

ISSN Print: 2208-3685

Analysis and Comparison of the Effect of Preserving Spontaneous Breathing Without Intubation and Endotracheal Intubation with One Lung Ventilation in Single-hole Thoracoscopic Bulla Suture

Hefei Li^{1†}, Pei Liu^{1†}, Yanhong Shang², Zhimin Guo¹, Biao Zhang¹, Kuo Xiao¹, Qiang Guo^{1*}, Xianyi Liu^{3*}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University, Baoding 071000, China

²Department of Medical Oncology, Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University, Baoding 071000, China

³Department of General Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University, Baoding 071000, China

†These authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding author: Qiang Guo, 625166154@qq.com; Xianyi Liu, 13731661973@139.com

Copyright: © 2020 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: Objective: To explore the situation of patients and the compare the effect between two methods, which are preserving spontaneous breathing without intubation and endotracheal intubation with one lung ventilation in the single-hole thoracoscopic bulla suture. Method: 42 patients who received single-hole thoracoscopic pulmonary bullae suture in our hospital from January 2020 to December 2021 were selected as the study subjects, including 19 patients who underwent endotracheal intubation and one lung ventilation as the control group and 23 patients who underwent single-hole thoracoscopic pulmonary bullae suture without intubation as the study group. The relevant indexes, postoperative general conditions, complications and pneumothorax recurrence of the two groups were analyzed and observed. Results: In the control group, the scores of anesthesia time (points), resuscitation time (points), surgical visual field score (points), surgical time (points), and surgical bleeding volume (points) were 20.8 ± 4.6 , 19.9 ± 7.9 , 1.7 ± 0.5 , 44.9 ± 7.9 , and 11.4 ± 2.4 respectively. In the study group, the scores of anesthesia time (points), resuscitation time (points), surgical visual field score (points), surgical time (points), and scores of surgical bleeding (points) were 17.9 ± 4.3 , 15.4 ± 3.4 , 1.9 ± 0.4 , 48.4 ± 7.1 , 10.9 ± 2.2 , respectively. There was no statistical difference in surgical visual field score, surgical time and surgical bleeding whereas there was a statistical difference between anesthesia time and resuscitation time. In the control group after operation, VAS score at 6 hours after operation, SaO₂ (%) after operation, PaCO₂ (mmHg) after operation, drainage volume (ML) after operation, feeding time (H) after operation, retention time of thoracic tube after operation (H), WBC (109) on the first day after operation, hospitalization time (d), and total hospitalization cost (RMB 1000) were 2.1 ± 0.7 , 98.2 ± 1.4 , 42.4 ± 4.9 , 139.1 ± 23.1 , 6.9 ± 1.4 , 42.4 ± 1.4 1.6, 37.1 ± 5.4 , 7.9 ± 2.1 , 6.6 ± 1.3 , and 2.6 ± 0.3 respectively. As for the study group, the VAS score at 6 hours after operation, SaO₂ (%) after operation, PaCO₂ (mmHg) after operation, drainage volume (ML) after operation, feeding time (H) after operation, retention time of thoracic tube after operation (H), WBC (109) on the first day after operation, hospitalization time (d), and total hospitalization cost (RMB 1000) were 1.9 ± 0.4 , 97.9 ± 1.2 , 42.8 ± 5.1 , 151.8 ± 21.9 , 4.3 ± 1.4 , 15.3 ± 2.6 , 5.2 \pm 2.3, 4.2 \pm 1.2, and 1.8 \pm 0.4 respectively. Among them, there were no significant differences in visual analog scale (VAS) score at 6 hours after operation, SaO₂ after operation and PaCO₂ after operation between the two groups, but there were significant differences in other factors. The complication rate of the control group was 36.84%, which was significantly higher than that of the study group (4.35%), with statistical difference. The recurrence rate of the control group was 21.05%, which was not significantly different from that of the study group (4.35%). *Conclusion:* The single-hole thoracoscopic bullae suture without intubation can reduce the anesthesia time and resuscitation time of patients, reduce the hospitalization cost of patients, reduce the treatment burden, shorten the first feeding time, and reduce the complication rate of patients. Therefore, it is worthy of clinical promotion.

