

Application of Neural Rehabilitation Manipulator Training in Upper Limb Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia

Shan Xiang¹, Qingze Lu^{2*}, Pei Liu³

¹The Thirteenth People's Hospital of Chongqing, Chongqing 400000, China ²Chongqing Orthopedic Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chongqing 400000, China ³Chongqing Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Chongqing 400000, China

*Corresponding author: Qingze Lu, yy77443211@163.com

Copyright: © 2024 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: Objective: To analyze the application of neural rehabilitation manipulator training in upper limb rehabilitation of stroke patients with hemiplegia. Methods: 94 patients with hemiplegia after stroke were selected from January 2021 to January 2023. The patients were randomly divided into a control group and an observation group, with 47 cases in each group. The control group received routine rehabilitation training while the observation group received neural rehabilitation manipulator training. The upper limb and nerve function, daily task performance ability, quality of life, and rehabilitation training satisfaction were compared between the two groups. Results: There was no significant difference in upper limb and nerve function between the two groups before training, P > 0.05. After training, the observation group had $51.45 \pm$ 8.75 points in ARAT and 52.59 \pm 8.48 points in FMA-UE, which were higher than those in the control group with 43.81 \pm 6.67 points and 45.51 ± 7.31 points respectively, P < 0.05. The observation group had 5.71 ± 1.53 points in NIHSS, which was lower than those in the control group with 8.04 ± 2.39 points, P < 0.05. In terms of daily task performance ability and quality of life, there was no significant difference between the two groups before training, P > 0.05. After training, the observation group had 78.54 ± 10.63 scores in MBI and 171.93 ± 19.12 scores in SS-QOL, which were higher than those in the control group with 70.51 ± 9.25 scores and 160.13 ± 18.42 scores respectively, P < 0.05. In terms of satisfaction, the total satisfaction rate of the observation group was 93.62%, which was higher than that of the control group with 74.47%, P < 0.05. Conclusion: The application of neural rehabilitation manipulator training in the upper limb rehabilitation of stroke patients with hemiplegia can significantly improve the upper limb function of patients, relieve nerve defects, improve daily task performance ability and quality of life, and increase patient satisfaction with rehabilitation training.

Keywords: Neural rehabilitation manipulator training; Hemiplegia after stroke; Upper limb rehabilitation; Application effect

Online publication: April 9, 2024

1. Introduction

Stroke is a cerebrovascular disease with the highest incidence rate in China. Stroke causes disability and

mortality, which greatly impact the quality of life of patients. Most stroke patients have symptoms of hemiplegia that result in limb impartment and partial or complete loss of the ability to perform daily tasks ^[1]. Rehabilitation exercises in the past mostly focused on lower limb function and neglected upper limb function rehabilitation due to its difficulty, so this hindered the overall rehabilitation of patients. With the improvement of rehabilitation technology in recent years, various advanced equipment and means have been gradually developed for the upper limb rehabilitation of stroke patients with hemiplegia ^[2]. For example, the neural rehabilitation manipulator is a kind of instrument specially used for limb rehabilitation. The use of advanced technology to help patients carry out training is conducive to improving the rehabilitation effect ^[3]. Based on this, 94 patients with stroke hemiplegia from January 2021 to January 2023 were selected in this paper, and the application of neural rehabilitation manipulator training in upper limb rehabilitation of these stroke hemiplegia patients was analyzed.

2. Data and methods

2.1. General information

A total of 94 stroke patients with hemiplegia were selected from January 2021 to January 2023. The patients were randomly divided into the control group and the observation group, with 47 cases in each group. There were 25 male and 22 female patients in the control group, with an average age of 68.12 ± 3.43 years, ranging between 52-81 years old. There were 27 male and 20 female patients in the observation group, with an average age of 68.20 ± 3.35 years, ranging between 53-82 years old. There was no significant difference in the above indexes between the two groups (P > 0.05). The study was approved by a medical ethics committee ^[4].

