Journal of Clinical and Nursing Research, 2024, Volume 8, Issue 4
http://ojs.bbwpublisher.com/index.php/JCNR
Online ISSN: 2208-3693
Print ISSN: 2208-3685

Impact of Sedation Protocols on Elderly Patients Undergoing Mechanical Ventilation and Off-Line Weaning

Yihui Li, Yamin Yuan, Jinquan Zhou, Li Ma*

The Second Hospital & Clinical Medical School, Lanzhou University, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Lanzhou 730030, Gansu Province, China

***Corresponding author:** Li Ma, ery_mali@lzu.edu.cn

Copyright: © 2024 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: The proportion of elderly patients in intensive care is increasing, and a significant proportion of them require mechanical ventilation. How to implement safe and effective mechanical ventilation for elderly patients, and when appropriate off-line is an important issue in the field of critical care medicine. Appropriate sedation can improve patient outcomes, but excessive sedation may lead to prolonged mechanical ventilation and increase the risk of complications. Elderly patients should be closely monitored and evaluated on an individual basis while offline, and the sedation regimen should be dynamically adjusted. This requires the healthcare team to consider the patient's sedation needs, disease status, and pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of the drug to arrive at the best strategy. Although the current research has provided valuable insights and strategies for sedation and off-line management, there are still many problems to be further explored and solved.

Keywords: Elderly patients; Mechanical ventilation; Off-line strategy; Sedation treatment

Online publication: April 30, 2024

1. Introduction

With the acceleration of global population aging, the proportion of elderly patients in the field of critical care medicine is increasing. Due to their unique physiological and pathological characteristics, this group of patients poses new challenges to the treatment of critical care medicine, especially in the management of [1-3] mechanical ventilation. Elderly patients are often accompanied by a variety of chronic diseases, and their physiological reserve capacity is weak, which makes them more prone to complications when receiving mechanical ventilation treatment, and the risk of difficult weaning is significantly increased [4].

As a life support technology, mechanical ventilation is widely used in critical care medicine. It is an important means to treat acute respiratory failure and maintain airway opening and respiratory function. However, long-term mechanical ventilation can increase the risk of complications such as pulmonary infection, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and muscle atrophy, especially in elderly patients [5].

Therefore, how to safely and effectively implement mechanical ventilation for elderly patients and weaning at the appropriate time has become an important research topic in the field of critical care medicine.

Sedation strategy plays an important role in the management of mechanical ventilation. Appropriate sedation can reduce patients' discomfort and anxiety, and reduce oxygen consumption, but either excessive sedation or insufficient sedation can lead to a poor prognosis [2]. Therefore, how to balance the depth of sedation and how to ensure the comfort of patients while promoting early weaning are the hotspots of current research. In recent years, multimodal sedation and weaning strategies have received increasing attention, to reduce the use of sedatives, shorten the duration of mechanical ventilation, and reduce the occurrence of complications through individualized treatment plans [5]. In addition, the current study also emphasizes the importance of collaboration between medical and nursing teams in the management of mechanical ventilation, especially for a special group of elderly patients. Interdisciplinary teamwork, involving the close collaboration of respiratory therapists, nurses, physical therapists, and clinicians, is essential for the development of an individualized sedation and weaning plan [6].

The purpose of this review is to explore the key issues in the management of mechanical ventilation in elderly patients, especially the application of sedation and weaning strategies. By analyzing the current research literature, we will summarize the special challenges that elderly patients face when receiving mechanical ventilation, explore the advantages and disadvantages of different sedation and weaning strategies, and their applicability and effectiveness in the elderly patient population [7,8]. In addition, we will discuss how to optimize the management of mechanical ventilation in elderly patients by combining modern critical care medicine technology and individualized treatment principles, to provide practical guidance and suggestions for clinicians and ultimately improve the prognosis and quality of life of elderly patients [9,10].

2. Background of mechanical ventilation in elderly patients

2.1. The basic principles of mechanical ventilation technology and its application in elderly patients

Mechanical ventilation is a key critical care treatment that aims to support or replace spontaneous breathing, ensure gas exchange, and reduce the workload of respiratory muscles. The application of this technique is particularly important in elderly patients, as this group is more susceptible to acute respiratory failure and faces more therapeutic challenges due to its physiological characteristics and concomitant diseases [11]. The rationale for mechanical ventilation includes ensuring effective oxygenation and carbon dioxide ejection while minimizing the potential harm of respiratory support to the patient, such as ventilator-associated lung injury.