Keywords: Spontaneous breathing; One lung ventilation; Single-hole thoracoscopy

Online publication: September 29, 2022

1. Introduction

Pulmonary bullectomy is a surgical treatment for spontaneous pneumothorax caused by rupture of pulmonary bullae ^[1]. With the development of endoscopic instruments and the progress of minimally invasive technology, thoracoscopic surgery for spontaneous pneumothorax has become a common surgical method. However, the bullae resection under general anesthesia and endotracheal intubation will cause great damage to the patient, and the patient's recovery time will be prolonged, and it may also cause complications related to endotracheal intubation and the risk of throat pain, nausea and hemoptysis. In addition, the patients with bilateral pulmonary bullae are anesthetized with laryngeal mask intubation and ventilator assisted breathing. The airway pressure of the dilated lung is slightly high, and sometimes the airway pressure is normal, which may cause the rupture of the contralateral pulmonary bullae during the operation, forming pneumothorax or even tension pneumothorax, which may lead to the decrease of blood oxygen saturation and even endanger the patient's life ^[2]. Therefore, non-intubated autonomous respiratory vein anesthesia is now more commonly used. Compared with conventional thoracoscopic surgery, single-hole thoracoscopic pulmonary bullectomy has less trauma to the patient, less postoperative pain and faster recovery ^[3,4].

2. Data and methods

2.1. General information

42 patients who received single-hole thoracoscopic pulmonary bullae suture in our hospital from January 2020 to December 2021 were selected as the study subjects, including 19 patients who underwent endotracheal intubation and one lung ventilation as the control group and 23 patients who underwent single-hole thoracoscopic pulmonary bullae suture without intubation with autonomous breathing as the study group. There was no significant difference in the general data of patients and there was no statistical significance

2.2. Methods

- (1) The patients in the control group were monitored by electrocardiography (ECG) without urinary catheterization. After induction of anesthesia with dexmedetomidine, propofol, sufentanil and other drugs, double lumen endotracheal intubation was performed and fiber bronchoscope was positioned. One lung ventilation was performed on the healthy side, continuously using propofol and sufentanil during the operation. The maintenance of analgesia and sedation, surgical incision, surgical resection, postoperative analgesia and reexamination and extubation were the same as those in non-intubated video-assisted thoracic surgery (NIVATS) group.
- (2) Patients in the study group water fasted for 6 hours before operation, and ECG monitoring was performed. The affected side was placed in the superior decubitus position, and 0.375% ropivacaine was used for paravertebral nerve block at the 3-7th intercostal plane of the affected side under the guidance of bedside B-ultrasound. Dexmedetomidine, propofol and sufentanil were used to control the fluid

velocity, monitor the BIS value between 40-60 and do not inhibit respiration. After falling asleep, laryngeal mask was placed to give oxygen, no catheter was inserted, and the fourth intercostal midaxillary incision was made for 4 cm, conventional single-port thoracoscopic operation was performed. After entering the chest, the vagus nerve was blocked with 2% lidocaine 2 mL on the operative side. 2% lidocaine was sprayed on the surface of the lungs for anesthesia, the operation was gentle to prevent cough, the bullae were explored and removed, the pleural cavity was washed with warm normal saline after pleural friction fixation, and one chest drainage tube was placed at the incision site after no air leakage, and the lungs were expanded by pressure ventilation to promote lung recruitment, and the chest was closed. Patient controlled intravenous analgesia with analgesia pump was performed after operation. On the first day after the operation, the chest X-ray was reexamined, and the yellow liquid with a drainage volume of less than 150ml was removed from the chest tube for 24 hours.

2.3. Observation indicators

The related indexes during operation, general conditions after operation, complications and pneumothorax recurrence were analyzed and observed in the two groups.

