

http://ojs.bbwpublisher.com/index.php/JCNR ISSN Online: 2208-3693

ISSN Print: 2208-3685

Practical Progress of SBAR Communication Model-Related Teaching in Clinical Teaching

Xu Liu¹, Meili Ma^{1*}, Chenfei Qu², Chunlin Liu¹, Yan Yin¹

¹Qingdao Huangdao District People's Hospital, Qingdao 266000, Shandong Province, China

²Qingdao Binhai University Medical College, Qingdao 266000, Shandong Province, China

Copyright: © 2023 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: This paper elaborates the core and essence of situation, background, assessment, recommendation (SBAR) communication model, clarify the application status of SBAR communication model in the clinical teaching of nursing students, and describe its effectiveness and limitations, so as to provide reference for improving clinical nursing teaching ability.

Keywords: SBAR communication model; Clinical teaching; Nursing education; Progress

Online publication: January 5, 2023

1. Introduction

Situation, background, assessment, recommendation SBAR standardized communication mode is proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO) as an efficient communication mode that can improve communication efficiency and communication ability. It is mainly based on objective evidence and practicality [1]. This model assists medical staff in sorting out the patients' information, clarify treatment ideas, and ensure concise and orderly delivery of information. It includes four elements: individual situation (Situation, S), background (Background, B), assessment (Assessment, A), recommendation (Recommendation, R). The SBAR communication mode has been widely used in clinical work at home and abroad, such as in the intensive care unit, the coronary care unit (CCU), the emergency department, the surgical anesthesia recovery room, the critical patient handover, and other departments [2-3], and it has gradually become an interdisciplinary communication mode. The standardized communication mode not only significantly improves the prognosis of patients, but it also reduces medical costs and increases patient satisfaction. Besides, with the development of clinical nursing, SBAR communication mode has been applied gradually in the teaching of clinical nursing students [4]. The use in nursing teaching provides a certain basis.

The SBAR communication model was first applied in the medical service system in the late 1990s. It was proposed by Professor Kaiser Permanente ^[5]. It was also gradually applied to nurse-patient communication, so that nurses can quickly and accurately grasp the patient's condition. When communicating with patients, they can quickly capture useful information and give timely feedback to the questions raised by patients, which is conducive to building a harmonious nurse-patient relationship ^[6]. Studies ^[7-10] have shown that, due to the change of environment and role, nursing interns are lacking in clinical theoretical knowledge and practical operation skills, as well as experience and interpersonal

^{*}Corresponding author: Meili Ma, mameili1970@163.com

communication skills. Therefore, in order to improve the communication skills of intern nursing students and reduce miscommunication between nurses and patients, the application status of SBAR communication mode in the teaching of clinical nursing students is summarized as follows.

2. SBAR communication mode in teaching mode of clinical nursing students

According to reports, in obstetrics, nearly 2/3 of infant injuries and deaths come from poor communication, especially during delivery [11]; more than 1/2 of medical adverse events are caused by poor communication [12]. For a long time, our country's higher medical education has often focused on the study of theoretical knowledge and the cultivation of practical skills, while ignoring the cultivation of medical students' communication skills and communicative competence. Nursing interns are often at a loss when they step into clinical work due to the changes in environment and complex clinical problems. Problems often occur during the process of communication due to their incapabilities [13]. Therefore, it is clear that the cultivation of communication skills is very important. The research shows that the application of SBAR communication model plays an important role in improving the communication ability of clinical nursing students. Chen, Qin, et al. [7] applied the SBAR communication model to the clinical nursing teaching practice of the cardiology department, under the guidance of the student-centered teaching concept, integrated the SBAR communication model, and took the specialist case as the guide, using the SBAR evaluation form, enable nursing students to analyze and integrate cases, give complete and clear nursing records, and then continuously improve clinical nursing practice plans, undergraduate nursing students' comprehensive clinical abilities such as communication skills, understanding skills, and analytical skills, as well as the teaching quality of clinical nursing. Ha, Wang et al [14] used the SBAR communication model to train the students practicing in the Department of Pediatrics and found that not only the communication skills of the nursing students were improved, but also the enthusiasm of the nursing students for practice and the degree satisfaction of the nursing students towards the teachers has been significantly improved. Zhang [15] conducted SBAR communication mode training among undergraduate nursing interns in thoracic surgery and found that SBAR communication mode can improve the safety and continuity of nursing work, ensure that patients receive timely and safe medical services, and improve the quality of nursing students. When the patients are satisfied, the reputation and image of the nurses will also improve. Chen and Li [16] used the same method to train nursing students in the SBAR communication mode during the handover between nursing and student departments and found that the nursing defects in clinical teaching decreased from 1.2% to 0.3%, and the communication ability between nursing students has been significantly improved, and this teaching method is also well-received by nursing students. In addition, the SBAR communication mode has been widely used in the teaching of nurses and students in many clinical departments such as obstetrics and gynecology, operating room, radiotherapy, surgery, etc. [17-20], and has achieved remarkable results.