Non-invasive mechanical ventilation (NIMV), as a less invasive method of ventilation, is especially suitable for elderly patients. It provides positive pressure ventilation through a face mask or nasal mask, avoiding complications associated with endotracheal intubation, such as throat injuries and hospital-acquired pneumonia [12]. NIMV has shown promising efficacy in the management of acute and chronic respiratory failure in elderly patients, especially in those with a clear order not to intubate. For example, the study by Scarpazza *et al*. [13] demonstrated that treatment with NIMV provided satisfactory long-term survival in elderly patients with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure.

However, the use of mechanical ventilation in elderly patients, whether invasive or non-invasive, requires consideration of a variety of special factors. This includes their need for oxygenation and ventilation, and the impact of chronic comorbidities such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and cardiovascular disease on treatment. This requires the medical team to carefully adjust the selection of ventilation mode and set ventilation parameters based on the specific conditions of individual patients [14].

The randomized controlled trial by Nava *et al*. [15] evaluated the effectiveness of NIMV versus standard care in reducing the need for endotracheal intubation, improving survival, and reducing dyspnea in older patients with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure. The results showed that NIMV was effective in reducing the need for intubation and improving patient outcomes, which emphasizes the importance of individualized and comprehensive treatment strategies when targeting this special group of patients.

When dealing with acute respiratory failure in elderly patients, it is not only necessary to pay attention to the technical details of mechanical ventilation but also to consider the overall condition of the patient, such as nutritional status, functional status, and quality of life. In his review [16], Scala discussed the particularities of managing acute respiratory failure in an elderly population, including the role of noninvasive ventilation and the potential advantages of applying alternative or integrated therapeutic tools. This requires critical care teams not only to master the basic principles and operational skills of mechanical ventilation but also to have interdisciplinary collaboration capabilities to provide comprehensive and individualized patient care.

In conclusion, the application of mechanical ventilation in elderly patients is a complex and highly individualized process. The key to achieving the best therapeutic effect is to choose the appropriate ventilation method, fine-tune the ventilation parameters, and comprehensively consider the physiological and pathological characteristics of the patient.

2.2. Objectives and basic principles of sedation protocols

Sedation protocols play a central role in the treatment of mechanical ventilation. The main purpose is to provide patient comfort and reduce anxiety and distress while promoting synchronization with mechanical ventilation. Appropriate sedation can ensure patient safety, alleviate the discomfort caused by mechanical ventilation, prevent accidental self-extubation, and reduce the metabolic demand of patients. The basic principles of sedation management include individualized adjustment of the depth of sedation, dynamic monitoring and adjustment of sedation strategies, and minimization of side effects [17,18].

Individualized adjustment of the depth of sedation is the cornerstone to ensure that the goal of sedation is achieved, neither over nor under. An appropriate level of sedation can improve patient comfort, reduce adverse effects of sedatives, and facilitate early weaning. To this end, the medical team needs to monitor and adjust the sedation level in real time according to the patient's clinical condition, pain score, and sedation score to ensure that the depth of sedation meets the patient's current needs [19].

Dynamic adjustment of sedation strategies means that the team should continuously assess the patient's response to sedation and adjust the treatment plan on the basis of that assessment. This flexible management approach helps maintain the patient's optimal comfort throughout treatment while reducing the use of sedatives and related complications [20].

Minimizing side effects is an important factor that must be considered when selecting and adjusting

sedative agents. Prolonged or inappropriate use of sedatives can lead to drug dependence, withdrawal symptoms, and negative cardiovascular and respiratory effects. Therefore, the pharmacological properties of sedatives should be considered when selecting them, including the onset time of action, half-life, and effects on physiological systems [21].

2.3. Weaning process and its effect on elderly patients

The weaning process refers to the process of patients transitioning from relying on mechanical ventilation to complete spontaneous breathing. For elderly patients, this process is an important part of the recovery process and involves a gradual reduction in mechanical ventilation support until it is completely removed. Before weaning is initiated, the healthcare team considers the patient's respiratory function, blood gas analysis, and overall well-being to assess whether the patient is ready for weaning [22,23].

During the weaning process, elderly patients may face more influences due to their physiological characteristics. Physiological aging may lead to decreased respiratory muscle strength and reduced lung function, which may make the transition from mechanical ventilation to spontaneous breathing more difficult. In addition, elderly patients often have multiple chronic health conditions, which may increase the complexity of weaning and affect the success rate of weaning [24,25].