2.4. Statistical methods

SPSS25.0 software was used for t-test and χ^2 inspection

3. Results

3.1. Analysis and comparison of the relevant indexes of the two groups during operation

In the control group, the scores of anesthesia time (points), resuscitation time (points), surgical visual field score (points), surgical time (points), and surgical bleeding volume (points) were 20.8 ± 4.6 , 19.9 ± 7.9 , 1.7 ± 0.5 , 44.9 ± 7.9 , and 11.4 ± 2.4 respectively. In the study group, the scores of anesthesia time (points), resuscitation time (points), surgical visual field score (points), surgical time (points) The scores of surgical bleeding (points) were 17.9 ± 4.3 , 15.4 ± 3.4 , 1.9 ± 0.4 , 48.4 ± 7.1 , 10.9 ± 2.2 , respectively. There was no statistical difference in surgical visual field score, surgical time and surgical bleeding, but there was a statistical difference between anesthesia time and resuscitation time, as shown in **Table 1**.

Group	Control group (n =	Research group (n=23)	t	Р
	19)			
Anesthesia time (min)	20.8 ± 4.6	17.9 ± 4.3	2.1080	0.0413
Recovery time (min)	19.9 ± 7.9	15.4 ± 3.4	2.4733	0.0177
Surgical visual field score	1.7 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.4	1.4408	0.1574
(points)				
Operation time (min)	44.9 ± 7.9	48.4 ± 7.1	1.5112	0.1386
Surgical blood loss (points)	11.4 ± 2.4	10.9 ± 2.2	0.7036	0.4857

Table 1. Analysis and comparison of the relevant indexes of the two groups during operation

3.2. Analysis and comparison the relevant indexes of the two groups after operation

In the control group after operation, VAS score at 6 hours after operation, SaO₂ (%) after operation, PaCO₂ (mmHg) after operation, drainage volume (ML) after operation, feeding time (H) after operation, retention time of thoracic tube after operation (H), WBC (109) on the first day after operation, hospitalization time (d), and total hospitalization cost (RMB 1000) were 2.1 ± 0.7 , 98.2 ± 1.4 , 42.4 ± 4.9 , 139.1 ± 23.1 , 6.9 ± 1.6 , 37.1 ± 5.4 , 7.9 ± 2.1 , 6.6 ± 1.3 , and 2.6 ± 0.3 respectively. In the study group, VAS score at 6 hours

after operation, SaO₂ (%) after operation, PaCO₂ (mmHg) after operation, drainage volume (ML) after operation, feeding time (H) after operation, retention time of thoracic tube after operation (H), WBC (109) on the first day after operation, hospitalization time (d), and total hospitalization cost (RMB 1000) were 1.9 \pm 0.4, 97.9 \pm 1.2, 42.8 \pm 5.1, 151.8 \pm 21.9, 4.3 \pm 1.4, 15.3 \pm 2.6, 5.2 \pm 2.3, 4.2 \pm 1.2, and 1.8 \pm 0.4 respectively. Among them, there were no significant differences in VAS score at 6 hours after operation, SaO₂ after operation and PaCO₂ after operation, but there were significant differences in other factors, as shown in **Table 2.**

Group	Control group (n = 19)	Research group (n =	t	Р	
	23)				
VAS score 6 hours after operation	2.1 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.4	1.1615	0.2523	
Postoperative SaO ₂ (%)	98.2 ± 1.4	97.9 ± 1.2	0.7479	0.4589	
Postoperative PaCO ₂ (mmhg)	42.4 ± 4.9	42.8 ± 5.1	0.2575	0.7981	
Postoperative drainage volume	139.1 ± 23.1	151.8 ± 21.9	1.8249	0.0755	
(ml)					
Feeding Time (h)	6.9 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 1.4	5.6161	0.0000	
Retention time of thoracic	37.1 ± 5.4	15.3 ± 2.6	17.1357	0.0000	
tube after operation (h)					
WBC on the first	7.9 ± 2.1	5.2 ± 2.3	3.9368	0.0000	
postoperative day (10 ⁹)					
Length of hospital stay (d)	6.6 ± 1.3	4.2 ± 1.2	6.2131	0.0000	
Total hospitalization expenses	2.6 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.4	7.1987	0.0000	
(RMB 1000)					

 Table 2. Analysis and comparison of the postoperative related indexes of the two groups

3.3. Analysis and comparison of the complications and pneumothorax recurrence of the two groups The complication rate of the control group was 36.84%, which was significantly higher than that of the study group (4.35%), with statistical difference. The recurrence rate of the control group was 21.05%, which was not significantly different from that of the study group (4.35%), as shown in **Table 3**.

