

# Analysis of the Efficacy of Low-Dose Betaloc Combined with Amiodarone in Treating Ventricular Arrhythmia

Guo Xiong\*

Yibin Third People's Hospital, Yibin 644000, Sichuan Province, China

\*Corresponding author: Guo Xiong, 13684160788@163.com

**Copyright:** © 2024 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

**Abstract:** *Objective:* To explore and analyze the clinical effect of low-dose Betaloc combined with amiodarone in treating ventricular arrhythmia. *Methods:* 70 patients with ventricular arrhythmia who were admitted to the Department of Cardiology of our hospital between August 2022 and August 2023 were selected as research subjects. They were divided into two groups using the coin-tossing method: the combination group ( $n = 35$ ) and the reference group ( $n = 35$ ). The combination group was treated with low-dose Betaloc and amiodarone, and the control group was treated with low-dose Betaloc alone. The treatment efficacy, cardiac function indicators, and related tested indicators of the two groups were compared. *Results:* The total efficacy of the treatment received by the combination group was much higher than that of the control group ( $P < 0.05$ ). Besides, after treatment, the cardiac function indicators such as left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV), and cardiac index (CI) of the patients in the combination group were significantly better than those of the reference group ( $P < 0.05$ ). Furthermore, the high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (Hs-CRP), N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), *adiponectin* (APN), and other related test indicators of the patients in the combination group were significantly better than those of the reference group ( $P < 0.05$ ). *Conclusion:* Low-dose Betaloc combined with amiodarone has a noticeable effect in treating ventricular arrhythmia and deserves to be widely promoted.

**Keywords:** Ventricular arrhythmia; Low-dose Betaloc; Amiodarone; Clinical effect; Treatment

**Online publication:** January 18, 2024

## 1. Introduction

Ventricular arrhythmia is a relatively common condition. The types of ventricular arrhythmia include premature ventricular contractions, ventricular fibrillation, ventricular tachycardia, and so on <sup>[1]</sup>. This disease should be diagnosed and treated early to prevent organ failure, sudden death, and other serious consequences <sup>[2]</sup>. This disease is usually treated with antiarrhythmic drugs. These drugs can stabilize the heart rate and restore normal hemodynamics. However, these drugs may produce various adverse reactions and cause negative inotropy. Therefore, a combination of different drugs is usually administered to reduce the side effects <sup>[3]</sup>. Betaloc can

reduce heart rate and cardiac output, making it suitable for combating arrhythmias. Amiodarone is a Class III antiarrhythmic drug that can effectively control reentry excitement, affecting the rhythm of the sinoatrial node<sup>[4]</sup>. Combining these two drugs has the potential to enhance the therapeutic effect while concurrently reducing the toxic effects associated with each drug. This article aims to study and analyze the clinical effect of low-dose Betaloc combined with amiodarone in treating ventricular arrhythmias.

## 2. General information and methodology

### 2.1. General information

70 patients with ventricular arrhythmia who were admitted to the Department of Cardiology of our hospital between August 2022 and August 2023 were selected as research subjects. They were divided into two groups using the coin-tossing method: the combination group ( $n = 35$ ) and the reference group ( $n = 35$ ). There were 17 males and 18 females in the combination group; their ages ranged from 49 to 84 years old, with an average age of  $66.21 \pm 1.23$  years. There were 19 males and 16 females in the reference group; their ages ranged from 50 to 84 years old, with an average age of  $66.27 \pm 1.26$  years. There was no statistically significant difference in general information such as gender and age between the two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Inclusion criteria: (1) Diagnosed with ventricular arrhythmia, (2) signed an informed consent, (3) had complete clinical data.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Presence of mental illness, (2) arrhythmia caused by digitalis, (3) medication allergy.

### 2.2. Methods

All patients were given primary treatment for ventricular arrhythmia.

The reference group was treated with low-dose Betaloc alone: 12 mg Betaloc (metoprolol tartrate 25 mg/tablet) was administered orally two times/d. The dosage was adjusted according to the therapeutic effect, with a maximum of 25 mg each time. The treatment lasted for three months.

The combination group was treated with low-dose Betaloc and amiodarone: (1) Betaloc was administered the same way as the reference group. (2) 200 mg Amiodarone was administered 3 times/d. After seven days of treatment, the frequency of administration was reduced to 2 times/d. The dosage was gradually reduced to 200 mg/d according to the patient's condition. The treatment lasted for three months.