3. SBAR communication mode combined with other teaching modes in teaching mode of clinical nursing students

3.1. SBAR communication mode combined with scenario simulation teaching mode

Cheng, Fu and others ^[21] applied the combination of SBAR communication mode and scenario mode to clinical teaching. The so-called scenario simulation means that the trainer combines theoretical teaching with case simulation, and uses the communication sequence of SBAR to make a group of 2-3 nursing students complete nurse-patient communication drills together; the results showed that the communication ability of the nursing students was significantly improved, and the communication ability of the nursing students in the experimental group (24.92 ± 1.28) was significantly higher than that in the control group (20.29 ± 1.62) , (P < 0.05) the difference was statistically significant. The same research by Stevens ^[22], Yu

and others [23] also confirmed this conclusion.

3.2. SBAR communication mode combined with Mini-CEX teaching evaluation

The Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise (Mini-CEX) model, proposed by the American Medical Association in the 1990s, is a new evaluation model with teaching and evaluation functions, and is now commonly used to evaluate clinical practice and teaching effect [24-25]. It is divided into objective evaluation and subjective evaluation. The objective evaluation includes a 100-point assessment of theory, operation, and simulation exercises; subjective evaluation allows nursing students to evaluate the teaching process using a self-made scale. Combining this evaluation mode with the SBAR communication mode, focusing on the cultivation of nursing students' subjective initiative, makes nursing students more active during the internship process, significantly improve their clinical thinking ability, deepen their understanding of patients' conditions, and communicate with patients better. Through the combination of these modes, their communication skills have been significantly improved and enhanced [26-27].

3.3. SBAR communication mode combined with OSCE teaching mode

Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) is a series of corresponding training and assessment of clinical interns' comprehensive abilities such as theory, skills and thinking. This exam includes clinical scenarios using standardized patients as the basis in a few established assessment sites [28]. The highlight of this model is to refine the setting of the assessment site and allow nursing students to analyze and deal with different problems and emergencies in different situations. This teaching mode along with the SBAR communication mode has been applied in the teaching of nursing students in the operating room. It has set up 5 courses, including preoperative visits, morning shifts, implementation of nursing operations, intraoperative emergencies, and postoperative nursing care. This scenario simulation assessment not only encourages nursing students to actively communicate with patients, but also stimulates their thirst for knowledge, develops their thinking ability, communication ability, adaptability, and problem-solving abilities, and then refine the operational details to ensure medical safety [29].

3.4. SBAR communication mode combined with CICARE communication mode

CICARE communication mode is a streamlined nurse-patient communication mode, including: Connect (Connect, C), introduce (Introduce, I), communicate (Communicate, C), ask (Ask, A), respond (Respond, R), and exit (Exit, E). These steps are followed to build a relationship with the patient, understand the patient's needs, figure out the patient's psychology, and answer and give feedback to the questions and requirements raised by the patient [30]. Wang and Liu [31] combined this model with the SBAR communication model and applied it to the teaching of clinical nursing students, guiding the nursing students to carry out standardized communication training for patients step by step from general to in depth, providing a basis for building a harmonious nurse-patient relationship.

3.5. SBAR communication model combined with modified early warning score (MEWS system)

Modified Early Warning Score (MEWS) is a commonly used assessment tool for assessing critically ill patients. It can identify "potential critically ill patients" at an early stage and provide rapid and efficient intervention measures. The combination of MEWS with the SBAR communication mode is more commonly used in clinical nursing [32], but less used in nursing teaching. A combination MEWS score with the SBAR handover sheet is used in the teaching of nurses and students in hemodialysis, so that patients can be evaluated according to the MEWS score and the SBAR handover sheet before, during, and after dialysis. Nursing measures or handover omissions and the number of problems have been significantly reduced, which improves the safety of patients' diagnosis and treatment [33].