Table 3. The complications and pneumothorax recurrence of the two groups were analyzed and compared [n (%)]

Group	Control group (n =	Research group (n =	χ²	Р
	19)	23)		
Complication	7 (36.84)	1 (4.35)	5.1733	0.0229
Recrudescence	4 (21.05)	1 (4.35)	1.4048	0.2359

4. Discussion

With the development of medical technology and the continuous improvement of quality of life, people have increasing demands for minimally invasive treatment of traditional surgery. Thoracoscopic surgery has become the direction of minimally invasive development of thoracic surgery, and has become the first option of thoracic surgery. The anesthesia technology of thoracic surgery has developed from the general anesthesia under double lumen bronchial intubation in the early stage to nerve block and intrathoracic spinal

anesthesia in recent years, and to the general anesthesia under laryngeal mask without invading the airway in recent years ^[5-10].

While dual lumen bronchial intubation has many advantages, there are also many complications, such as airway injury during intubation ^[11-14], catheter cuff displacement during operation, bronchospasm, and so on; The increase of intrapulmonary shunt also leads to hypoxemia; and mechanical ventilation complications, such as hypoxic lung injury, mechanical stretch lung injury, ventilator-related lung injury (vali), non-ventilated side lung injury, ischemia-reperfusion injury, and so on. This effect is more prominent in elderly patients, especially those with obstructive ventilation dysfunction. One lung ventilation also increases the risk of lung infection, lung injury and the need for mechanical ventilation and respiratory support after surgery ^[15]. During general anesthesia of endotracheal intubation, due to the use of muscle relaxants, opioids, inhaled anesthetics and acetylcholine drugs, the recovery of gastrointestinal function after operation is affected, the time of drinking and eating is prolonged, and nausea, vomiting, choking and abdominal distension often occur. Recent studies have confirmed that some patients will have neuromuscular block after general anesthesia surgery, especially respiratory muscle group, which will further affect the patient's lung function ^[16].

Compared with the traditional thoracotomy, the self-breathing single-hole thoracoscopic surgery is widely used in clinics because of its advantages such as less trauma, less bleeding, shorter operation time, less complications and faster recovery. The methods and advantages of non-intubated autonomous breathing single-hole thoracoscopic surgery includes: (1) The position pad is placed under the patient's armpit to raise the operation side, which is in line with the position of conventional thoracoscopic surgery and is more conducive to the operation; (2) small trauma cause little damage to the patient, and reduce the pain of the patient; (3) postoperative recovery is fast, promoting the recovery of gastrointestinal function, able to drink and eat after a short period of time, and nausea, vomiting and abdominal distension are rare; (4) since the patient has no tracheal intubation injury, he consciously has no pain in the throat after the operation and does not need muscle relaxants, which promotes the recovery of the patient's ventilator function and sputum discharge function after the operation, reduces the occurrence of lung infection, reduces the perioperative risk of the respiratory tract, and makes the management of the respiratory tract easier; (5) it reduce the number of days in hospital and reduce the cost of patients.