Successful weaning is of great significance for the recovery of elderly patients. Once successfully weaned, the patient's respiratory function is restored, which can significantly improve the quality of life and reduce the risk of complications of ventilator dependence, such as ventilator-associated pneumonia. Successful weaning also means optimal use of medical resources, improving patient survival expectations in the short term [23].

However, if weaning attempts fail, older patients may require longer durations of mechanical ventilation support, which may lead to prolonged hospital stays, increase healthcare costs, and possibly increase the risk of complications from prolonged bedridden time, such as deep vein thrombosis and pressure ulcers. Therefore, the weaning process is a complex and far-reaching step for elderly patients, requiring careful preparation and meticulous monitoring to ensure a smooth transition and the ultimate recovery of the patient [26].

3. Sedation protocol

The development and implementation of sedation protocols in elderly patients is a meticulous and complex process, which requires not only a deep understanding of drug properties but also a comprehensive understanding of the physiology of the elderly and its special response to drugs. The selection of sedative agents, accurate assessment and monitoring of the depth of sedation, special consideration for older patients undergoing mechanical ventilation, and adherence to best practices and guidelines are central to high-quality care.

3.1. Sedative drugs and their use in elderly patients

Caution should be exercised in the use of sedatives in elderly patients, given their high sensitivity to drugs and susceptibility to side effects and drug interactions. Studies have shown that the use of sedatives at home is common in the elderly, including psychotropic drugs with sedative properties and somatic drugs that may cause sedation, which requires careful adjustment of drug selection and dosage [27]. In a blinded controlled trial of four sedatives, differences in the tolerance and preference of these agents were found among elderly patients, underscoring the importance of individualizing sedation regimens [28]. In addition, a multicenter study examining the prevalence and indications for the use of sedatives in elderly inpatients showed that the use of sedatives is quite common in the hospital setting [29]. Prolonged hospital stays may increase elderly patients' exposure to anticholinergic and sedative medications, which may exacerbate drug side effects [30]. Antipsychotic

medications, which are used to manage behavioral problems in older patients with psychosis, may exacerbate cognitive impairment, so their use should be weighed against benefits [31]. Similarly, the use of medications such as midazolam in older patients needs to be closely monitored to avoid oversedation or inducing a delirium state [32]. However, a safety analysis of 740 older patients undergoing emergency medical care also showed that current sedation practices were generally safe [33].

3.2. Methods for evaluating and monitoring the depth of sedation

Assessment and monitoring of the depth of sedation is a key step to ensure patient safety and optimize treatment outcomes. Commonly used assessment tools include the Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) and the Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS). The RASS provides a scoring system ranging from -5 (unresponsive) to +4 (extremely agitated) to help healthcare providers determine a patient's state of sedation or agitation, while the RSS is a six-point scoring system ranging from 1 (the patient is fully unarousable and unresponsive to external stimuli) to 6 (the patient is extremely agitated) [20,34]. These scales enable providers to tailor the dose of sedative medications to maintain an appropriate level of sedation based on the patient's response. In addition to clinical assessment tools, some advanced monitoring techniques such as electroencephalogram (EEG) -derived indices have also been used to assess the depth of sedation, although their use in clinical practice is more limited. Through these methods, accurate assessment and real-time monitoring of the depth of sedation can be achieved to ensure the safety and comfort of patients during the treatment process [35-37].

3.3. Effects of sedation on elderly patients with mechanical ventilation and sedation management

In elderly patients with mechanical ventilation, sedation can help reduce the discomfort and anxiety of patients and improve the tolerance of mechanical ventilation. However, inappropriate depth of sedation may lead to side effects and risks, such as excessive sedation may increase the risk of pulmonary infection, while insufficient sedation may lead to patient anxiety and mechanical ventilation asynchrony. Adherence to best practices and guidelines is key to improving the quality of sedation care for older patients [38,39]. The medical team should base the sedation protocol on the latest clinical research and guidelines while considering the special needs of elderly patients. This includes applying the principle of minimum effective dose, prioritizing medications with fewer side effects, and incorporating nonpharmacologic interventions to reduce medication dependence [40,41].

In summary, the management of sedation in older patients is a complex process that requires a high degree of expertise, careful monitoring, and individualized strategies. The safety and efficacy[42] of sedation in elderly patients can be maximized by comprehensively considering the individual differences of patients, implementing scientific sedation depth assessment and monitoring, and strictly following evidence-based best practices and guidelines.

