a core component of the instrument automation control system, can cause the entire system to malfunction if it fails [7]. Controller failure may result from hardware or software issues.

(3) Sensor failure: Sensors, crucial measuring devices in the instrument automation control system, can compromise measurement accuracy when they fail. Sensor failure may stem from aging, wear, poor contact, or a short circuit in the connecting wire.

(4) Actuator failure: Actuators, vital output devices of the control system, can disrupt normal execution if they fail [8]. Actuator failure may result from hardware or software issues.

2.2. Control system software failure

(1) Control program errors: Software failures may be caused by errors in the control program, stemming from design flaws, incorrect programming language, or logic errors.

(2) Human-machine interface failure: The human-machine interface, the link between the control system and the operator, can impede normal operation if it fails. Failures may include a non-responsive display screen, malfunctioning buttons, an insensitive touch screen, or errors in serial port communication.

(3) Data processing errors: Data processing, a crucial function of the control system, may lead to abnormal operation if errors occur [9]. Issues may arise from incorrect data input, flawed data processing algorithms, or inaccurate data output.

2.3. Failures caused by external factors

(1) Environmental factors: High temperature, low temperature, moisture, dust, and vibration are environmental factors that may adversely affect the hardware and software of the control system, leading to failure.

(2) Lightning and surge: Common natural disasters like lightning and surges can damage the control system hardware and software. Protective measures should be taken to prevent such damage.

(3) Electromagnetic interference: Electromagnetic interference may adversely affect signal transmission and data processing, causing failure [10]. To mitigate this impact, appropriate electromagnetic shielding and filtering measures are necessary.

(4) Power supply system failure: Issues such as an unstable power supply, poor contact, or a short circuit in the power line may affect the normal operation of the control system, leading to hardware and software damage. Ensuring the stability and reliability of the power supply system requires protective measures, such as equipping backup power supplies or using uninterruptible power supplies.

3. Instrument automation control system fault maintenance methods

3.1. Troubleshooting

(1) Direct observation method: Observe the instrument's appearance, indicator lights, and display panel to check for abnormalities such as damage, non-illuminated indicator lights, or abnormal displays [11].

(2) Auditory method: Listen carefully to the equipment's running sounds, such as the rotation of the fan or alarm sounds [12]. Unusual sounds may indicate a device malfunction.
(3) Touch method: Physically touch the device's surface and circuit connections to detect overheating, looseness, etc.
(4) Smell method: If there are odors such as burning or burnt wires, the equipment may be malfunctioning.
(5) Instrument detection method: Utilize multimeters, oscilloscopes, and other tools to measure voltage, current, and other parameters, determining the fault location and cause.

3.2. Daily maintenance

Regularly inspect the instrument automation control system, including hardware, software, power supply systems, and other components. Pay attention to the equipment's operating status, line connections, cooling device conditions, etc. Regularly clean and maintain the control system equipment, keeping the equipment's surface clean and preventing dust and debris from affecting its normal operation. Perform regular backups of crucial control system data, including system settings, control programs, historical data, etc., to prevent data loss or damage [13-15].

3.3. Troubleshooting

Based on the fault manifestation, determine the type and possible causes, such as hardware equipment failure, software system failure, power supply system failure, etc. [16]. Formulate corresponding maintenance plans based on the fault type. For hardware equipment failure, consider replacing spare parts or repairing damaged equipment. For software system failure, reinstalling or updating the software may be necessary. For power supply system failure, check the power line or equip a backup power supply. During maintenance, prioritize safe operations and protective measures. Ensure the quality and compatibility of spare parts if replacements or repairs are needed. Ensure the stability and compatibility of the software during reinstallation or updates [17]. After maintenance, test the control system to ensure its normal operation. Record and summarize the maintenance process for future reference.

3.4. Preventive measures

Regularly perform preventive maintenance, including replacing worn parts, tightening loose screws, cleaning heat dissipation devices, etc., to prevent equipment failures. Establish maintenance files for the control system, recording equipment maintenance history and spare parts replacement, facilitating comprehensive management and maintenance [18-20]. Conduct training and technical upgrades for maintenance personnel to improve their skill level and professionalism in maintaining the control system. Strengthen security protection to prevent unauthorized access and malicious attacks, ensuring the security and stability of the system.

4. Case analysis

4.1. Case 1

A petrochemical enterprise boasts an advanced instrument automation control system primarily utilized for monitoring and controlling the production process. However, recent operational issues have led to a decrease in production efficiency. To swiftly restore normal system operation, the company decided to conduct fault diagnosis and repair of the control system.

4.1.1. Troubleshooting

Firstly, examine the hardware equipment of the control system, including sensors, actuators, controllers, etc. The inspection revealed that the signal transmission lines of some sensors were aging, causing unstable signal transmission. Secondly, test the software functions of the control system. Anomalies were identified in some functional modules. After analysis, it was determined that software version incompatibility was the root cause. Next, scrutinize the network communication of the control system, revealing interference and signal attenuation problems leading to data transmission delays and losses.

4.1.2. Maintenance process

Based on the fault diagnosis results, prepare corresponding maintenance tools and spare parts, such as multimeters, oscilloscopes, spare sensors, and software upgrade packages. Replace seriously aging sensor lines to ensure stable signal transmission. Resolve issues with incompatible software versions by either upgrading the software or rolling back to a stable version. Optimize and adjust network communication lines, incorporating signal amplifiers and anti-interference equipment to address communication problems. Debug and calibrate the repaired system to ensure all functions operate normally. Record the fault diagnosis and maintenance process in detail to provide a reference for future maintenance work. After passing the company's acceptance, the control system is delivered back to the production department for use. Propose preventive measures for the cause of the fault and the maintenance process, such as regularly checking hardware equipment, upgrading software versions, optimizing network configuration, etc.