3.6. SBAR communication mode combined with CPBL teaching mode

Clinical Problem-Based Learning (CPBL) is a combination of Case-Based Learning (CBL) and Problem-Based Learning (PBL). It is a teaching method based on actual clinical cases, where overall and subteaching goals are determined, therefore allowing students to think, analyze, and learn from the real patients' cases [34]. Xing C, Zhou Y *et al.* [35] applied CPBL teaching mode and SBAR communication mode to 102 clinical nursing students. The nursing students were scored from four dimensions, including positive problem orientation, negative problem orientation, avoidance style and impulsive neglect style. Besides, questionnaires were given to students and a semi-structured interviews were conducted before and after applying the teaching mode. The results of the survey showed that the scores of the four dimensions were all improved compared to those before the intervention. Hence, this joint model can improve the clinical thinking ability and problem-solving ability of nursing students, deepen the understanding and mastery of the patient's condition, strengthen the relationship with patients, and ensure effective delivery of information.

3.7. SBAR communication mode combined with quality control circle nursing teaching mode

Quality Control Circle (QCC) is a group (also known as QC group) composed of several staff (about 6-10 people), which work together to solve problems according to the PDCA procedure. Its purpose is to cultivate students' learning initiative while optimizing service quality, thus it ensures continuous improvement of nursing service quality $^{[36]}$. Tao Chen $^{[37]}$ and Rong Zhang $^{[38]}$ combined this model with the SBAR communication model in the clinical teaching of neurosurgery. First, the nursing students are trained and assessed through the SBAR communication model, and then a quality control group is established. The group leader formulates a study plan, answers, and solves the common problems or difficulties encountered by the nursing students during practice, and at the same time assesses the nursing students. The results showed that the observation group did not only perform significantly better than the control group in the theory and operation assessment, but was also better than the control group in terms of learning attitude, ability, initiative, and made lesser errors (P < 0.05). Hence, this shows that the quality control circle combined with SBAR communication nursing teaching can effectively discover the problems existing while teaching the nursing students, make timely improvements, improve the enthusiasm and initiative of nursing students in learning, and help students improve communication with patients and their families. Besides, it also reduces the safety hazards in the work and the occurrence of clinical nursing errors.

3.8. SBAR communication mode combined with "trilogy" bedside teaching nursing teaching mode

On the SBAR communication model, Chen, Pan and others [39] continued to use the "trilogy" bedside teaching method by Professor Ramani [40], a medical education expert at Boston University in the United States, which includes 3 stages: before teaching, during teaching, and after teaching, each stage contains different small steps, a total of 12 sub-steps. The pre-teaching stage covers 3 sub-steps, which are as follows: preparing teaching materials, formulating teaching plans and teaching introduction. The teaching stage covers 5 sub-steps, which are as follows: mutual introduction, communication and interaction, key observation, teaching development, and summary evaluation. The post-teaching stage covers 4 sub-steps, which are as follows: case report, mutual feedback, summary reflection and re-preparation. Combining these 3 stages and 12 steps with the SBAR communication model, the observation ability, comprehension ability, analysis ability, communication ability, and other scores of the nursing students in the experimental group were significantly improved compared with those of the control group (P < 0.05). Therefore, SBAR communication mode combined with the "trilogy" bedside teaching mode can significantly improve the

communication skills of nursing students, and at the same time contribute to the continuous development and improvement of clinical nursing quality.

4. Application evaluation and prospect of SBAR communication mode in teaching of nursing students SBAR communication mode is currently widely used in clinical teaching of nursing students, and its application is mostly combined with educational training, such as scenario simulation, case analysis, theoretical explanation, and many more. However, a unified training mode has not yet been formed, which is crucial during the actual application. This causes several deviations. Secondly, the formulation of the SBAR handover sheet has not been standardized. In actual practice, nursing students often focus on recording information, while ignoring the importance of communicating with patients. Thirdly, the evaluation indicators have not been standardized. Most of them are self-made scales, comparisons of theoretical or operational assessment results, and comparisons of nursing students' teaching satisfaction. These indicators do not directly evaluate communication behaviors, and further scientific and standardized assessment indicators need to be established. Finally, the SBAR communication mode is combined with other application modes in various ways, with a variety of training methods and evaluation indicators. A joint mode has not yet been explored to form a standardized system which can be extended to various fields of clinical nursing teaching.

5. Conclusion

In short, the SBAR communication mode can ensure clinical safety and convey information effectively. Although it is widely used in the clinical teaching of nursing students, it still has many shortcomings. In the future, a unified training model and evaluation standards need to be formulated, using scientific and quantifiable assessment indicators. The use of the SBAR communication model, including the combination with other models should also be popularized. This requires continuous exploration and innovation. Besides, it is important to strive to provide patients with safe and secure medical care services and improve SBAR communication mode in all aspects from nursing students to nurses.