Inclusion criteria: All patients met the diagnostic criteria for stroke hemiplegia, and all had obvious manifestations of upper limb dysfunction. Patients and their families were informed of the study content and agreed to participate.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with audio-visual impairment, unconsciousness, coma, etc., patients with upper limb dysfunction due to peripheral nerve injury and other reasons.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Control group

The control group received routine rehabilitation training. Specific methods were used to induce the loosening of the finger joints of the limbs and effective passive activities of the patients. The muscle strength training of the wrist extensor muscle group and the stretching training of the wrist flexor finger muscle group were gradually increased for the patients. At the same time, the patients were guided to perform trunk control exercises, active and passive limb movements, gravity adjustment training, and the training of daily activities. The training lasted for one month ^[5,6].

2.2.2. Observation group

The observation group received neural rehabilitation manipulator training by using the upper limb intelligent rehabilitation training system manufactured by Yikang Medical Equipment Company, Guangzhou. The electrodes were attached to the flexor and extensor muscles of the forearm of the patient with the active and passive training modes set. In the active training mode, the rehabilitation doctor guides the patients to complete specific actions by themselves while adjusting the threshold according to the EMG signal to complete the subsequent training content. When the EMG signal is higher than the threshold level, the manipulator can be driven to complete the corresponding specific training action. In the passive training mode, the robot guides

the finger on the affected side of the patient to complete the corresponding training action. This training is conducted for one hour per day, five times per week with two resting days. Regular rehabilitation training is conducted during the two resting days. This training lasted for one month ^[7–9].

2.3. Evaluation index

The upper limb and nerve function, daily task performance ability, quality of life, and rehabilitation training satisfaction were compared between the two groups. The upper limb function was evaluated by the ARAT scale and FMA-UE scale respectively. The higher the score, the better the upper limb function was. Nerve function was evaluated by the NIHSS scale. The lower the score, the better the nerve function was. Daily task performance ability and quality of life quality were evaluated by the MBI scale and SS-QOL scale respectively. The higher the score, the better the adily task performance ability and quality of life approximate ability and quality of life. Satisfaction was evaluated by a self-made questionnaire. The total score of the questionnaire was 100, 80–100 points represented very satisfied, 60–79 points represented satisfied, and less than 60 points represented dissatisfied ^[10–12].

2.4. Statistical Processing

SPSS 20.0 software was used to process the data obtained from the study. The data was expressed in number or rate with χ^2 test. The measurement data were expressed by mean ± standard deviation (SD) and *t*-test was used. If P < 0.05, there is a significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. Results of upper limb function and nerve function were compared between the two groups

In terms of upper limb and nerve function, there was no significant difference between the two groups before training as shown in **Table 1**, P > 0.05. After training, the observation group had 51.45 ± 8.75 points in ARAT and 52.59 ± 8.48 points in FMA-UE, which were higher than those in the control group with 43.81 ± 6.67 points and 45.51 ± 7.31 points respectively, P < 0.05. The observation group had 5.71 ± 1.53 points in NIHSS, which was lower than those in the control group with 8.04 ± 2.39 points, showing P < 0.05.

Group	Number of cases	ARAT (Points)		FMA-UE (Points)		NIHSS (Points)	
		Before training	After training	Before training	After training	Before training	After training
Observation group	47	36.44 ± 5.28	51.45 ± 8.75	36.13 ± 6.82	52.59 ± 8.48	13.03 ± 3.39	5.71 ± 1.53
Control group	47	37.17 ± 5.97	43.81 ± 6.67	35.37 ± 5.35	45.51 ± 7.31	13.26 ± 3.88	8.04 ± 2.39
t		0.628	4.761	0.601	4.335	0.306	5.629
Р		0.532	0.000	0.549	0.000	0.760	0.000

Table 1. Comparison of upper limb and nerve function between the two groups (mean \pm SD)

3.2. Results of daily task performance ability and quality of life compared between the groups

In terms of daily task performance ability and quality of life, there was no significant difference between the two groups before training as shown in **Table 2**, P > 0.05. After training, the observation group had 8.54 ± 10.63 scores in MBI and 171.93 ± 19.12 scores in SS-QOL, which were higher than those in the control group

with 70.51 \pm 9.25 scores and 160.13 \pm 18.42 scores respectively, P < 0.05.

