The Innovation, Integration, and Development Path of AI Art in the Framework of Smart Cities

Yu Wu*

Guangxi City Vocational College, Nanning, Guangxi, China

Corresponding author: Wu Yu, y1u2@hotmail.com

Abstract: The deep integration of smart city construction and artificial intelligence technology provides technical support and cultural context for the innovative development of AI art. Through interdisciplinary research methods, this paper constructs a three-dimensional analysis framework of "technology empowerment-scene reconstruction-ecological collaboration" to systematically explore the integration mechanism and practical path of AI art in smart cities. The study found that AI art has reshaped the spatial narrative of urban public art through data-driven creation, algorithmic style transfer, and real-time interactive design. It demonstrates unique value in the revitalization of cultural heritage, citizen participatory creation, and industrial digital transformation. However, issues such as technical ethical controversies, data sovereignty games, and aesthetic paradigm shifts need to be urgently addressed. This study proposes a collaborative development path of "policy guidance-algorithm transparency-cultural embedding" to provide a theoretical reference for enhancing the humanistic value of smart cities.

Keywords: Smart city; AI art; Generative adversarial networks; Urban aesthetics; Technical ethics

1. Introduction

In the process of deep integration of global urbanization and digital technology, smart cities have evolved from the stage of technical infrastructure to a new paradigm of humanistic value reconstruction. According to statistics from International Data Corporation (IDC), the global smart city market size will exceed US$2.3 trillion in 2024, with 62% of investment flowing into cultural digital innovation. This trend marks a fundamental shift in urban development logic, from infrastructure efficiency optimization to human-centered meaning production. In this context, AI art, as a cutting-edge carrier of technical aesthetics, is reshaping the urban cultural ecology through data mapping and algorithm generation. Its integration with smart cities is not only about technological innovation but also involves deep-level changes in social relationships, cultural identity, and governance ethics. Current research presents an imbalance between "technology priority" and

"humanistic lag." On the one hand, international academic circles focus on the technical implementation of AI art (such as GAN's generative ability optimization) and short-term application effects (such as tourist participation in public spaces) but ignore its long-term social impact and cultural penetration effects. On the other hand, domestic research mostly stays at the level of macro value demonstration, lacking critical reflection on key issues such as local cultural adaptability and implicit control of algorithmic power. What is more alarming is that the existing theoretical framework generally splits the interactive relationship between "technology-space-humanities", making it difficult to explain how AI art reconstructs the meaning network of urban space. For example, the London "Data Sculpture Park" project has verified the aesthetic value of real-time data visualization but has not touched on the potential impact of algorithmic narrative on citizens' cognitive models. Similar domestic projects are mostly limited by departmental data barriers, leading to fragmented cultural expression [1].

2. Symbiotic logic of smart cities and AI art

As a product of digital transformation, the technical architecture of smart cities forms a deep symbiotic relationship with the innovative needs of AI art. From a technical perspective, the three core layers of smart cities—data layer, algorithm layer, and interaction layer—provide infrastructure support for AI art. The data layer collects real-time environmental data (such as traffic flow and air quality) and social data (such as citizen behavior trajectories and cultural consumption preferences) through the Internet of Things (IoT) in cities, building a dynamically updated art material library. For example, the "Digital Twin of the Bund" project in Shanghai synchronizes physical and virtual space data in real time through a 5G network, enabling the AI-generated Huangpu River light show to respond to tidal changes and tourist density. The algorithm layer is centered on Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) and neural style transfer technology, breaking through the physical limitations of traditional artistic creation. The Stable Diffusion model can generate architectural design schemes that integrate urban context through semantic analysis. For example, in an AI-generated scheme for a landmark building in the Xiong'an New Area, the algorithm combines Han dynasty patterns with modern steel structures, realizing the digital translation of historical symbols. The interaction layer relies on Augmented Reality (AR) and Mixed Reality (MR) technologies to reconstruct the sensory experience of public spaces. The "Data Forest" installation at the Shenzhen Light and Shadow Art Season uses AR glasses to convert the walking speed of citizens into the growth rate of virtual plants, forming an immersive narrative of human-machine symbiosis. Meanwhile, the feedback effect of AI art on smart cities is reflected in two dimensions: cultural governance and space activation. In terms of cultural governance, the "Digital Nostalgia" program in Hangzhou uses AI to generate historical images of old neighborhoods, which are played in a loop on community screens, improving young residents' awareness of urban changes by 37%. In terms of space activation, the dynamic lighting system in Singapore's Marina Bay adjusts light color and brightness based on real-time pedestrian density, increasing the utilization rate of public spaces at night by 28%. This two-way empowerment mechanism reveals a core proposition: a smart city is not only a physical entity integrated by technology but also a network of meanings intertwined with algorithms and humanities [2].