Funding

Qingdao Binhai University, 2021 Shandong Province Undergraduate and Teaching Reform Key Project Z2021037

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Lo L, Rotteau L, Shojania K, 2021, Can SBAR be Implemented with High Fidelity and Does it Improve Communication Between Healthcare Workers? A Systematic Review. BMJ Open, 11(12): e055247.
- [2] Rehm C, Zoller R, Schenk A, et al., 2021, Evaluation of a Paper-Based Checklist versus an Electronic Handover Tool Based on the Situation Background Assessment Recommendation (SBAR) Concept in Patients after Surgery for Congenital Heart Disease. J Clin Med, 10(24): 5724.
- [3] Randmaa M, Mårtensson G, Swenne CL, et al., 2014, SBAR Improves Communication and Safety Climate and Decreases Incident Reports Due to Communication Errors in an Aesthetic Clinic: A Prospective Intervention Study. BMJ Open, 4(1): e004268.

- [4] Uhm JY, Ko Y, Kim S, 2019, Implementation of An SBAR Communication Program Based on Experiential Learning Theory in a Pediatric Nursing Practicum: A Quasi-Experimental Study. Nurse Educ Today, 80: 78–84.
- [5] Abbaszade A, Assarroudi A, Armat MR, et al., 2021, Evaluation of the Impact of Handoff Based on the SBAR Technique on Quality of Nursing Care. J Nurs Care Qual, 36(3): E38–E43.
- [6] Niu J, Niu F, 2016, The Effect of SBAR Communication Model Training on the Nurse-Patient Communication Ability of Intern Nurses. Nursing Research, 30(14): 1775–1776.
- [7] Chen S, Qin P, Du D, et al., 2022, Application Effect of SBAR Communication Mode in Clinical Nursing Teaching of Heart Center. General Nursing, 20(19): 2723-2725
- [8] Geng R, Li B, Zhang W, et al., 2020, Analysis of Current Situation and Influencing Factors of Nurse-Patient Communication Ability of Undergraduate Nursing Students in the Early Stage of Clinical Practice. Chinese Journal of Modern Nursing, 2020(09): 1243–1247.
- [9] Li L, Zhou H, Lu J, 2021, The Application Effect of the SBAR Standard Communication Mode in the Teaching of Gynecological Nursing. Chinese Higher Medical Education, 2021(05): 89+92.
- [10] Noh G, Kim M, 2021, Effectiveness of Assertiveness Training, SBAR, and Combined SBAR and Assertiveness Training for Nursing Students Undergoing Clinical Training: A Quasi-Experimental Study. Nurse Educ Today, 103: 104958.
- [11] Ting WH, Peng FS, Lin HH, et al., 2017, The Impact of Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation (SBAR) on Safety Attitudes in the Obstetrics Department. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol, 56(2): 171–174.
- [12] Müller M, Jürgens J, Redaèlli M, et al., 2018, Impact of the Communication and Patient Hand-Off Tool SBAR on Patient Safety: A Systematic Review. BMJ Open. 8(8): e022202.
- [13] Bartges M, 2012, Pairing Students in Clinical Assignments to Develop Collaboration and Communication Skills. Nurse Educ, 37(1): 17–22.
- [14] Ha H, Wang X, Wen Y, 2018, Application of SBAR Standard Communication Mode in Pediatric Nursing Teaching. Modern Distance Education of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 16(11): 20-21 + 49
- [15] Zhang X, 2017, The Application of SBAR Standardized Communication Mode in the Teaching of Nursing Students in Thoracic Surgery. Nursing of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine (Chinese and English), 3(06): 15–17.
- [16] Chen X, Li F, 2016, The Application of Standardized Communication Methods in the Handover Between Nursing Departments. Contemporary Nurses (Mid-day Journal), 2016(03): 149–151.
- [17] Zheng Q, Jin J, 2020, Application Effect of SBAR Model in Clinical Teaching of Obstetrics and Gynecology Nursing. Famous Doctors, 2020(09): 397–398.
- [18] Li Y, Li H, Zhong C, et al., 2016, Application of SBAR in Early Rounds of Nursing Teaching in Operating Room. Chinese Journal of Medical Education Exploration, 15(07): 699–702.
- [19] Yao Y, Wei R, Liao J, et al., 2018, Application of SBAR Communication Model in Nurse-Patient Communication Training for Intern Nurses in Radiotherapy Department. General Nursing, 16(18): 2267–2269.
- [20] Wu X, Li H, Liu A, 2019, Application of SBAR Communication Mode in Clinical Practice of Surgical Nursing. Health Vocational Education, 37(24): 112–113.
- [21] Cheng K, Fu J, Zhang Z, et al., 2020, The Effect of SBAR Communication Mode Combined with Scenario Simulation Teaching Method on the Communication Ability of Intern Nursing Students. Electronic Journal of Practical Clinical Nursing, 5(09): 183–184.