Crown	Number of cases	MBI ((Score)	SS-QOL (Score)		
Group		Pre-training	Post-training	Pre-training	Post-training	
Observation group	47	62.71 ± 9.87	78.54 ± 10.63	140.20 ± 15.42	171.93 ± 19.12	
Control group	47	61.27 ± 8.38	70.51 ± 9.25	142.72 ± 16.31	160.13 ± 18.42	
t		0.762	3.907	0.770	3.047	
Р		0.448	0.000	0.443	0.003	

Table 2. Results comparing daily task performance ability and quality of life between the two groups (mean \pm SD)

3.3. Comparison of satisfaction results between the two groups

In terms of satisfaction, the total satisfaction rate of the observation group was 93.62%, which is higher than that of the control group with 74.47% as shown in **Table 3**, P < 0.05.

Group	Number of cases	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Overall satisfaction rate
Observation group	47	20 (42.55)	24 (51.06)	3 (6.38)	44 (93.62)
Control group	47	15 (31.91)	20 (42.55)	12 (25.53)	35 (74.47)
χ^2		0.728	0.385	5.077	5.077
Р		0.393	0.535	0.024	0.024

Table 3. Results of comparing satisfaction between the two groups [n (%)]

4. Discussion

Stroke is a common cerebrovascular disease. Stroke patients generally show disability and neurological impairment due to its sudden onset and delayed treatment ^[13]. The patient still has a high probability of sequelae even if emergency care has been provided. Hemiplegia is one of the most prevalent complications of stroke, which is manifested as the impartment of limb function, the reduction of daily task performance ability, and the serious decline of quality of life. Although this dysfunction is irreversible, it can be alleviated through active rehabilitation training to improve the limb function of patients to a certain extent ^[14]. Effective rehabilitation training can stimulate the damaged areas of the brain tissue of patients, improve the excitability of the remaining cells, increase the efficiency of synapses, and promote the regeneration of synapses, thus achieving partial recovery of activity and function ^[15].

Upper limb rehabilitation is an important part of rehabilitation exercise for stroke patients with hemiplegia. However, upper limb function impartment often lasts a long time with a slow and difficult recovery. However, this impairment can still be reduced through rehabilitation training ^[16]. Conventional rehabilitation techniques mainly include electromechanical biofeedback, acupuncture, massage, occupational therapy, exercise therapy, and so on, but the overall effect of these techniques is not optimal. The recent development of robotic rehabilitation technology has also played a positive role in the upper limb rehabilitation of stroke patients with hemiplegia. One of the technologies is the professional neural rehabilitation manipulator with active and passive motion function modes which can be used according to the rehabilitation procedure of the patient and has intelligent speech function ^[17]. The device can collect and analyze the EMG feedback of the patient and convert it into audiovisual signals that can be recognized by the patient. The device can provide strong support

for the upper limb function exercise of patients by adjusting the relevant threshold level. This method can increase the sensory input of patients, reshape the damaged tissues of the upper limb, and promote the axonal synaptic connection and the regeneration of nerve collateral with good repeatability and consistent results for the recovery of limb function of patients ^[18–20].