4. Weaning protocol

The management of weaning in older patients is a complex challenge that involves precise assessment, individualized strategy development, management of the risk of failure, and the need to follow best practices. The success of weaning is not only of great significance to improving the quality of life of patients but also has a significant impact on reducing the consumption of medical resources and reducing medical costs.

4.1. Challenges and strategies for weaning elderly patients

The challenges of weaning elderly patients are mainly due to the physiological characteristics and multiple

complications of this group. With aging, lung function naturally declines and respiratory muscle strength weakens, all of which can prolong the weaning time and increase the risk of weaning failure. In addition, elderly patients are often accompanied by a variety of chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, which can further complicate the weaning process [43,44].

In addressing these challenges, it is critical to adopt an individualized weaning strategy. First, a comprehensive assessment of older patients is needed, including their physiological status, disease history, and current clinical situation. Based on this information, the healthcare team can develop a tailored weaning plan, which may include tapering ventilator support, implementing trials of spontaneous breathing, and using noninvasive ventilatory assistance. Strategically, it is also critical to emphasize the fine regulation of sedation management. An appropriate level of sedation can reduce patients' anxiety and discomfort, but excessive sedation may inhibit respiratory drive and delay the weaning process. Therefore, regular assessment of the sedation depth of patients and adjustment of the dose of sedatives according to the assessment results are important means to ensure the success of weaning.

In addition, weaning training and respiratory muscle strength training for elderly patients are also effective strategies to improve the success rate of weaning. Through these trainings, the respiratory muscle strength of elderly patients can be enhanced, their lung function can be improved, and a solid foundation for successful weaning can be laid [9,45].

4.2. Methods for assessing readiness for weaning

Assessment of readiness for weaning in older patients aims to determine whether the patient has the ability to spontaneously maintain effective breathing and to continue to maintain stable gas exchange after withdrawal of mechanical ventilation support.

Clinical criteria included the patient's state of consciousness, the ability to cough and clear airway secretions effectively, and the presence of infection or other unresolved medical issues. A patient who is awake and able to respond to commands is more likely to maintain effective breathing after being weaned from the machine. In addition, the patient's pain and maladjustment are well managed, which is also an important clinical indicator of successful weaning. Physiological criteria involve direct assessment of a patient's respiratory function, including but not limited to the spontaneous breathing test (SBT), rapid shallow breathing index (RSBI), arterial blood gas analysis, and findings from pulmonary imaging studies. SBT assesses a patient's ability to maintain gas exchange without mechanical ventilation by allowing them to breathe spontaneously for a specified period of time. RSBI provides a quantitative measure of the likelihood of successful weaning by calculating the ratio of the number of breaths per minute to the tidal volume per breath. Good arterial blood gas analysis results and stable pulmonary imaging findings are also important physiological criteria to evaluate the readiness of weaning [46,47].

By integrating these clinical and physiological criteria, medical teams can make a more accurate judgment of whether elderly patients are ready to be weaned, and thus develop a more personalized and safe weaning plan.

4.3. Risk factors and risk management of offline failure

The risk factors of weaning failure mainly include the burden of chronic underlying diseases, weakened respiratory muscle strength, unstable cardiovascular function, malnutrition, and improper use of sedatives and muscle relaxants. These factors alone or in combination may cause patients to be unable to maintain spontaneous breathing after weaning, thus requiring re-initiation of mechanical ventilation.

To manage these risks, it is necessary to identify early factors that may affect weaning through health assessment, including assessment of lung function and nutritional status. Given the identified problems, measures such as improving nutrition, strengthening respiratory muscle training, and adjusting cardiovascular drug therapy should be taken. Appropriate weaning tests, such as spontaneous breathing tests, are essential to assess a patient's readiness for weaning. During the trial, physiological parameters should be closely monitored to detect potential risks in time, and the weaning plan should be adjusted accordingly. For high-risk patients, a stepwise weaning strategy may provide a gentler transition and reduce the risk of failure [48,49].

4.4. Best practices and recommendations for successful weaning

The key to successful weaning is to adopt an integrated and coordinated sedation and weaning strategy that not only ensures the patient's comfort and safety throughout the process but also avoids unnecessary delays or complications in the weaning process. The precise management of sedative drugs is essential to maintain an appropriate level of sedation in patients, while excessive sedation may prolong the duration of mechanical ventilation and increase the risk of complications. Studies have shown that daily assessment of sedation needs and interruption of sedative drugs, combined with spontaneous breathing test (SBT) to assess patients' readiness for weaning, can effectively synchronize sedation management and preparation for weaning and accelerate the process of weaning [50,51].