The general anesthesia technique of laryngeal mask with autonomous breathing was retained. For the cases meeting the screening conditions, the anesthesia effect was ideal, the surgeon was also satisfied with the exposure of the surgical field, and the thoracoscopic surgery was successfully completed. This technology not only greatly reduces the interference to the respiratory function, but also avoids the invasive injury of the airway and ventilator-related lung injury, and greatly reduces the surgical trauma of the patient. Besides, laryngeal mask general anesthesia technology not only avoids the stress reaction during endotracheal intubation and the influence on the cilia of respiratory mucosa, but also can perform manual ventilation during operation to improve hypoxemia or hypercapnia when necessary, and can temporarily stop ventilation during important operation steps to obtain a good visual field, which is unique in non-endotracheal intubation anesthesia technology.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the single-hole thoracoscopic bullae suture without intubation can reduce the anesthesia time and resuscitation time of patients, reduce the hospitalization cost of patients, reduce the treatment burden, shorten the first feeding time, and reduce the complication rate of patients. Therefore, it is worthy of clinical promotion.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Luo Y, 2022, Effect Analysis of Single-hole Thoracoscopic Bullectomy Under Autonomous Respiratory Anesthesia. Minimally Invasive Medicine, 17 (01): 60–62 + 110
- [2] Zeng Q, Lai Y, 2021, Application of Laryngeal Mask Anesthesia with Autonomous Breathing Under Single-hole Thoracoscopy in Bullectomy. Youjiang Medical Journal, 49 (09): 702–705
- [3] Yang Z, Zhang D, Dong Y, 2020, Clinical Application of Non-tracheal Intubation Anesthesia Singlehole Thoracoscopy Combined with Hook Wire Needle Positioning Technology in Peripheral Pulmonary GGO Lesions. Journal of Henan University (Medical Edition), 39 (06): 419–423
- [4] Wang H, Clinical Application of Single-hole Thoracoscopy Under Non-Endotracheal Intubation and Autonomous Breathing Anesthesia. Chinese and foreign medical research, 2020,18 (28): 150–151
- [5] Cai C, Ye M, Pang J, 2020, Observation on the Application Effect of Single-hole Thoracoscopy Under Non-Endotracheal Intubation Preserving Autonomous Breathing Anesthesia. Journal of Clinical Rational Drug Use, 13(14): 148–149
- [6] Cao H, Shi J, Jiang S, et al., 2020, Common Problems and Discussion in Non-Tracheal Intubation General Anesthesia Single-hole Thoracoscopic Lobectomy. Contemporary Medicine, 26(02): 151–153
- [7] Li P, Li W, 2019, Analysis of Clinical Application of Single-hole Thoracoscopy Under Non-Endotracheal Intubation and Autonomous Breathing Anesthesia. World's Latest Medical Information Digest, 19(89): 42–43
- [8] Zhao W, Zhou C, Zhu Y, et al., 2019, Observation on the Effect of Laryngeal Mask Anesthesia with Autonomous Breathing on Single-hole Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Bullectomy. Zhejiang Medical Journal, 41(20): 2208–2210 + 2214
- [9] Sang Y, Fang L, 2019, Perioperative Nursing of Single-hole Thoracoscopy Under Non-tracheal Intubation Anesthesia. Electronic Journal of practical clinical nursing, 4 (42): 81
- [10] Zhu S, Lu M, Wang B, et al., 2019, Clinical Report on Single-hole Thoracoscopic Surgery with Non-Tracheal Intubation Anesthesia and Autonomous Breathing. Journal of Southern Anhui Medical College, 38 (05): 462–464
- [11] Wu Z, Zhang S, Li M, et al., 2019, Clinical Application of Non -Intubated Single-hole Thoracoscopic Surgery with Preserved Autonomous Breathing. Henan Journal of Surgery, 25(04): 17–19
- [12] Xie D, Yi L, Wang J, Sun L, 2019, Nursing Research on Non-endotracheal Intubation Total Endoscopic Single-hole Pulmonary Bullectomy. Contemporary Nurses (Zhongxun Journal), 26(03): 53–56
- [13] Cheng C, 2019, Clinical Study on Pulmonary Bullous Resection by Autonomous Breathing Non-Endotracheal Intubation Single-hole Thoracoscopy, dissertation, Ningxia Medical University.
- [14] Zhang M, Jin Z, Ma Y, et al., 2018, A Randomized Controlled Trial of The Application of Autonomous Respiratory Anesthesia Combined with Single-hole Non-catheterized Thoracoscopy in Bullectomy. Chinese Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 25(03): 218–221
- [15] Shi J, Yang R, Shao F, et al., 2018, Clinical Application of Single-hole Thoracoscopic Technique in Non-Endotracheal Intubation Preserving Autonomous Breathing Anesthesia. Chongqing Medical Journal, 47(04): 480–481 + 485

[16] Jiang S, Sun Y, Yin F, 2017, Comparison of I-Gel Laryngeal Mask and Standard Laryngeal Mask in Airway Management of Single-hole Thoracoscopic Bullectomy with Autonomous Breathing. Journal of Southeast University (Medical Edition), 36 (03): 449–452

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.