3. Innovative integration practices of AI art

At the technical empowerment level, AI art has evolved from being an auxiliary tool to being an independent

creative subject. Generative design tools like Midjourney generate city landmark schemes through semantic analysis, with an iteration efficiency far exceeding traditional design processes. In the project of reconstructing the soundscape of Suzhou gardens, an AI system based on the LSTM network restores the courtyard sound effects of the Ming and Qing dynasties with 95% accuracy, including specific seasonal birdsongs and running water sounds, with an error rate controlled within 3%. The rise of algorithmic curation further expands the boundaries of art. The NFT art platform of Shanghai West Bund Art Museum utilizes blockchain technology to achieve work confirmation and automated accounting, with a single exhibition transaction volume exceeding 2 million yuan, verifying the commercial feasibility of technology empowerment. The innovation of application scenarios is reflected in the reconstruction of algorithmic narratives in public spaces. In the field of cultural heritage activation, the AI restoration project of the Dunhuang Research Institute uses the StyleCLIP algorithm to complement the defective parts of the wall paintings, extending the average daily stay of tourists in Mogao Cave 45 from 25 minutes to 35 minutes. In citizen participatory creation scenes, Shenzhen's "Everyone is an Artist" AR interactive wall receives over 5,000 citizen graffiti inputs daily. The AI system converts them into stylized wall paintings, with 23% of the works being included in the city's digital art library. Environmental perception art strengthens social responsibility through data visualization. New York's air quality dome installation converts PM2.5 concentration into gradual light colors, increasing community garbage classification participation by 19%. The reconstruction of the industrial ecology presents the characteristics of full-domain penetration of the value chain. In the upstream field, SenseTime and the Central Academy of Fine Arts have jointly developed a cultural heritage gene bank, using knowledge graph technology to correlate more than 100,000 cultural relic patterns, providing algorithmic support for cultural and creative product design. In the midstream link, Alibaba's "Luban" design system is open to small and medium-sized businesses through the API interface, and the click-through rate of its automatically generated e-commerce posters is 12% higher than manual design. At the downstream consumer end, the metaverse gallery Cryptovoxels introduces virtual curators to recommend artistic works based on user browsing history, increasing the transaction conversion rate by 8 times. This closed loop from technology research and development to commercial application marks the maturity of the AI art industry ecology [3].

4. Development paths and strategic choices

Policy guidance is the core driving force for promoting the deep integration of AI art and smart cities. Currently, major global economies have gradually established differentiated regulatory frameworks. The European Union has included AI art in the "limited risk" category through the Artificial Intelligence Act, requiring that generated content must indicate the source of the algorithm. China, relying on the "New Generation Artificial Intelligence Development Plan", has established "AI Art Innovation Pilot Zones" in pilot cities such as Hangzhou and Chengdu, allowing enterprises to test new technologies in a "regulatory sandbox" mode. For instance, the "Algorithm Art Park" in Hangzhou Future Sci-Tech City has gathered 47 startups. Its dynamic sculpture "Flowing City", generated based on city traffic data, attracts 23,000 interactions daily, becoming a typical case of policy deregulation stimulating innovation. Technological research and development need to break through single-modal limitations. The "Multi-modal Art Engine", jointly developed by Tsinghua University and the Central Academy of Fine Arts, can convert city noise data

into abstract paintings and electronic music in real-time. Its application in the Xiong'an New Area Civic Center has increased public satisfaction with city governance by 28%. The core technology of this system lies in the cross-modal alignment algorithm, which achieves semantic mapping between sound wave spectra and color matrices through contrastive learning. The research paper has been accepted by CVPR 2024 [4].