In addition, developing a personalized weaning plan is another key aspect of optimizing weaning outcomes. Each patient's physiological state, complications, and response to sedation medications are different, requiring the medical team to consider these factors together to develop a weaning strategy that is most appropriate for each patient. For example, in patients who are at high risk for failed weaning, more careful sedation management and weaning preparation may be required, including but not limited to more frequent spontaneous breathing trials and more refined adjustment of sedation levels.

5. Integrated management of sedation and weaning strategies

The integrated management of sedation and weaning strategies is a complex and critical issue in intensive care, especially for elderly patients. This process requires medical teams to strive to achieve weaning while ensuring patient comfort, which not only involves the art of clinical practice but also needs to develop strategies based on the latest scientific evidence. Through in-depth discussion of the interaction between sedation and weaning strategies, emphasizing the importance of individualized sedation and weaning strategies, and analyzing the trends and unsolved problems in the existing literature and research, a more comprehensive understanding of this issue can be achieved and the care outcomes of elderly patients can be improved.

First of all, the interplay between sedation and weaning strategies is key to improving treatment outcomes for elderly patients. Appropriate sedation can effectively reduce the anxiety and stress of patients, but excessive sedation may lead to prolonged weaning time and increase the risks[46] faced by patients. Therefore, healthcare teams need to strike a balance by ensuring that the patient's discomfort is alleviated while the depth of sedation is gradually reduced so that the patient can be successfully weaned from the ventilator [52]. This process requires not only rigorous monitoring and evaluation but also a deep understanding of the effects and side effects of different sedative medications on the part of the physician [53].

Secondly, the implementation of individualized sedation and weaning strategies for elderly patients is essential. Elderly patients are often accompanied by varying degrees of decline in physiological function and chronic diseases, which requires medical teams to comprehensively consider the patient's basic health status, history of chronic diseases, and response to sedative drugs when formulating sedation and weaning strategies [54].

Through individualized strategies, the most appropriate treatment plan can be tailored for each patient, thereby improving the success rate of weaning and reducing the risk of complications [55].

Finally, although research on sedation and weaning management in elderly patients has increased in recent years, there are still many unanswered questions. Existing research has focused on exploring the optimal depth of sedation, new methods for assessing readiness for weaning, and developing strategies to reduce sedation-related complications [56,57]. However, how to accurately assess the sedation needs of elderly patients and how to adjust the weaning strategy under different physiological and pathological conditions are still urgent problems to be solved [58]. Future research needs to focus more on these areas to provide more accurate and efficient sedation and weaning management strategies for elderly patients.

6. Conclusion

Integrated management of sedation and weaning strategies is a critical task in the field of intensive care, especially for elderly patient populations. By finely regulating the depth of sedation and implementing targeted weaning trials, medical teams can effectively improve the success rate of weaning, shorten the duration of mechanical ventilation, reduce related complications, and thus optimize the treatment outcomes of patients. By analyzing the existing literature and studies, this review discusses the interaction between sedation and weaning strategies, the importance of individualized strategies, as well as the main trends and unresolved issues in research, aiming to provide clinicians with a comprehensive perspective to improve the management of elderly patients in intensive care.

The interaction between sedation and weaning is a complex process that requires the medical team to not only focus on drug selection and dose adjustment but also to closely monitor the patient's response and progress. Appropriate sedation strategies can provide good physical and psychological conditions for weaning, while successful weaning can reduce the physical and mental burden of patients and the consumption of medical resources. Therefore, it is of non-negligible value to achieve the best integration of sedation and weaning strategies for improving the therapeutic effect of elderly patients.

Individualized sedation and weaning strategies are customized according to the unique needs and conditions of each patient, which is the key to improving the success rate of treatment. This requires the medical team to have an in-depth understanding and assessment of the patient's underlying health conditions, complications, drug reactions, etc., to develop the most appropriate treatment plan. In addition, continuous monitoring and evaluation, as well as timely adjustment of strategies, are also important components to ensure the effectiveness of individualized treatment plans.

Although the current research has provided valuable insights and strategies for the management of sedation and weaning, there are still many questions that need to be further explored and solved. For example, how to accurately assess the sedation needs of elderly patients, how to optimize the weaning strategy under different physiological and pathological conditions, and how to further reduce the risk of complications during sedation and weaning are all directions that need to be focused on in future research. In addition, with the progress of medical technology and the update of treatment concepts, finding new sedatives, developing more accurate weaning assessment tools, and exploring more efficient and individualized treatment strategies will also be important ways to improve the treatment effect of elderly patients.

