The Effect of Different Filling Methods on the Microleakage of the Tooth Edge after Bulk Resin Filling
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Abstract: Objective: Analyzing the influence of different filling methods on the microleakage of posterior teeth during the treatment of bulk resin filling. Methods: From January 2019 to January 2020, 68 patients with posterior tooth filling (123 teeth) in our hospital were selected and randomly assigned into three groups (A, B, and C), with 41 teeth in each group. Group A received bulk posterior teeth filling resin treatment, group B received Charisma® resin filling treatment and group C received FX-II filling treatment. The curative effect and microleakage of posterior teeth between the groups were compared. Results: Comparison of treatment efficiency in group A (97.56%), group B (85.37%) and group C (73.17%) shows that $P<0.05$; comparison of microleakage value and operation time of group A, group B and group C shows that $P<0.05$. Conclusions: In resin filling treatment, bulk posterior tooth filling resin material should be selected for its high hardness, strong edge adhesion, and natural effects.
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1 Backgrounds and Methods

1.1 Background

As the dental filling technology continues to develop, resin filling is being gradually applied to posterior tooth restoration, giving a new research direction for dental restoration [1]. However, after resin restoration, polymerization induced shrinkage may occur and there may be microleakage between the filling and the tooth, which increases the sensitivity of the tooth and causes secondary dental caries. In severe cases, the filling body may become loose or even fall off [2-3]. In recent years, patients have gradually increased their demands for aesthetics, function and repair time after restoration. Dentists often choose large pieces of resin to fill the posterior teeth, which has the advantages of short operation time, low dropout rate, and high hardness. In order to explore the microleakage of the edge of the teeth after the large resin filling treatment, a study was carried out on 68 patients with posterior teeth filling in our hospital. The report is as follows.

1.2 Treatment Methods

After the patient is admitted to the hospital, the dental calculus is removed, and the diseased tissue is removed with a slow-speed ball drill to prepare the slope of the cavity edge. Filling materials for Group A and Group B were selected under natural light, followed by acid etching, washing, drying, applying adhesive in sequence, and inserting the forming sheet
and wedges. Group A was given bulk posterior tooth filling resin (Filtek™ Bulk Fill) treatment, one-time filling, polished after 10 s of illumination; group B was given Charisma® resin (Heraeus Gusa Dental Co., Ltd.) treatment, filled with layers, polished after 40 s of illumination. In group C, the formed piece and the wedge were placed to insulate the cavity from moisture, and the affected tooth was partially blow-dried and filled with FX-II material (Nippon Matsukaze). The affected tooth was polished after trimming.

1.3 Assessment of Treatment Effects

Analysis of the efficiency of posterior tooth filling treatment for patients: after different filling methods, if the fillings did not fall off and no secondary caries were found, it is considered to be Markedly Effective; if the fillings fell off slightly but no secondary caries occurred, and the occlusal function recovered after the second filling, it is considered to be effective; if the filling material is completely off, and the occurrence of secondary caries is found, it is considered to be Ineffective.

1.4 Statistical Study

In this paper, the data of posterior teeth filling treated patients were analyzed by SPSS 33.0, where % is the statistical method of count data, ±s is the statistical method of measurement data, and X2 and t tests were used to check the differences in data. P<0.05 indicates significance for comparison.

2 Results

2.1 Assessment on the Treatment Effects in the Three Groups

After bulk posterior tooth resin filling treatment, the treatment efficiency in group A was 97.56%, group B 85.37% and group C 73.17%. Significant differences were found between the groups, P<0.05. See Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Markedly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n=41)</td>
<td>31 (75.61)</td>
<td>9 (21.91)</td>
<td>1 (2.44)</td>
<td>97.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n=41)</td>
<td>28 (68.29)</td>
<td>7 (17.07)</td>
<td>6 (14.63)</td>
<td>85.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n=41)</td>
<td>24 (77.42)</td>
<td>6 (14.63)</td>
<td>11 (26.83)</td>
<td>73.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ² - - - 4.1027/9.7619

P - - - <0.05

2.2 Comparison of Microleakage Value and Operation Time between the Three Groups

After bulk posterior tooth resin filling treatment, the microleakage value and operation time of group A were found to be superior over both group B and group C, P<0.05. See Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Microleakage Value (mm)</th>
<th>Operation Time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n=41)</td>
<td>0.62±0.15</td>
<td>180.38±12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n=41)</td>
<td>0.81±0.26</td>
<td>276.84±16.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n=41)</td>
<td>0.97±0.35</td>
<td>342.28±17.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

t 4.0531/5.8854 29.8576/48.4916

P <0.05 <0.05

3 Discussions

With the wide application of resin filling materials, more options are available for the treatment of posterior teeth filling. Resin filling therapy has the following advantages: less invasive tooth preparation, restoration of the natural color of the tooth, and ability to fully integrate the dentin. However, the resin filling treatment has a certain irritation to the dental pulp, and there is a characteristic of polymerization induced contraction. Some patients have a higher risk of microleakage after resin filling treatment [6-7]. Microleakage is the presence of microscopic gaps between the tooth and the restoration material, which is permeable to bacteria, ions, molecules and liquids. After microleakage occurs, the sensitivity
of the tooth can increase and the filling body can become discolored, causing secondary tooth decay, pulpitis or apical periodontitis, resulting in possible loosening and falling off of the filling. Combining the analysis of clinical practice, the microleakage at the edge of the posterior teeth may be related to the following factors: filling material properties, such as matrix performance and inorganic filler content and etc.; clinical factors, such as filling method, cavity type, light and temperature. In order to reduce the aforementioned risk of polymerization induced shrinkage, traditional resin filling adopts layered filling technology treatment, and the filling thickness of each layer does not exceed 2 mm, which reduces the risk of microleakage and improves the curing rate and success rate. However, the layered filling operation is more difficult and the operation time is longer, resulting in lower patient acceptance. The moisture insulating effect is limited, which affects the efficiency to a certain extent. In recent years, the bulk resin filling technology has gradually matured, which can reduce operating steps, has low technical requirements, short operating time, low polymerization shrinkage, and high patient satisfaction. In this study, a bulk posterior tooth filling resin material with good physical properties was selected, which effectively reduces the risk of microleakage at the edge of the posterior tooth and the risk of discoloration of the filling material.

Bulk posterior tooth filling resin is a new type of resin filling material. It can fill 4mm at a time, reducing the shrinkage stress during the polymerization process and avoid the risk of secondary dental caries after the operation. The filling is uniform during the operation and can be fitted to the cavity wall. The illumination time is only 10s and the transparency is close to tooth enamel, which can restore the aesthetics of teeth to the greatest extent. In addition, the bulk resin filling material is less affected by light, has sufficient clinical shaping time, good wettability, can reach narrow areas, seals the dentin tubules, and promotes the full integration of the teeth and the filling. Combined with this study, the treatment efficiency, microleakage value and operation time of group A are all better than those of group B and C ($P<0.05$), indicating that the bulk resin filling treatment has better sealing performance.

In conclusion, in the filling treatment of posterior teeth, the bulk filling resin has high abrasion resistance, good sealing performance, safety and feasibility, and is worthy of promotion.

References