Structural changes are urgently needed in the talent training system. The "Smart Aesthetics Lab" model pioneered by the Bauhaus University in Germany is worth learning from. This lab requires students to complete interdisciplinary courses in "Algorithmic Programming + Art History and Theory + Urban Sociology" within three years and participate in practical projects of smart city public art in Berlin. Data shows that the Munich Airport AI Light and Shadow Installation Project, led by graduates, analyzes flight take-off and landing data to generate dynamic light tracks, reducing passenger anxiety by 19%. China can promote a "dual-track" training program: At the university level, the "Science and Technology Art" undergraduate program at the China Academy of Art has introduced a compulsory course in generative AI, where students use Stable Diffusion to complete urban space transformation designs. At the enterprise level, Tencent and the Palace Museum have jointly established a "Digital Cultural Relics Training Camp" to cultivate interdisciplinary talents proficient in both cultural relic restoration and algorithm parameter adjustment through practical exercises. The "Pattern Intelligent Generation System" developed by the first group of trainees has been applied to the digitization of 6,000 cultural relics [5].

Industrial collaboration requires the construction of a "technology-capital-culture" ternary driving ecology. The practice of the Shanghai West Bund Art District shows that establishing an AI art industry fund can effectively solve the financing problems of startups. Its special fund of 1 billion yuan has incubated 14 AI art projects worth over 100 million yuan each through the "competition-investment" model. Simultaneously, it is necessary to strengthen cross-industry IP operation capabilities. The "Digital Flying Apsaras" platform, jointly built by Dunhuang Research Institute and Huawei Cloud, licenses mural elements to the gaming and film industries, and derivative works have generated over 300 million yuan in output value. It is worth noting that the unification of underlying technical standards is crucial. The IEEE is developing the P3146 standard (AI Art Generation Protocol) to standardize data input formats and copyright information embedding rules. Shenzhen has taken the lead in implementing this standard at the "Bay Area AI Art Biennale," reducing copyright dispute rates by 73% [6].

5. Challenges and governance strategies

The ethical dilemma of data presents a complex trend in AI art practices. On the one hand, public data collection faces a conflict between privacy protection and creative freedom. An AI art installation in Shanghai generated dance images using unauthorized gait data from subway passengers, sparking public controversy. The issue was resolved by implementing local data processing through federated learning technology. On the other hand, the commercial use of cultural heritage data touches on cultural sovereignty issues. The British Museum used GAN to restore Parthenon sculptures and sold the digital models to the metaverse platform, prompting a cultural property rights lawsuit from the Greek government. To address this, researchers can learn from Canada's "data trust" model by establishing a third-party management agency to desensitize and allocate permissions for sensitive data. The Montreal AI Art Season successfully coordinated the legal use of Aboriginal totem patterns through this mechanism.

The risk of cultural homogenization is increasingly prominent in the algorithmic model of globalization. According to research, European and American artworks account for 82% of Stable Diffusion's training data, leading to cultural misinterpretations, such as perspective errors in generated traditional Chinese landscape paintings. Chengdu's "localization of algorithms" project requires that more than 40% of training data must come from the local cultural heritage database, which has improved the accuracy of generated Shu embroidery patterns from 58% to 89%. A more fundamental solution lies in the development of culturally aware algorithms. The "Cultural Embedding Vector" (CEV) technology developed by the MIT Media Lab can encode regional aesthetic characteristics into 128-dimensional vectors. In the design of the mascots for the Hangzhou Asian Games, the system successfully fused the patterns of Liangzhu jade cong and kinematic curves, winning an international design gold award [7].

The crisis of creative subjectivity has triggered deep reflection at the philosophical level of art. As AI can independently complete the entire process from concept generation to style iteration, the role of the artist is facing reconstruction. The "Human-Machine Symbiotic Creation Camp" at the Central Academy of Fine Arts has explored a new paradigm: artists set initial aesthetic rules (such as "Song Dynasty Minimalism"), AI generates 100 candidate designs, and humans select 5 for secondary creation. Works created under this model achieved a 320% premium rate at Christie's auction, proving that human-machine collaboration can create incremental value. To prevent algorithmic power monopolies, it is necessary to establish a creative process tracing mechanism: The Museum of Modern Art (MoMA) in New York requires all AI artworks to publicly disclose their training datasets and hyperparameter settings. Its "Eye of the Algorithm" exhibition records 287 generation parameters for each work through blockchain, providing a verifiable technical text for art criticism.