- [22] Stevens N, McNiesh S, Goyal D, 2020, Utilizing an SBAR Workshop with Baccalaureate Nursing Students to Improve Communication Skills. Nurs Educ Perspect, 41(2): 117–118.
- [23] Yu T, Ma H, Yu B, 2021, The Effect of Scenario Simulation Exercises Guided by SBAR Communication Mode on Improving the Quality of Practice Nurses' Handover. Chinese Journal of Medical Education Exploration, 20(4): 471–474.
- [24] Martina SE, Purba IE, Sinaga J, et al., 2021, Self-Efficacy Impact of the Mini-CEX Among Nursing Students in North Sumatera, Indonesia. J Public Health Res. 11(2): 2743.
- [25] Lang Y, Dai A, Li S, et al., 2020, Research progress of Mini-CEX in the Improvement of Nursing Specialty in China. Chinese Modern Doctor, 58(14): 170–173 + 177.
- [26] Jin S, Jiang X, Dong Y, et al., 2022, Application of SBAR Communication Combined with Mini-CEX Evaluation Model in Ophthalmic Nursing Teaching. Chinese Higher Medical Education, 2022(01): 91 + 93.
- [27] Jiang K, Li D, Su Y, 2021, Application of SBAR Teaching Method Combined with Mini-CEX Evaluation Model in General Surgery Nursing Teaching. Health Vocational Education, 39(20): 46–48.
- [28] Wilhite JA, Zabar S, Gillespie C, et al., 2022, "I Don't Trust It": Use of a Routine OSCE to Identify Core Communication Skills Required for Counseling a Vaccine-Hesitant Patient. J Gen Intern Med, 37(9): 2330–2334.
- [29] Su Y, Li L, Zhang X, et al., 2020, Application of Simplified OSCE Mode Combined with SBAR Communication Mode in Operating Room Nursing Teaching. Chinese Medical Science, 10(1): 46–50.
- [30] Chu Y, Liu J, Guo C, et al., 2022, Evaluation of the Effect of Training Junior ICU Nurses with the CICARE Communication Model. China Continuing Medical Education, 14(10): 91–94.
- [31] Wang X, Liu H, et al., 2016, Application of SBAR + CICARE Communication Mode in Practice Nursing Students. Proceedings of the Second Asia-Pacific Pediatric Nurses Conference and Chinese Nursing Association National Pediatric Nursing Academic Exchange Conference, 231–233.
- [32] Chen X, Xu G, Deng F, et al., 2020, Application Research of improved Early Warning Scoring System Combined with SBAR Communication Model in Cardiology Wards. Chinese and Foreign Medical Research, 18(19): 165–167. https://www.doi.org/10.14033/j.cnki.cfmr.2020.19.068
- [33] Wu H, Ma M, 2020, The Application Effect of MEWS and SBAR Shift Mode in Clinical Nursing Teaching of Hemodialysis. Health Management, 2020(36): 272.
- [34] Qiu T, Liu Y, Ma P, et al., 2020, Exploration and Thinking of CPBL Teaching Method. Journal of Nanjing Medical University (Social Science Edition), 20(05): 496–500.
- [35] Xing C, Zhou Y, Li M, et al., 2021, The Effects of CPBL + SBAR Teaching Mode Among the Nursing Students. Nurse Educ Today, 100: 104828.
- [36] Tian M, Peng S, Liu J, et al., 2020, The Application of the Teaching Model Under the Quality Control Circle in Clinical Nursing Teaching. China Continuing Medical Education, 12(17): 47–50.
- [37] Chen T, Yang C, 2018, Discussion on the Application of SBAR Communication Mode Combined with Quality Control Circle Nursing Teaching Model in Clinical Teaching of Neurosurgery. Journal of Inner Mongolia Medical University, 40(S1): 144–146.
- [38] Zhang R, Yang K, 2020, Discussion on the Application of SBAR Communication Method Combined with Quality Control Circle Nursing Teaching Model in Clinical Teaching of Neurosurgery. Chinese Community Physician, 36(36): 151–152.
- [39] Chen Z, Pan J, 2021, The Application of SBAR Combined with "Trilogy" Bedside Teaching in the Training of Communication Skills Between Nurses and Patients in the Department of Respiratory

Medicine of Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital. Chinese Higher Medical Education, 2021(8): 107–108.

[40] Ramani S, 2003, Twelve Tips to Improve Bedside Teaching. Med Teach, 25(2): 112–115.

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.