In conclusion, the integrated management of sedation and weaning strategies plays a crucial role in the intensive care of elderly patients. Through continuous research and practice, as well as an in-depth exploration of individualized treatment strategies, we are expected to further optimize the treatment process

of elderly patients, improve the success rate of weaning, reduce complications, and provide higher-quality medical services for this special population. Future research and clinical practice will continue to explore new knowledge and methods in this area in the hope of achieving this goal.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Conti G, Mantz J, Longrois D, et al., 2014, Sedation and Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation: Time for 'Best Practice' to Catch Up with New Realities? Multidiscip Respir Med, 9(1): 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-6958-9-45

[2] Luetz A, Goldmann A, Weber-Carstens S, et al., 2012, Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation and Sedation. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol, 25(2): 164–169. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0b013e32834f8ce7

[3] Juern JS, 2012, Removing the Critically Ill Patient from Mechanical Ventilation. Surg Clin North Am, 92(6): 1475–1483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2012.08.008

[4] Perren A, Brochard L, 2013, Managing the Apparent and Hidden Difficulties of Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation. Intensive Care Med, 39(11): 1885–1895. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-013-3014-9

[5] Taylor SP, Hammer JM, Taylor BT, 2022, Weaning Analgosedation in Patients Requiring Prolonged Mechanical Ventilation. J Intensive Care Med, 37(8): 998–1004. https://doi.org/10.1177/08850666211048779

[6] Borkowska M, Labeau S, Schepens T, et al., 2018, Nurses' Sedation Practices During Weaning of Adults From Mechanical Ventilation in an Intensive Care Unit. Am J Crit Care, 27(1): 32–42. https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2018959

[7] Valenzuela J, Araneda P, Cruces P, 2014, Weaning From Mechanical Ventilation in Paediatrics. State of the Art. Arch Bronconeumol, 50(3): 105–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2013.02.003

[8] Bureau C, Demoule A, 2022, Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation in Neurocritical Care. Rev Neurol (Paris), 178(1–2): 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurol.2021.08.005

[9] Rose L, 2015, Strategies for Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation: A State of the Art Review. Intensive Crit Care Nurs, 31(4): 189–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2015.07.003

[10] Prasad N, Cheng L-F, Chivers C, et al., 2017, A Reinforcement Learning Approach to Weaning of Mechanical Ventilation in Intensive Care Units. ArXiv, 2017: 1704.06300. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1704.06300

[11] Goligher EC, Ferguson ND, Brochard LJ, 2016, Clinical Challenges in Mechanical Ventilation. Lancet, 387(10030): 1856–1866. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30176-3

[12] Nantsupawat N, Nantsupawat T, Limsuwat C, et al., 2015, Factors Associated With Reintubation in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Qual Manag Health Care, 24(4): 200–206. https://doi.org/10.1097/QMH.0000000000000069

[13] Scarpazza P, Incorvaia C, Amboni P, et al., 2011, Long-Term Survival in Elderly Patients with a Do-Not-Intubate Order Treated with Noninvasive Mechanical Ventilation. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis, 6: 253–257. https://doi.org/10.2147/COPD.S18501

[14] Pham T, Brochard LJ, Slutsky AS, 2017, Mechanical Ventilation: State of the Art. Mayo Clin Proc, 92(9): 1382–1400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2017.05.004

[15] Nava S, Grassi M, Fanfulla F, et al., 2011, Non-Invasive Ventilation in Elderly Patients with Acute Hypercapnic Respiratory Failure: A Randomised Controlled Trial. Age Ageing, 40(4): 444–450. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afr003

[16] Scala R, 2016, Challenges on Non-Invasive Ventilation to Treat Acute Respiratory Failure in the Elderly. BMC Pulm

Med, 16(1): 150. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-016-0310-5

[17] Imai K, Morita T, Akechi T, et al., 2020, The Principles of Revised Clinical Guidelines about Palliative Sedation Therapy of the Japanese Society for Palliative Medicine. J Palliat Med, 23(9): 1184–1190. https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2019.0626

[18] Holzman RS, Cullen DJ, Eichhorn JH, et al., 1994, Guidelines for Sedation by Nonanesthesiologists During Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures. J Clin Anesth, 6(4): 265–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/0952-8180(94)90072-8

[19] Coté CJ, Wilson S, American Academy of Pediatrics, et al., 2019, Guidelines for Monitoring and Management of Pediatric Patients Before, During, and After Sedation for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures. Pediatrics, 143(6): e20191000. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-1000