In summary, the application of neural rehabilitation manipulator training in the upper limb rehabilitation of stroke patients with hemiplegia can significantly improve the upper limb function of patients, alleviate nerve defects, improve life task performance ability and quality of life, and increase patient satisfaction with rehabilitation training.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Wang MM, Er ZJ, Xiao ZX, 2019, Clinical Effect Analysis of Mandatory Exercise Therapy Combined with Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation in Upper Limb Functional Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Journal of Rare Diseases, 46(33): 178–180.
- [2] Zhang SX, Zheng YD, Li X, et al., 2019, Application of Intelligent Motor Feedback Training in Hand and Upper Limb Function Rehabilitation of Hemiplegia Patients in Convalescent Period of Stroke. Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 34(10): 164–166.
- [3] Yuan GQ, Zhao Y, 2020, Effect of Intensive Training of Neurorehabilitation Manipulator on Upper Limb Motor Function Recovery of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Chinese Community Physician, 36(33): 152–154.
- [4] Lu YX, Yao HY, Wang L, et al., 2019, Effect of Neuro-loosening Combined with Upper Limb Robot on Upper Limb Functional Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Chinese and Foreign Medical Research, 17(21): 77–78.
- [5] Wu QY, Ye JP, Li Y, et al., 2013, Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Upper Limb Motor Function Rehabilitation for Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia Treated with MOTOmed Gracile. Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Theory and Practice, 19(2): 154–157.
- [6] Yang, Liu WH, Wang CX, et al., 2019, Application of Robot-Assisted Upper Limb Rehabilitation in Upper Limb Functional Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Nerve injury and functional Reconstruction, 14(9): 133–135.
- [7] Zhang FF, Zhou L, Zhu HS, 2021, Application Effect of Motor Imagination Combined Rehabilitation Robot in Upper Limb Motor Function Recovery after Stroke with Hemiplegia. Beijing Medical Journal, 48(37): 126–128.
- [8] Wang M, 2020, Evaluation of Application Effect of Upper Limb Intelligent Rehabilitation Training System in Rehabilitation Treatment of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Journal of Shanxi Health Vocational College, 30(5): 9–11.
- [9] Ren H, Xie ZM, 2019, Effect of Brain-Computer Interface Technology on Upper Limb Motor Function Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Chinese Journal of Practical Medicine, 15(10): 113–115.
- [10] Gao TT, Liu Q, 2023, To Evaluate the Clinical Value of Forced Motor Therapy (CIMT) + Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation (NMES) in Upper Limb Functional Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Life Science Instruments, 2023(0z1): 21.
- [11] Huang CF, Ou JY, Zhang RB, 2017, Effect of Closed Chain Exercise Training on Upper Limb Motor Function and Functional Ability Recovery in Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Medical Theory and Practice, 30(14): 2165–2167.
- [12] Liu FJ, Zhou DA, Gao RP, et al., 2013, Effect of Hand Intensive Training on Upper Limb Function Recovery in

Patients with Hemiplegia after Stroke. Chinese Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2013(35): 557–558.

- [13] Wang YJ, Wang SY, Zhao DX, et al., 2021, Application of Multi-Functional Wheelchair Support Panel in Upper Limb Rehabilitation Training of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Journal of China Medical University, 50(4): 366– 370.
- [14] Gong Y, Zhang Y, Wang R, et al., 2021, Effect of Upper Limb Rehabilitation System on Upper Limb Motor Function Rehabilitation of Patients with Hemiplegia after Stroke. Nerve Injury and Functional Reconstruction, 16(12): 769– 771.
- [15] Zou Q, Cao SE, Yuan C, et al., 2015, The Value of 99mTc-ECD Cerebral Blood Flow Perfusion Imaging in the Evaluation of the Efficacy of Upper Limb Functional Training in Stroke Patients. Journal of Sun Yat-sen University (Medicine Science Edition), 36(6): 932–935 + 954.
- [16] Kuang JL, 2021, Effect of Upper Limb Rehabilitation Robot Training Combined with Rehabilitation Nursing on Upper Limb Function of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Chinese Medicine for Disability, 29(12): 77–78.
- [17] Jing Y, Liu PP, Xie J, et al., 2021, Application of Rehabilitation Apparatus in Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients with Upper Limb Paralysis. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 36(1): 90–93.
- [18] Jiang WL, Zhou Q, 2023, Effect of Acupuncture Combined with Rehabilitation Therapy on Walking Function of Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Chinese Modern Education of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 21(20): 130–132.
- [19] Fu LL, Su BR, 2019, Effect of Neuro-loosening Combined with Routine Rehabilitation Training on Hand Function Recovery of Hemiplegia Patients at Different Stages after Stroke. Nerve Injury and Functional Reconstruction, 14(6): 314–315.
- [20] Zhang CB, Li LL, 2017, Effect of MOTOmed Intelligent Motor Training System on Upper Limb Function and Activity of Daily Living in Stroke Patients with Hemiplegia. Chinese Journal of Metallurgical Industry Medicine, 34(2): 225–226.

Publisher's note

Bio-Byword Scientific Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.