### 2.3. Observation indicators

- (1) The efficacy of the two treatments was compared. Treatment was deemed effective if the patient's cardiac function returned to normal and premature beats were almost restored, effective if there was an improvement in heart function with a 50% reduction in premature beats, and ineffective if cardiac function did not improve.
- (2) The cardiac function indicators of both groups were compared, including left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV), and *cardiac index* (CI).
- (3) Other relevant including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (Hs-CRP), N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), and *adiponectin* (APN) of the two were also compared.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

SPSS 21.0 statistical software was used to process and analyze the data. The count data was expressed by the number of cases ( $n$ ) and percentage (%), and the data were compared using a  $\chi^2$  test. The measurement data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and compared using a  $t$ -test.  $P < 0.05$  indicated a statistically

significant difference.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Treatment efficacy

The total efficacy of the treatment in the combination group was significantly higher than that of the reference group ( $P < 0.05$ ), and the difference was statistically significant (**Table 1**).

**Table 1.** Comparison of treatment efficacy between the two groups ( $n$  [%])

| Group             | Number of examples | Markedly effective | Effective  | Ineffective | Total effective rate |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Combination group | 35                 | 24 (68.57)         | 11 (31.43) | 0 (0.00)    | 35(100.00)           |
| Reference group   | 35                 | 17 (48.57)         | 14 (40.00) | 4 (11.43)   | 31 (88.57)           |
| $\chi^2$          | -                  | -                  | -          | -           | 4.2424               |
| $P$               | -                  | -                  | -          | -           | 0.0394               |

#### 3.2. Cardiac function indicators

Before treatment, there was no statistically significant difference in the cardiac function indicators such as LVEF, LVESV, and CI between the two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). After treatment, the cardiac function indicators of the combination group were significantly better than those of the reference group ( $P < 0.05$ ), as shown in **Table 2**.

**Table 2.** Comparison of cardiac function indicators between the two groups (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation)

| Group             | Number of cases | LVEF (%)         |                  | LVESV (mL)       |                  | CI (L·min·m <sup>2</sup> ) |                 |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
|                   |                 | Before treatment | After treatment  | Before treatment | After treatment  | Before treatment           | After treatment |
| Combination group | 35              | 35.84 $\pm$ 5.21 | 53.87 $\pm$ 4.12 | 66.89 $\pm$ 5.63 | 45.21 $\pm$ 4.23 | 8.15 $\pm$ 2.35            | 3.04 $\pm$ 0.85 |
| Reference group   | 35              | 35.97 $\pm$ 5.46 | 42.89 $\pm$ 4.59 | 66.93 $\pm$ 5.48 | 53.87 $\pm$ 5.62 | 8.19 $\pm$ 2.27            | 4.91 $\pm$ 1.25 |
| $t$               | -               | 0.1019           | 10.5317          | 0.0301           | 7.2836           | 0.0724                     | 7.3186          |
| $P$               | -               | 0.9191           | 0.0000           | 0.9761           | 0.0000           | 0.9425                     | 0.0000          |

#### 3.3. Other relevant indicators

Before treatment, there was no statistically significant difference in the Hs-CRP, the NT-proBNP, and the APN between the two groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). After treatment, the combination group demonstrated a better improvement of the relevant indicators, and the difference was statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ), as shown in **Table 3**.

**Table 3.** Comparison of other related test indicators between the two groups ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

| Group             | Number of cases | Hs-CRP (mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) |                  | NT-proBNP (pg·ml <sup>-1</sup> ) |                    | APN (ng·L <sup>-1</sup> ) |                 |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|                   |                 | Before treatment             | After treatment  | Before treatment                 | After treatment    | Before treatment          | After treatment |
| Combination group | 35              | 23.27 $\pm$ 3.12             | 6.27 $\pm$ 1.11  | 707.86 $\pm$ 75.69               | 436.89 $\pm$ 44.21 | 3.19 $\pm$ 0.75           | 6.97 $\pm$ 1.24 |
| Reference group   | 35              | 23.35 $\pm$ 3.29             | 11.59 $\pm$ 2.53 | 707.93 $\pm$ 75.01               | 581.47 $\pm$ 51.63 | 3.26 $\pm$ 0.86           | 5.61 $\pm$ 1.02 |
| $t$               | -               | 0.3653                       | 11.3919          | 0.0038                           | 12.5838            | 0.3629                    | 5.0110          |
| $P$               | -               | 0.7160                       | 0.0000           | 0.9969                           | 0.0000             | 0.7178                    | 0.0000          |