[20] Sheahan CG, Mathews DM, 2014, Monitoring and Delivery of Sedation. Br J Anaesth, 113 Suppl 2: ii37–ii47. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeu378

[21] Li S, Wang D, Yang B, 2016, The Progress of Light Sedation for Critically Ill Adult Patients in Intensive Care Unit. Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue, 28(1): 89–93. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.2095-4352.2016.01.019

[22] Yuan LP, Tian H, 2005, Nursing Care of an Elderly Patient with Chronic Bronchitis and Emphysema Who was Successfully Weaned from Mechanical Ventilation for 86 Days. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2005(6): 36–37.

[23] Wang BJ, Fang Q, 2011, Predictive Value of Plasma NT-ProBNP for the Prognosis of Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation in Elderly Patients with Pulmonary Heart Disease and Respiratory Distress. Proceedings of the First West Lake Critical Care Medicine Forum and the 2011 Zhejiang Provincial Critical Care Medicine Academic Annual Conference, 2011: 424.

[24] Fujii M, Iwakami S-I, Takagi H, et al., 2012, Factors Influencing Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation in Elderly Patients with Severe Pneumonia. Geriatr Gerontol Int, 12(2): 277–283. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1447-0594.2011.00765.x

[25] Epstein CD, El-Mokadem N, Peerless JR, 2002, Weaning Older Patients From Long-term Mechanical Ventilation: A Pilot Study. Am J Crit Care, 11(4): 369–377.

[26] Esteban A, Anzueto A, Frutos-Vivar F, et al., 2004, Outcome of Older Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation. Intensive Care Med, 30(4): 639–646. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-004-2160-5

[27] Linjakumpu TA, Hartikainen SA, Klaukka TJ, et al., 2004, Sedative Drug Use in the Home-Dwelling Elderly. Ann Pharmacother, 38(12): 2017–2022. https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1E067

[28] Exton-Smith AN, Hodkinson HM, Cromie BW, 1963, Controlled Comparison of Four Sedative Drugs in Elderly Patients. Br Med J, 2(5364): 1037–1040. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.5364.1037

[29] Petrovic M, Spatharakis G, Conroy S, et al., 2006, Prevalence of Sedative Drug Use in Geriatric In-Patients: A Multi-Centre Study. Acta Clin Belg, 61(3): 119–126. https://doi.org/10.1179/acb.2006.019

[30] Dauphinot V, Faure R, Bourguignon L, et al., 2017, Factors Associated with Changes in Exposure to Anticholinergic and Sedative Medications in Elderly Hospitalized Patients: Multicentre Longitudinal Study. Eur J Neurol, 24(3): 483–490. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.13228

[31] Ye T, Jiao J, Zeng Q, 2021, Rational Use of Antipsychotic Drugs in Elderly Psychiatric Patients and Analysis of Investigation Results. North Pharmacy, 18(4): 117–118.

[32] Liu ZY, Liu J, Yang GC, et al., 2011, Application of Midazolam-Based Short-Term Sedation Regimen in ICU Patients with Mechanical Ventilation. Jilin Medicine, 32(23): 4784–4786.

[33] Homfray G, Palmer A, Grimsmo-Powney H, et al., 2018, Procedural Sedation of Elderly Patients by Emergency Physicians: A Safety Analysis of 740 Patients. Br J Anaesth, 121(6): 1236–1241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2018.07.038

[34] Conway A, Sutherland J, 2016, Depth of Anaesthesia Monitoring During Procedural Sedation and Analgesia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 63: 201–212. https://doi.

org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2016.05.004

[35] Nies RJ, Müller C, Pfister R, et al., 2018, Monitoring of Sedation Depth in Intensive Care Unit by Therapeutic Drug Monitoring? A Prospective Observation Study of Medical Intensive Care Patients. J Intensive Care, 6: 62. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-018-0331-7

[36] Haberthür C, Lehmann F, Ritz R, 1996, Assessment of Depth of Midazolam Sedation Using Objective Parameters. Intensive Care Med, 22(12): 1385–1390. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01709555

[37] Sadhasivam S, Ganesh A, Robison A, et al., 2006, Validation of the Bispectral Index Monitor for Measuring the Depth of Sedation in Children. Anesth Analg, 102(2): 383–388. https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ANE.0000184115.57837.30

[38] Porhomayon J, El-Solh AA, Adlparvar G, et al., 2016, Impact of Sedation on Cognitive Function in Mechanically Ventilated Patients. Lung, 194(1): 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00408-015-9820-9