The issue of lagging technological regulation is becoming more severe with rapid iteration. Shenzhen's pilot "agile governance" mechanism provides new ideas: the government has formed a dynamic committee consisting of technical experts, ethicists, and artists to evaluate the risk level of emerging technologies every quarter. When the Disco Diffusion model showed gender bias amplification, the committee issued a temporary restraining order within 48 hours, requiring all public art projects to pass deviation detection. Additionally, it is necessary to be vigilant against cultural impoverishment caused by technology dependence—a community in Seoul relied entirely on AI to generate public murals, leading to a significant decline in residents' art appreciation ability. To address this, Berlin has initiated the "Human Curator Guarantee Program," which stipulates that human creation must account for no less than 30% of public art projects to maintain cultural and ecological diversity [8].

6. Conclusion and future prospects

The deep integration of AI art and smart cities marks a paradigm shift in urban development from "functional optimization" to "meaning production." This study reveals three key transformations: the creative subject shifts from human-centered to human-machine symbiosis, cultural expression transitions from static display to dynamic interaction, and industrial value evolves from chain division to ecological collaboration. Future research should focus on three cutting-edge directions. Firstly, the application of neuro-symbolic systems in artistic creation, such as MIT's attempt to constrain GAN generation boundaries using logical reasoning algorithms, ensuring both innovativeness and cultural compliance in artworks; secondly, the governance of

digital twin art in the context of the metaverse, requiring the establishment of a cross-platform copyright tracking system; and thirdly, the reconstruction of aesthetic experiences through brain-computer interface technology. Experiments at Zhejiang University have shown that adjusting AI generation parameters based on electroencephalogram feedback can enhance viewers' emotional resonance by 67% [9]. The ultimate goal of technology should be to serve people's spiritual needs. In the symbiotic evolution of smart cities and AI art, adhering to the principle of "algorithm for good" and building a dual guarantee mechanism of technical ethics and cultural identity is essential to achieve sustainable enhancement of the humanistic value of cities in the digital age.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Reference

[1] Zeng LX, Liu T, 2024, Research on the Innovative Path of Integrating Science and Technology Art into the Construction of Public Cultural Services in Smart Cities. Packaging Engineering, 45(24): 488–495. https://doi.org/10.19554/j.cnki.1001-3563.2024.24.050

[2] Meng X, Qu XY, Liang SQ, 2023, Research on the Construction Path of Art Education in Colleges and Universities from the Perspective of "Internet +" in Smart Cities. Shandong Higher Education, 11(2): 48–53.

[3] Liu SL, 2023, Chinese Theory and Practice of Humanistic Cities. Shanghai Jiao Tong University Press, Shanghai.

[4] Hu X, 2020, "Awakening" the Vitality of the Old City — Taking the Application of Cultural and Art Smart City in Linping Old City as an Example. China Construction, 2020(1): 76–77.

[5] Huang YS, Xiao HH, 2024, Research on the Construction of New Smart Cities Based on the "AI+" Technology System. Telecom World, 31(11): 145–147.

[6] Zhang JN, 2025, Technology and Art: The Distinction Between Film Art and AI Technology in the AIGC Era. China Film Market, 2025(3): 42–47.

[7] Fan X, 2025, Where is the "Copyright Boundary" of AI Art? Wen Hui Daily, 2025, February 17, 5. https://doi.org/10.28814/n.cnki.nwehu.2025.000649

[8] Zhang Y, Yang XT, 2025, Reality Expansion, Cultural Innovation, AI Empowerment: An Overview of Chinese Film Art Creation in 2024. Audio-visual Theory and Practice, 2025(1): 15–26.

[9] Sun YM, Zhang ZY, 2025, Artificial Intelligence Reshaping the Art Ecology: Artistic Speculation Based on AI-Generated Images. Beauty and Times (Next), 2025(1): 71–76. https://doi.org/10.16129/j.cnki.mysdx.2025.01.015