## 4. Discussion

Arrhythmia is a disease in which the heart rhythm is disordered. The disease can be inherited or acquired. After clinical treatment, a good prognosis can be achieved <sup>[5]</sup>. Ventricular arrhythmia is the most common type of arrhythmia and mainly affects older people. In recent years, the prevalence of this disease has been on the rise <sup>[6]</sup>. Coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, myocarditis, and acute myocardial infarction are all causes of this disease, which can be diagnosed through electrocardiogram <sup>[7]</sup>. Older people have poor immunity and suffer from various chronic diseases. Treatment should focus on safe medication to reduce the impact of adverse drug reactions on the patient's body <sup>[8-9]</sup>. Betaloc is a  $\beta_1$  receptor blocker with specific selectivity and is used in treating arrhythmia and angina pectoris <sup>[10]</sup>. The therapeutic effect of this drug alone is not ideal. It cannot improve cardiac function as quickly as possible and can cause adverse reactions. Therefore, this drug can be used in a small dose together with amiodarone for a better effect <sup>[11]</sup>. Amiodarone is an antiarrhythmic drug that can be used to combat ventricular arrhythmias when administered orally. The drug is a mild noncompetitive alpha and beta-adrenoceptor blocker that inhibits sodium ion reverse flow, prolongs conduction time, and inhibits the autonomic activity of the sinoatrial node <sup>[12]</sup>.

Based on the results of this study, the combination of Betaloc and amiodarone can enhance the efficacy and improve the patient's cardiac function. This is because Betaloc can antagonize the excitability of sympathetic nerves, inhibit over-activation, prevent excessive heart rate, control myocardial cell proliferation, and prolong the death time of myocardial cells <sup>[13]</sup>. When combined with amiodarone, it relaxes coronary arteries, reduces blood vessel resistance, slows down the heart rate, decreases sympathetic nerve activity, increases the threshold of ventricular fibrillation, reduces oxygen consumption by the myocardium, and restores the normal state of cardiac function <sup>[14]</sup>. Besides, the results also showed that this combination of drugs can optimize various laboratory indicators. This is because the active ingredients of amiodarone can block myocardial action potential by prolonging  $K^+$  flow, and at the same time, it can eliminate the sinus node's self-motility, inhibiting sinoatrial node activation, thereby controlling the heart rhythm and inhibiting atrial fibrillation. According to pharmacological analysis, amiodarone combined with a small dose of Betaloc has minimal impact on intraventricular conduction in patients with arrhythmia. It also exerts little effect on the torsion of ventricular tachycardia and does not worsen the patient's heart failure <sup>[15,16]</sup>. In addition, amiodarone + Betaloc can inhibit the activity of adrenergic receptors and inactivate sympathetic nerves. It can also reduce myocardial oxygen consumption and stabilize the patient's heart rhythm. It can also enhance the reversal of myocardial remodeling and inhibit myocardial cell apoptosis, resulting in an improvement of various laboratory indicators <sup>[17,18]</sup>.

## 5. Conclusion

In summary, low-dose Betaloc combined with amiodarone in treating ventricular arrhythmia can effectively improve cardiac function and related treatment indicators. Therefore, this treatment regime should be popularized.

## Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Shen W, Wu O, Yang Z, 2022, The Efficacy of Yangxin Dingpai Capsule Combined with Skin Acupuncture in Treating Tachyarrhythmia of Coronary Heart Disease and its Effect on Heart Rate Variability and Serum Inflammatory Factor Levels. *World Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine Binding Magazine*, 17(03): 556–560.
- [2] Xiao X, 2022, The Relationship Between Serum Interleukin-17 and Tumor Necrosis Factor-A Levels and the Prognosis of Bipolar Radiofrequency Ablation in Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*, 23(03): 465–467.
- [3] Zhang W, Feng J, Yu Y, et al., 2022, Report on the Response of Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea-Hypopnea Syndrome Combined with Nocturnal Arrhythmias to Non-Invasive Ventilation Treatment. *World Journal of Sleep Medicine*, 9(01): 6–9.
- [4] Lai G, Tian T, He Y, et al., 2021, Effects of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy on Cardiac Function and Adverse Events in Patients with Heart Failure Caused by Arrhythmia. *Clinical Medical Research and Practice*, 6(31): 82–84.
- [5] Wang J, Zhao D, Wang Y, et al., 2021, Research Progress on Types, Mechanisms, Electrocardiogram Prediction, And Continuous Favorable Airway Pressure Treatment of OSA-Related Malignant Arrhythmias. *Shandong Medicine*, 61(21): 106–110.
- [6] Lu J, Zhao Z, 2021, Analysis of the Clinical Efficacy of Creatine Phosphate Sodium Combined with Amiodarone, Deacetyllanosin, and Non-Invasive Ventilator in Treating Patients with Acute Heart Failure Combined with Tachyarrhythmia. *Clinical Medical Engineering*, 28(07): 941–942.
- [7] Zhang M, Liu H, Tang R, 2021, Effects of Corrected Tp-Te Interval, QT Interval, and Tp-Te/QT Ratio on Malignant Arrhythmias After Thrombolytic Treatment in Patients with Acute ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Predictive Value of Adverse Prognosis. *Chinese Medicine*, 16(01): 33–37.
- [8] Bi Z, 2020, Effect of Esmolol on Electrocardiogram QT Dispersion and Malignant Ventricular Arrhythmias in Patients with Acute Anterior Wall Myocardial Infarction During Coronary Intervention. *Chinese Practical Medicine*, 15(11): 89–91.
- [9] Li S, 2020, Analysis of the Clinical Efficacy and Safety Evaluation of the Combination of Wenxin Granules and Metoprolol in Treating Coronary Heart Disease Complicated with Arrhythmia. *Electronic Journal of Cardiovascular Diseases Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, 8(04): 32.
- [10] Wei C, Ren C, Zhuang H, et al., 2020, Analysis of the Correlation Between Arrhythmia and Heart Rate Variability Changes Before and After Interventional Treatment for Congenital Heart Disease and Postoperative Cardiac Function. *Chinese Medical Journal*, 55(02): 159–162.
- [11] Zhu D, Xu S, Liu H, et al., 2019, Study on the Efficacy, Cardiac Function, and Inflammatory Factor Levels of Combined Treatment of Two Drugs on Myocardial Infarction Complicated by Arrhythmia. *International Journal of Laboratory Medicine*, 40(20): 2534–2537.
- [12] Wang G, Li Y, Zhang J, et al., 2018, Clinical Effect of Metoprolol Combined with Amiodarone on Coronary Heart Disease Patients with Arrhythmia. *Chinese Journal of Geriatric Heart Brain and Vessel Diseases*, 7(8): 42–43.
- [13] Zhou X, Zou J, Luo X, et al., 2019, Study on the Incidence of Reperfusion Arrhythmia in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction After PCI Treatment and its Correlation with Clinical Characteristics. *Journal of PLA Medicine*, 31(08): 39–43.
- [14] Ala T, Yu B, Wang Z, 2019, Effect of Combined Treatment of Wenxin Granules and Metoprolol Succinate Sustained-Release Tablets on HRV Indicators in Patients with Coronary Heart Disease and Arrhythmia. *Chinese Practical Medicine*, 14(20): 90–91.
- [15] Zhu S, 2019, Amiodarone Combined with Metoprolol Succinate in the Treatment of Ventricular Arrhythmias. *China Health Standard Management*, 10(15): 32–33.

- [16] Yang H, 2019, Analysis of The Efficacy and Incidence of Adverse Reactions in Treating Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy with Malignant Ventricular Arrhythmias Combined with Low-Dose Amiodarone and Betaloc. *Chinese Medical Guidelines*, 17(16): 125–126.
- [17] Cheng C, 2018, Effect of Amiodarone Combined with Metoprolol on Ventricular Arrhythmia. *Doctor*, 4(8): 18–19.
- [18] Luo Q, Wang F, Liu J, et al., 2018, Effect of Amiodarone Combined with Psychological Intervention in Treating Arrhythmia and its Effect on Serum High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein. *Bethune Medical Journal*, 16(04): 395–397.

**Publisher's note**

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.