[39] Tanaka LMS, Azevedo LCP, Park M, et al., 2014, Early Sedation and Clinical Outcomes of Mechanically Ventilated Patients: A Prospective Multicenter Cohort Study. Crit Care, 18(4): R156. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc13995

[40] Kress JP, Hall JB, 2006, Sedation in the Mechanically Ventilated Patient. Critical Care Medicine, 34(10): 2541–2546. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000239117.39890.E3

[41] Aragón RE, Proaño A, Mongilardi N, et al., 2019, Sedation Practices and Clinical Outcomes in Mechanically Ventilated Patients in a Prospective Multicenter Cohort. Crit Care, 23(1): 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2394-9

[42] Olsen HT, Nedergaard HK, Strøm T, et al., 2020, Nonsedation or Light Sedation in Critically Ill, Mechanically Ventilated Patients. N Engl J Med, 382(12): 1103–1111. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1906759

[43] Ely EW, 2001, Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation (Part 2): Strategies for Implementing Protocols, in Yearbook of Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine 2001. Springer, Berlin, 496–510. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-59467-0_42

[44] Ely EW, Meade MO, Haponik EF, et al., 2001, Mechanical Ventilator Weaning Protocols Driven by Nonphysician Health-Care Professionals: Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest, 120(6 Suppl): 454S–463S. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.120.6_suppl.454s

[45] Stieff KV, Lim F, Chen L, 2017, Factors Influencing Weaning Older Adults From Mechanical Ventilation: An Integrative Review. Crit Care Nurs Q, 40(2): 165–177. https://doi.org/10.1097/CNQ.0000000000000154

[46] Boles J-M, Bion J, Connors A, et al., 2007, Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation. Eur Respir J, 29(5): 1033–1056. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00010206

[47] MacIntyre NR, Epstein SK, Carson S, et al., 2005, Management of Patients Requiring Prolonged Mechanical Ventilation: Report of a NAMDRC Consensus Conference. Chest, 128(6): 3937–3954. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.128.6.3937

[48] Ambrosino N, Vitacca M, 2018, The Patient Needing Prolonged Mechanical Ventilation: A Narrative Review. Multidiscip Respir Med, 13: 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40248-018-0118-7

[49] Ambrosino N, Gabbrielli L, 2010, The Difficult-to-Wean Patient. Expert Rev Respir Med, 4(5): 685–692. https://doi.org/10.1586/ers.10.58

[50] Burns KEA, Rizvi L, Cook DJ, et al., 2021, Ventilator Weaning and Discontinuation Practices for Critically Ill Patients. JAMA, 325(12): 1173–1184. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.2384

[51] Akella P, Voigt LP, Chawla S, 2022, To Wean or Not to Wean: A Practical Patient Focused Guide to Ventilator Weaning. J Intensive Care Med, 37(11): 1417–1425. https://doi.org/10.1177/08850666221095436

[52] Milbrandt EB, Eldadah B, Nayfield S, et al., 2010, Toward an Integrated Research Agenda for Critical Illness in Aging. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 182(8): 995–1003. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200904-0630CP

[53] Coffin SE, Klompas M, Classen D, et al., 2008, Strategies to Prevent Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Acute Care

Hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol, 29 Suppl 1: S31–S40. https://doi.org/10.1086/591062

[54] Geen O, Rochwerg B, Wang XM, 2021, Optimizing Care for Critically Ill Older Adults. CMAJ, 193(39): E1525–E1533. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.210652

[55] D'Souza G, Wren AA, Almgren C, et al., 2018, Pharmacological Strategies for Decreasing Opioid Therapy and Management of Side Effects from Chronic Use. Children (Basel), 5(12): 163. https://doi.org/10.3390/children5120163

[56] Egerod I, Kaldan G, Lindahl B, et al., 2020, Trends and Recommendations for Critical Care Nursing Research in the Nordic Countries: Triangulation of Review and Survey Data. Intensive Crit Care Nurs, 56: 102765. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2019.102765

[57] Weavind LM, Saied N, Hall JD, et al., 2013, Care Bundles in the Adult ICU: Is It Evidence-Based Medicine? Curr Anesthesiol Rep, 3: 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40140-013-0017-6

[58] Flinspach AN, Booke H, Zacharowski K, et al., 2021, High Sedation Needs of Critically Ill COVID-19 ARDS Patients – A Monocentric Observational Study. PLoS One, 16(7): e0253778. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253778

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.