4.1.3. Result analysis

This fault diagnosis and maintenance process involves hardware inspection, software functional testing, network communication debugging, and other aspects. Through steps such as preparing maintenance tools and spare parts, replacing faulty parts, repairing software, and adjusting network communications, the problems in the control system were ultimately resolved. Simultaneously, preventive maintenance recommendations are presented to reduce the incidence of similar failures and enhance the stability and reliability of the control system.

4.2. Case 2

The DCS control system of a chemical plant suddenly crashed during the production process. Upon inspection, it was discovered that a key component of the operator station failed. Due to the timely replacement of spare parts, the system quickly returned to normal operation. This case underscores the importance of equipping spare parts for critical equipment and establishing an emergency response mechanism. When a failure occurs, measures should be promptly taken to restore the normal operation of the equipment.

4.3. Case 3

The automated control system of a sewage treatment plant often experiences data transmission delays and data loss. Upon investigation, it was identified that interference and signal attenuation problems existed in the network communication lines. Optimizing the network configuration and adding signal amplifiers resolved the issue. This case highlights the significance of focusing on the maintenance and optimization of the network communication component for systems involving data transmission. Targeted measures should be implemented to address problems promptly when they arise.

4.4. Case 4

During the production process, a steel plant's heating furnace automation control system suddenly failed to control the furnace temperature. Upon inspection, it was revealed that the insulation layer of the sensor circuit was damaged, causing signal interference. After repairing the circuit, the system returned to normal operation. This case underscores the necessity of regularly inspecting and maintaining sensor signal transmission lines. Promptly addressing any damage or aging in the lines is crucial to avoiding potential risks of failure.

5. Summary

This article emphasizes the importance of system maintenance by exploring fault diagnosis and maintenance strategies for instrument automation control systems and analyzing specific cases. In practical applications, it is essential to formulate corresponding maintenance plans and measures tailored to the system's characteristics and the operating environment. Regular inspections, preventive maintenance, emergency interventions, and technical upgrades are crucial avenues to effectively enhance the stability and reliability of the instrument automation control system. These efforts provide a robust guarantee for the seamless advancement of industrial production.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Wang J, Jia F, 2023, Failure and Maintenance of Electrical Instruments in Automatic Control Systems. Chemical Industry Management, 2023(20): 127–130.

[2] Liu X, 2023, Research on Instrument Automation Control System Faults and Maintenance. Modern Manufacturing Technology and Equipment, 59(6): 180–182.

[3] Chu C, He Y, Ji B, et al., 2022, Preventive Maintenance of Flow Measurement System. Petrochemical Automation, 58(6): 75–80.

[4] Huang L, Lin Y, 2022, Failure and Maintenance Analysis of Chemical Instrument Automatic Control System. China Equipment Engineering, 2022(S1): 16–18.

[5] Wang Z, 2022, Exploration and Practice of Preventive Maintenance Strategies for Instrument Control Systems in Large Refining and Chemical Enterprises. Petrochemical Automation, 58(4): 6–11.

[6] Cao Y, Ding D, Yu M, 2022, Analysis of Maintenance Technology of Automated Instrumentation and Control Systems. Integrated Circuit Applications, 39(7): 248–249.

[7] Xi S, 2022, Discussion on Instrument Automation Control System Faults and Maintenance Technology. Metallurgy and Materials, 42(3): 96–98.

[8] Sun H, 2022, Failure and Maintenance of Chemical Instrument Automatic Control System. Chemical Engineering and Equipment, 2022(2): 165–166.

[9] Fu X, 2021, Key Technologies for Analysis and Troubleshooting of Automobile Engine Electronic Control Systems. Internal Combustion Engines and Accessories, 2021(10): 160–161.

[10] Sun Y, 2021, A Nonlinear System Actuator Fault Estimation Method Containing Disturbance Terms. Fire Power and Command and Control, 46(3): 38–42.

[11] Zhou X, 2020, Failure and Maintenance Technology Analysis of Chemical Instrument Automatic Control System.

Electronic Test, 2020(22): 117–118 + 59.
[12] Guan X, Wei B, Meng X, 2019, Chemical Instrument Automatic Control System Failure and Maintenance Technology. Polyvinyl Chloride, 47(12): 19–21.
[13] Pan D, 2019, Analysis of Instrument Automation Control System Faults and Maintenance Technology. Petrochemical Technology, 26(9): 222–223.
[14] Liu T, Huang H, 2019, Discussion on Fault and Maintenance Technology of Instrument Automation Control System. China New Communications, 21(15): 148–149.
[15] Yang D, 2019, Faults and Maintenance of Instrument Automation Control System. Petrochemical Technology, 26(3): 217.
[16] Yang L, 2019, Analysis of Instrument Automation Control System Faults and Maintenance Technology. Electronic World, 2019(3): 198 + 200.
[17] Su Z, 2016, Discussion on Fault and Maintenance Technology of Instrument Automation Control System. China High-Tech Enterprises, 2016(12): 59–60.
[18] Lai Y, 2015, Analysis of Faults in On-Site Instrument Control Systems. Science and Technology Vision, 2015(23): 248.
[19] Liao X, Chen Y, 2015, Exploring the Fault Analysis and Countermeasures of Boiler Instrument Control System. Information and Communications, 2015(6): 91.
[20] Wang R, Wang H, Li G, 2014, Analysis of Common Faults of Production Process Control Instruments. China Chlor-Alkali, 2014(10): 28–30.

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.